HONOAPI'ILANI HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT, WEST MAUI: UKUMEHAME TO LAUNIUPOKO

Appendix 3.9 – Water Resources, Wetlands, and Floodplains - Supplemental Information

December 2024

Prepared for



Prepared by



Contents

HT Harvey & Associates Preliminary Identification of Waters of the United States – Technical Report

Agency Correspondence



HT Harvey & Associates Preliminary Identification of Waters of the United States - Technical Report





50 years of field notes, exploration, and excellence

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project Preliminary Identification of Waters of the United States Technical Report

Project # 4692-02

Prepared for:

WSP USA 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2400 Honolulu, HI 96813

Prepared by:

H. T. Harvey & Associates

December 2023

Executive Summary

During 23 visits from January-September 2023, H. T. Harvey & Associates wetland ecologists performed a delineation of wetlands and other waters in support of the Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project located in West Maui. The Project Area overlaps three watersheds in West Maui: Ukumehame, Olowalu, and Launiupoko. Approximately 902 acres within the Project's study area, which was defined to encompass the project's temporary and permanent impact areas, were surveyed for jurisdictional waters (wetlands and other waters) that may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This area (902 acres) included a 300 feet swath centered around each of the four proposed Build Alternatives and an additional 37 acres outside of these Build Alternatives. Because the study spanned from January to September, it allowed for observations and consideration of both wet and dry seasons when sampling. The results are based on the observation of conditions present across these multiple surveys. In total, 9.130 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters were mapped in the wetland delineation study area. When estimated separately for each Build Alternative this includes: 0.228 and 1.337 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters respectively in Build Alternative 1; 4.365 and 2.255 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters respectively in Build Alternative 2; 4.365 and 2.280 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters in Build Alternative 3; and zero jurisdictional wetlands and 1.777 acres of jurisdictional other waters in Build Alternative 4. Additionally, 16.709 acres of potentially isolated non-jurisdictional wetlands and other waters were identified within the study area If determined to be waters of the U.S., these features would be regulated under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Total Jurisdictional Wetlands	4.593	
Wetland 1	4.131	Surface connection to the Pacific Ocean via Ditch 7 and the Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Wetland 3	0.228	Surface connected to the Pacific Ocean via the Hanaula Gulch
Wetland 4	0.234	Surface connected to the Pacific Ocean via the Hanaula Gulch
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Wetlands	16.672	
Wetland 2	0.442	No surface connection to the ocean
Wetland 5	0.910	Wetlands 5 and 6 are connected in the area in between the Build Alternatives. Wetland 6 is separated from Wetland 4 via a built-up dirt road and fence. No surface connection to the ocean
Wetland 6	0.949	Wetlands 5 and 6 are connected in the area in between the Build Alternatives. Wetland 6 is separated from Wetland 4 via a built-up dirt road and fence. No surface connection to the ocean

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Wetland 7	0.811	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 8	4.792	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 9	0.153	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 10	8.575	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 11	0.040	No surface connection to ocean
Total Jurisdictional Other Waters	4.537	
Manawaipueo Gulch	0.140	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Papalaua Gulch	1.670	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Hanaula Gulch	0.160	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 1	0.041	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 2	0.040	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 3	0.037	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 4	0.049	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 5	0.018	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 6	0.186	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 7	0.226	Connection to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 8	0.380	Vicinity of Pohaku Aeko Street. Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ukumehame Stream	0.330	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 9	0.370	Vicinity of Ehehene Street. Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Mopua Stream	0.200	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Olowalu Stream	0.260	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Lihau Stream	0.160	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Awalua Stream	0.150	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ka Puali Stream	0.120	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Other Waters	0.037	
Ditch 10	0.007	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Ditch 11	0.009	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Ditch 12	0.021	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Total Potential Waters of the U.S.	9.130	
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.	16.709	
Total Non-Jurisdictional Upland Areas	876.161	
Wetland Delineation Study Area Total	902.000	

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	i
Section 1.0 Project Introduction and Purpose 1.1 Project Description	1 1
1.1.1 Project Alternatives	1
1.2 Survey Scope and Purpose	4
1.3 Site Description	4
Section 2.0 Survey Methods	
2.1 Identification of Jurisdictional Waters	
2.1.1 Regulatory Regime	11
2.1.2 Identification of Section 404 Jurisdictional Wetlands (Special Aquatic Sites)	17
2.1.3 Identification of Other Waters	19
Section 3.0 Survey Results and Discussion	
3.1 Assumption and Background Information	
3.2 Site Conditions and Observations	
3.3 Identification of Potential Section 404 Wetlands	
3.3.1 Vegetation	
3.3.2 Soils	27
3.3.3 Hydrology	27
3.3.4 Rationale for Sample Point Choice	
3.3.5 Photo Points for Section 404 Wetland	
3.4 Identification of Section 404 Other Waters	
3.4.1 Manawaipueo Stream	
3.4.2 Papalaua Gulch	
3.4.3 Hanaula Gulch and Associated Ditches 1 to 7	
3.4.4 Ditch 8 – Vicinity of Pohaku Aeko Street	
3.4.5 Ukumehame Stream	
3.4.6 Ditch 9-Vicinity of Ehehene Street	
3.4.7 Mopua Stream	
3.4.8 Olowalu Stream	
3.4.9 Lihau Stream	
3.4.10 Awalua Stream	
3.4.11 Ka Puali Stream	
3.4.12 Ditches 10, 11, and 12	
5.5 Areas Not Meeting the Regulatory Definition of WoUS	
Section 4.0 Conclusion	
Section 5.0 References	

Tables

Table 1.	Soil Type, Texture, Drainage Classification, and Hydric Status for the Soil Types Occurring in	the
	Honoapiilani Wetland Study Area	5
Table 2.	Wetland Indicator Status Categories for Vascular Plants	18
Table 3.	Summary of Jurisdictional Wetlands and Other Waters, and Potentially Isolated Non-	
	Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Delineated Within the Honoapiilani Project's Wetland	
	Delineation Study Area	21

Table 4.	Summary of Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters, and Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional	
	Wetlands and Waters Delineated Within Each of the Four Build Alternatives in the Honoapi	ilani
	Project's Wetland Delineation Study Area	23
Table 5.	Summary of Sample Point (SP) Locations and Results	28
Table 6.	Coordinates and Rationale for Photo Points (PP)	30

Figures

Figure 1.	Project Vicinity	2
Figure 2.	Wetland Delineation Study Area	3
Figure 3.	Topographic Map	7
Figure 4.	Soils Map	8
Figure 5.	National Wetlands Inventory Map	9
Figure 6.	Habitat/Vegetation Types	12
Figure 7.	Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional Wetlands, Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional	
~	Wetlands, and Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Papalaua and Ukumehame Portions of the	
	Wetland Delineation Study Area	13
Figure 8.	Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Ukumehame Portion of the	
-	Wetland Delineation Study Area	14
Figure 9.	Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Olowalu and Launiupoko	
0	Portions of the Wetland Delineation Study Area	15
Figure 10.	Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional and Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional Other	
~	Waters in the Olowalu and Launiupoko Portions of the Wetland Delineation Study Area	16

Appendices

Appendix A.	Plants Observed in the Wetland Delineation Study Area	A1
Appendix B.	Natural Resources Conservation Service Custom Soil Report for the Project Area	B1
Appendix C.	Wetland Determination Data Form – Hawaii and Pacific Islands Region and Photo	
PP 3.	Documentation	C1
Appendix D.	USACE Ordinary High Water Mark Delineation Datasheets and Photo Documentation	D1

List of Preparers

Kelly Hardwicke, Ph.D., Principal-in-Charge, Plant and Wetland Ecologist Shahin Ansari, Ph.D., Project Manager, Senior Plant and Wetland Ecologist Terrell Erickson, M.S., Senior Associate, Wetland Ecologist

Sadie Trush, Ph. D. GIS Specialist

1.1 Project Description

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), in cooperation with the State of Hawaii Department of Transportation (HDOT), is planning the Honoapiilani Highway Improvements Project. The proposed project is in West Maui, in the areas served by the existing Honoapiilani Highway between milepost 11 and milepost 17 (Figure 1). Honoapiilani Highway, which is part of Maui's Belt Road system, is a two-lane principal arterial highway that provides the sole access between communities along the west coast of Maui and the rest of the island. The proposed southeastern terminus at milepost 11 is in Ukumehame, in the vicinity of Papalaua Wayside Park, and the northwestern terminus of the project is at milepost 17 in Launiupoko, where Honoapiilani Highway currently intersects the southern terminus of the Lahaina Bypass. This approximately six-mile-long and 3/4-mile-wide Project Area is composed predominantly of a coastal plain that includes the ahupuaa of Ukumehame, Olowalu, and Launiupoko. Offshore, the Olowalu reef area, which extends from Ukumehame to Launiupoko, hosts about 1,000 acres of some of the healthiest and oldest living corals within the main Hawaiian Islands. The proposed project does not include work on the existing highway except where the new project joins the existing highway at the northern and southern connection points and potentially at connector roads to ensure continued access to residences, businesses, and public beaches. Additionally, there is no in-stream work planned for this project.

1.1.1 Project Alternatives

A Preferred Alternative has not yet been identified. Four draft "Build Alternatives" have been identified (Figure 2) and are being evaluated in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement currently underway. Each alternative involves the construction of a new highway, which is mainly along a new alignment, further inland from the ocean. Build Alternative 1 has been adapted from the County of Maui's Pali to Puamana Parkway 2005 coastal or makai concept. This alignment has been "modified" to apply American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) design standards, bypass erosion areas, and avoid cultural resources. This alternative is just mauka (mountain side or inland) of most inundation areas in Launiupoko and Olowalu, and maximizes use of the existing right-of-way. Build Alternative 2 has been adapted from the County of Maui's Pali to Puamana Parkway 2005 "middle" concept. The alignment was "modified" to apply AASHTO standards, bypass erosional areas, and avoid cultural resources. Build Alternative 3 has been adapted from the County of Maui's Pali to Puamana Parkway 2005 mauka concept. The alignment was "modified" to apply AASHTO standards, bypass erosional areas, and avoid cultural resources. Build Alternative 4 was also adapted from the County of Maui's Pali to Puamana Parkway 2005 mauka concept. The alignment has been "corrected" to apply AASHTO standards, bypass erosional areas, and avoid cultural resources. The route through Olowalu town, which distinguishes this alignment, is based on landowner input provided in 2007. This alignment meets the 55 miles per hour (mph) design speed (with speed signs to be posted at 45 mph), while minimizing curves. The alignments converge at several points and there are two distinct areas where the alignments all differ from one



N:\Projects4600\4692-01\Shp_100223\Figs1_to_6.aprx

Ecological Consultants

September 2023



Figure 2. Wetland Delineation Study Area Honoapiilani Highway (4692) September 2023



another: one in Olowalu and the other in Ukumehame. The preferred alternative may be selected from two proposed alternatives, one in each of the two differing areas.

None of the Build Alternatives discussed below involves work in the ocean. Additionally, there is no in-stream work planned for this Project. The bridges over the streams will be built outside of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM). All Project alternatives will incorporate Best Management Practices as prescribed by FHWA, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and other agencies participating in the review and approval of the proposed Project. It is also noted that no night work is anticipated during construction, and construction duration is anticipated to be no longer than two years. However, should night work be required, additional coordination will be conducted with USFWS and the Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) to agree upon any other appropriate conservation measures.

1.2 Survey Scope and Purpose

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) regulates placement of dredged and/or fill material within wetlands (a type of special aquatic site) and other "waters of the United States" (WoUS) under provisions of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA, formerly Federal Water Pollution Control Act) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Under Section 404 of the CWA, dredged and fill material may not be discharged into WoUS (including wetlands) without a permit. Project activities described above in Section 1.1 may result in the discharge of materials in WoUS that might occur in the Project Area. The purpose of this study was to identify the extent and distribution of potential Section 404 waters including any associated wetlands (special aquatic sites) that might be impacted by proposed Project activities within the Project's wetland delineation study area. This wetland delineation study area was composed of a 300-foot wide swath centered on each proposed Build Alternative, extending the entire project length, plus an additional approximately 37 acres outside and west of the overlapping Build Alternatives between Olowalu and Ukumehame (Figure 2). H. T. Harvey & Associates examined the study area for features that may meet the physical criteria and regulatory definition of Section 404 wetlands and other waters.

1.3 Site Description

The Project Area generally consists of undeveloped land, historic agricultural uses, open space, rural residential, and state conservation land uses. The town of Lahaina is about 4 miles north of the northern end of the Project Area. Toward Lahaina to the north and west of the Project Area, the land use is more residential along and mauka (inland) of Lahaina Bypass. To the south and east, no developed land uses are along Honoapiilani Highway until the central Maui community of Maalaea. The Project Area is rural in character and contains mostly open lands along with historic settlements in Olowalu and newer low-density residential development inland of the existing highway corridor at the base of the mountains. Olowalu and Ukumehame areas were heavily influenced by the development of large-scale plantation agriculture that dramatically changed and still influences much of the existing landscape in the Project Area. Mauka (inland) of the Project Area there are

limited residential uses, cultural sites, and reserve areas, and sparse residential uses. Elevation within the study area ranges from a couple feet above sea level to about 50 feet above mean sea level (Figure 3). In the mountains, land use is predominantly undeveloped open space as part of the West Maui Nature Reserve and the recently approved DLNR Wildlife Reserve.

The entire study area is situated at the foot of the west Maui Mountain and overlaps three watersheds: Ukumehame, Olowalu, and Launiupoko. Ukumehame is the perennial stream that intersects the Project Area and drains this 4.3 square mile (sq mile) watershed. Similarly, Olowalu is a perennial stream that intersects all four Build Alternatives in the Olowalu peninsula and drains a 4.8 sq mile area. The study area partially overlaps the Launiupoko watershed and the main perennial Launiupoko stream, which drains a 3.4 sq mile area outside of the study area. The ocean-side or western-most Build Alternatives are situated in the west Maui coastal floodplain; one of the primary reasons to address existing coastal erosion and flooding, as well as future coastal erosion and flooding caused by anticipated sea level rise.

The climate at the Project Area is typical of leeward West Maui – warm subtropical with average temperatures (°F) over a given year ranging from the low 60s to upper 80s. Situated on the leeward lowlands of West Maui, the entire Project Area is very dry and according to Giambelluca et al. (2013), receiving mean annual rainfall levels of approximately 30 inches with most of the annual precipitation occurring during the winter months from November through March and the least amount of precipitation during the summer. Typically, the predominant trade winds blow from east to west; this pattern changes during the winter months when meteorological conditions shift in response to approaching North Pacific cold fronts, causing winds to become more westerly ("kona winds") and delivering increased precipitation to leeward areas. Severe storms have historically been infrequent in this region of Maui.

Eleven soil units are mapped by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) within the study area (Figure 4). Table 1 summarizes the associated texture, drainage classification, landform setting, and hydric soil status (NRCS 2023a) for these soil types found within the study area.

Soil Symbol	Soil Name	Soil Texture	Drainage Classification	Landform	Hydric Status
EaA	Ewa Silty Clay Loam	Silty clay loam	Well drained	Alluvial fans, stream terraces, mountain slopes	No
JaC	Juacas Sand	Sand	Excessively drained	Beaches	No
KMW	Kealia Silty Loam	Silt Ioam, Ioam	Poorly drained	Tidal flats, salt marshes	Yes
РрА	Pulehu Clay Loam	Silt loam, silty clay loam	Well drained	Alluvial fans	No

Table 1.Soil Type, Texture, Drainage Classification, and Hydric Status for the Soil TypesOccurring in the Honoapiilani Wetland Study Area

Soil Symbol	Soil Name	Soil Texture	Drainage Classification	Landform	Hydric Status
PtA (0- 3% slopes)	Pulehu Cobbly Clay Loam	Cobbly clay PtBloam, slitly clay laom	Well drained	Alluvial fans	No
PtB (3- 7% slopes)	Pulehu Cobbly Clay Loam	Cobbly clay PtBloam, slitly clay laom	Well drained	Alluvial fans	No
РрА	Pulehu Silt Loam	Silt Ioam, silty clay Ioam	Well drained	Alluvial fans	No
rRK	Rock Land	Silty clay loam, silty clay, bedrock	Well drained	Lava flows	No
rSM	Stony Alluvial Land	Extremely stony clay loam, boulder silty clay loam	Well drained	Alluvial fans	No
WyC	Wainee Extremely Stony Silty Clay	Extremely stony silty clay loam	Well drained	Slopes, alluvial fans	No
W	Water	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map of the Project Area is depicted in Figure 5 (NWI 2023). The NWI identifies 20 aquatic features within the Project Area which fall into the following three classifications:

- Sixteen streams and tributaries intersect the study area and are mapped as Riverine, Intermittent, Streambed, Temporarily Flooded.
- Three features—two in the Olowalu peninsula and the HDOT sedimentation basin in Ukumehame are mapped as Palustrine, Unconsolidated Bottom, Permanently Flooded, Diked/Impounded.
- One feature at the northern end of the Project Area at the Lahaina Bypass end is mapped as Palustrine, Emergent, Persistent, Seasonally Flooded.







Ecological Consultants

) <u>H. T. H</u>

Honoapiilani Highway (4692) September 2023 Before the survey was conducted, H. T. Harvey & Associates reviewed topographic maps and current and historical aerial photos of the Project Area. These sources included the U.S. Geological Survey topographic map, NWI, Google Earth software (Google Inc. 2023), NRCS Soil Survey (NRCS 2023a, b), Hawaii Watershed Atlas (Parham et al. 2008), and State of Hawaii Geographic Information System (GIS) data for streams (Office of Planning 2017). With background information gleaned from these sources, H. T. Harvey & Associates' certified wetland ecologists, Shahin Ansari and Terrell Erickson, performed a technical determination and delineation of Section 404 wetland and other waters in the study area between January and September 2023.

The technical determination was performed in accordance with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetlands Delineation Manual (Corps Manual) (Environmental Laboratory 1987). In addition, the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Hawaii and Pacific Region (Version 2.0) (Regional Supplement) (USACE 2012) was followed to document site conditions relative to hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology. H. T. Harvey & Associates wetland ecologists performed preliminary mapping of the extent and distribution of wetlands and other WoUS that may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the CWA. The following sections present descriptions of the methods used to identify Section 404 jurisdictional waters (wetlands and other waters).

2.1 Identification of Jurisdictional Waters

The "Routine Determination Method, On-Site Inspection Necessary (Section D)" outlined in the Corps Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987), and the updated data forms, vegetation sampling methods, and hydric soil and hydrology indicators developed for the Hawaii and Pacific Islands Region (USACE 2012) were used to examine the vegetation, soils, and hydrology on site. This three-parameter approach to identifying wetlands is based on the presence of a prevalence or dominance of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology.

In addition to applying these survey methods, we compiled this report in accordance with guidance provided in *Updated Map and Drawing Standards for the South Pacific Division Regulatory Program* (USACE 2016). This document lists the information that must be submitted as part of a request for a jurisdictional determination, including:

- Vicinity map (Figure 1)
- Project Area and wetland study area map (Figure 2)
- U.S. Geological Survey topographic map (Figure 3)
- NRCS Soils map (Figure 4)
- NWI map (Figure 5)

- Habitat map (Figure 6)
- Preliminary identification of waters maps (Figures 7, 8, 9, and 10)
- Plant species observed (Appendix A)
- Current Soil Survey Report (Appendix B)
- Wetland delineation data forms and photo documentation (Appendix C)
- Photo point locations (same as sample point locations, and numbered according to sample points on Figures 7, 8, and 9; additional photo points only on Figure 7). Photo points correspond to photos in Appendix C.
- OHWM delineation data forms and photo documentation (Appendix D)
- Written rationale for sample point choice (Section 3.3.1 Rational for Sample Points and OHWM datasheets that include rationale for OHWM transects)

During the survey, the study area was examined for topographic features, drainages, alterations to site hydrology or vegetation, and recent significant disturbance. A determination was then made as to whether normal environmental conditions were present at the time of the field survey. In the field, the techniques used to identify wetlands included digging of soil pits in the study area (also see "Hydric Soils" under Section 2.1.1), observing the vegetation growing near the soil sample points, and characterizing the current surface and subsurface hydrologic features present near the sample points through both observation of indicators and direct observation of hydrology. Features meeting wetland vegetation, soil, and hydrology criteria were then mapped in the field using a sub-meter Global Positioning System (GPS) unit.

2.1.1 Regulatory Regime

On December 30, 2022, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Department of the Army (the agencies) announced a final "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States" rule founded upon the pre-2015 definition of "waters of the United States." This rule was formally adopted in January 2023. To determine jurisdiction for tributaries, adjacent wetlands, and additional waters, the January 2023 rule relies on the longstanding approach of applying two standards. Certain types of waters are jurisdictional under the final rule if they meet either the relatively permanent standard or significant nexus standard. This report has been prepared consistent with the January 2023 rule but does not attempt to formally determine jurisdictional WoUS status in light of the May 25, 2023, Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. Environmental Protection Agency* due to the lack of detailed guidance on that implementation at the time of the drafting. However, substantial consideration has been made in this report to describe surface connection of various features to the Pacific Ocean, to support the USACE determinations on which features constitute regulated WoUS under the current regulatory regime.





Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023



Ecological Consultants

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023



H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES Ecological Consultants Figure 9. Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Olowalu and Launiupoko Portions of the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023



H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES Ecological Consultants

Figure 10. Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional and Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Olowalu and Launiupoko Areas of the Wetland Delineation Study Area Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02)

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023 The agencies' definition of "waters of the United States" does not affect the longstanding activity-based permitting exemptions provided to the agricultural community by the CWA. Additionally, the final rule codifies eight exclusions from the definition of "waters of the United States" in the regulatory text to provide clarity, consistency, and certainty to a broad range of stakeholders. The exclusions are:

- Prior converted cropland, adopting the U.S. Department of Agriculture's definition and generally excluding wetlands that were converted to cropland prior to December 23, 1985.
- Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons that are designed to meet the requirements of the CWA.
- Ditches (including roadside ditches), excavated wholly in and draining only dry land, and that do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water.
- Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land if the irrigation ceased.
- Artificial lakes or ponds, created by excavating or diking dry land that are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
- Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools, and other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating or diking dry land.
- Waterfilled depressions, created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of "waters of the United States."
- Swales and erosional features (e.g., gullies, small washes), that are characterized by low volume, infrequent, or short duration flow.

2.1.2 Identification of Section 404 Jurisdictional Wetlands (Special Aquatic Sites)

Where wetland field characteristics were present, the biologists examined vegetation, soils, and hydrology using the Routine Determination Method outlined in the Corps Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the updated data forms, vegetation sampling methods, and hydric soil and hydrology indicators developed for the Hawaii and Pacific Region Supplement (USACE 2012).

2.1.2.1 Hydrophytic Vegetation

Plants that can grow in soils that are saturated or inundated for long periods of time, which contain little or no oxygen when wetted, are considered adapted to those soils and are called hydrophytic. There are different levels of adaptation, as summarized in Table 2. Some plants can only grow in soils saturated with water (and depleted of oxygen), some are mostly found in this condition, and some are found equally in wet soils and in dry soils. Plants observed at each of the sample sites were identified to species, where possible, using the *Manual of Flowering Plants of Hawaii Revised Edition* (Wagner et al. 1999) and the *Hawaiian Vascular Plants Checklist February 2019 Update* (Imada 2019). The wetland indicator status of each species was obtained from the Hawaii and

Pacific Islands Regional Wetland Plant List (Lichvar et al. 2020). Wetland indicator species are designated according to their frequency of occurrence in wetlands. For instance, a species with a presumed frequency of occurrence of 67 to 99% in wetlands is designated a facultative wetland indicator species. The wetland indicator groups, indicator symbol, and the frequencies of occurrence of species within wetlands, provided as a percentage, are shown in Table 2.

Indicator Category	Symbol	Frequency (%) of Occurrence in Wetlands ¹	
Obligate	OBL	>99 (Almost always is a hydrophyte, rarely in uplands)	
Facultative wetland	FACW	67 – 99 (Usually a hydrophyte but occasionally found in uplands)	
Facultative	FAC	34 – 66 (Commonly occurs as either a hydrophyte or non-hydrophyte)	
Facultative upland	FACU	1 - 33 (Occasionally is a hydrophyte, but usually occurs in uplands)	
Upland	UPL	<1% (Rarely is a hydrophyte, almost always in uplands)	
Not Listed	NI	Considered to be an upland species	

Table 2.	Wetland Indicator Status Categories for Vascular Plants
----------	---

¹ Based on information contained in the Corps Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987). Plant species that are not listed in the Hawaii and Pacific Islands Regional Wetland Plant List (Lichvar et al. 2020) are considered Upland species in Appendix A – Plants Observed in the Project Area.

Obligate and facultative wetland indicator species are hydrophytes that occur "in areas where the frequency and duration of inundation or soil saturation produce permanently or periodically saturated soils of sufficient duration to exert a controlling influence on the plant species present" (Environmental Laboratory 1987). Facultative indicator species may be considered wetland indicators when found growing in hydric soils that experience periodic saturation. Plant species that are not on the regional list of wetland indicator species are considered upland species. A complete list of the vascular plants observed within the study area, including their current indicator statuses, has been provided in Appendix A.

2.1.2.2 Hydric Soils

Given that the Project Area contained soils with low to high levels of lead contamination, only visual/color indicators for hydric soils were examined with limited assessment of soil texture. The National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils defines a hydric soil as one formed under conditions of saturation, flooding or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper 12 inches of soil (NRCS 2018). Hydric soils include soils developed under sufficiently wet conditions to support the growth and regeneration of hydrophytic vegetation. In general, evidence of a hydric soil includes characteristics such as reducing soil conditions, soils with bright mottles and/or low matrix chroma, and soils listed as hydric by the U.S. Department of Agriculture on the National Hydric Soils List (NRCS 2023b). Reducing soil conditions can also include circumstances where there is evidence of frequent ponding for long or very long duration. A long duration is defined as a period of inundation for a single event that ranges from 7 days to a month, and very long is greater than one month (Environmental Laboratory 1987).

Munsell Soil Notations (Munsell 2021) were recorded for the soil matrix of each soil sample. The Munsell color system is based on three color dimensions: hue, value, and chroma. A brief description of each component of the system is described below, in the order they are used in describing soil color (i.e., hue/value/chroma):

- Hue—The Munsell Soil Color Chart is divided into five principal hues: yellow (Y), green (G), purple (P), blue (B), and red (R), along with intermediate hues such as yellow-red (YR) and green-yellow (GY). Examples of commonly encountered hue numbers include 2.5YR, 10YR, and 5Y.
- 2. Value—Refers to lightness, ranging from white to grey to black. Common numerical values for value in the Munsell Soil Color Chart range from 2 for saturated soils to 8 for faded or light colors. Hydric soils often show low-value colors when soils have accumulated sufficient organic material to indicate development under wetland conditions but can show high-value colors when iron depletion has occurred, removing color value from the soil matrix. Value numbers are commonly reported as 8/, 2.5/, and 6/.
- 3. **Chroma**—Describes the purity of the color, from "true" or "pure" colors to "pastel" or "washed out" colors. Chromas commonly range from 1 to 8, but can be higher for gleys. Soil matrix chroma values that are 1 or less, or 2 or less when mottling is present, are typical of soils that have developed under anaerobic conditions. Chroma numbers are listed, for example, as /1, /5, and /8.

The NRCS Web Soil Survey (NRCS 2023a) was consulted to determine which soil types have been mapped in the study area (Table 1, Figure 4). Detailed descriptions of these soil types are provided in Appendix B.

2.1.2.3 Hydrology

Wetland hydrology encompasses all hydrologic characteristics of areas that are periodically inundated or have soils saturated to the surface at some time during the growing season. Wetland hydrology indicators provide evidence that the site has a continuing wetland hydrologic regime. Primary indicators might include visual observation of surface water (A1), high water table (A2), water marks (B1), and hydrogen sulfide odor (C1). Secondary indicators might include a passing score for the FAC-neutral test (D5), stunted or stressed plants (D1) and saturation visible on aerial imagery (C9). Each of the sample points was examined for positive field indicators (primary and secondary) of wetland hydrology, following the guidance provided in the Regional Supplement.

Appendix C contains the wetland delineation datasheets used to document the three-parameter approach described above as well as the associated photos.

2.1.3 Identification of Other Waters

Surveys were also conducted within the Project Area for "other waters", which includes lakes, streams, slough channels, seasonal ponds, tributary waters, non-wetland linear drainages, and salt ponds. Such areas are identified by the (seasonal or perennial) presence of standing or running water and generally lack hydrophytic vegetation. In non-tidal waters, the USACE Section 404 jurisdiction extends to the OHWM which is defined

in 33 CFR Part 328.3 as "the line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics, such as a clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of the soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation or the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding area." "Other waters" extend to the OHWM on opposing channel banks in non-tidal drainage channels. In tidal waters, Section 404 jurisdiction extends to the landward extent of wetland vegetation of the high tide line (HTL). This can either be identified in the field from direct observations of the HTL via highest extent of wrack, or highest extent of shelving along undeveloped soil banks. Outside of direct observation HTL can be estimated using tidal gauge and elevation data. In tidal waters, Section 10 waters include open water, mud flats, and adjacent special aquatic sites up to the limit of the mean high water (MHW) line in areas currently exposed to fully tidal or muted-tidal action.

In concert with USACE's efforts to revise the wetland delineation manuals and make them more specific to different geographic regions of the United States, as described above, efforts have been initiated by USACE to develop an OHWM delineation manual. In particular, two relatively recent publications have attempted to further refine the definition of OHWM:

- Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 05-05 (USACE 2005) deals specifically with the topic of OHWM identification, and lists the following physical characteristics that should be considered when making an OHWM determination: (1) natural line impressed on the bank; (2) shelving; (3) changes in the character of the soil; (4) destruction of terrestrial vegetation; (5) wracking; (6) vegetation matted down, bent, or absent; (7) sediment sorting; (8) leaf litter disturbed or washed away; (9) scour; (10) deposition; (11) multiple observed flow events; (12) bed and banks; (13) water staining; and (14) and change in plant community.
- National OHWM Field Delineation Manual for Rivers and Streams: Interim Version (David et al. 2022), provides consistent science-based method for delineating OHWM in streams. This manual provides guidance to observe, evaluate, and select appropriate field indicators to identify the OHWM elevation that can be applied to any type of stream system. It also introduces a (new) two-page data sheet to record these observations.

For all the aquatic features-streams, tributaries, and ditches, we investigated the stream bed and banks and the surrounding area and gathered various geomorphic, vegetation, sediment, and ancillary indicators from both banks per USACE (2005) guidance and the interim National OHWM Manual (David et al. 2022) to delineate jurisdictional waters. To better characterize the streams and help with delineating the OHWM level, we established four OHWM transects perpendicular to the stream bed at representative different locations along the stretch of the channel in the Project Area. Appendix D contains the OHWM data forms for transects including representative pictures taken at these transects. We placed flags at the OHWM indicators on the left and right banks of each transect. GPS data was collected in the field using a Trimble GeoXTTM GPS unit capable of submeter accuracy. We also took a set of photographs (left bank and right bank; viewing downstream) of the observed OHWM indicators on each transect (Appendix D). After the survey, the GPS data was processed using ARC GIS to map the extent of Section 404 other waters.

As illustrated in Figure 6, fifteen habitat or vegetation types were identified within the study area. Twenty sample points (SPs) and 25 OHWM transects were examined to identify potentially jurisdictional features (Figures 7, 8, 9, and 10) (Appendices C and D). About 4.6 acres of jurisdictional wetlands, 16.7 acres of potentially isolated non-jurisdictional wetlands, 4.5 acres of jurisdictional other waters, and 0.04 acres of potentially isolated non-jurisdictional other waters were identified in the study area (Tables 3 and 4). The results of the delineation are described below.

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Total Jurisdictional Wetlands	4.593	
Wetland 1	4.131	Surface connection to the Pacific Ocean via Ditch 7 and the Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Wetland 3	0.228	Surface connected to the Pacific Ocean via the Hanaula Gulch
Wetland 4	0.234	Surface connected to the Pacific Ocean via the Hanaula Gulch
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Wetlands	16.672	
Wetland 2	0.442	No surface connection to the ocean
Wetland 5	0.910	Wetlands 5 and 6 are connected in the area in between the Build Alternatives. Wetland 6 is separated from Wetland 4 via a built-up dirt road and fence. No surface connection to the ocean
Wetland 6	0.949	Wetlands 5 and 6 are connected in the area in between the Build Alternatives. Wetland 6 is separated from Wetland 4 via a built-up dirt road and fence. No surface connection to the ocean
Wetland 7	0.811	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 8	4.792	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 9	0.153	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 10	8.575	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 11	0.040	No surface connection to ocean
Total Jurisdictional Other Waters	4.537	
Manawaipueo Gulch	0.140	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway

Table 3.Summary of Jurisdictional Wetlands and Other Waters, and Potentially Isolated Non-
Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Delineated Within the Honoapiilani Project's
Wetland Delineation Study Area

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Papalaua Gulch	1.670	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Hanaula Gulch	0.160	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 1	0.041	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 2	0.040	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 3	0.037	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 4	0.049	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 5	0.018	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 6	0.186	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 7	0.226	Connection to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 8	0.380	Vicinity of Pohaku Aeko Street. Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ukumehame Stream	0.330	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 9	0.370	Vicinity of Ehehene Street. Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Mopua Stream	0.200	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Olowalu Stream	0.260	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Lihau Stream	0.160	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Awalua Stream	0.150	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ka Puali Stream	0.120	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Other Waters	0.037	
Ditch 10	0.007	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Ditch 11	0.009	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Ditch 12	0.021	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Total Potential Waters of the U.S.	9.130	

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.	16.709	
Total Non-Jurisdictional Upland Areas	876.161	
Wetland Delineation Study Area Total	902.000	

Table 4.Summary of Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters, and Potentially Isolated Non-
Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Delineated Within Each of the Four Build
Alternatives in the Honoapiilani Project's Wetland Delineation Study Area

Habitat Type	Area (acres)
Jurisdictional Wetlands	
Build Alternative 1	0.228
Build Alternative 2	4.365
Build Alternative 3	4.365
Build Alternative 4	0
Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional Wetlands	
Build Alternative 1	5.855
Build Alternative 2	9.965
Build Alternative 3	9.965
Build Alternative 4	0.851
Jurisdictional Other Waters	
Build Alternative 1	1.337
Build Alternative 2	2.255
Build Alternative 3	2.280
Build Alternative 4	1.777
Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional Other Waters	
Build Alternative 1	0.007
Build Alternative 2	1.049
Build Alternative 3	1.049
Build Alternative 4	0.050

Information assembled during this investigation and pertinent to the identification of jurisdictional Section 404 waters is further discussed below and presented in the five appendices of this report.

• Appendix A—Plants Observed in the study area

- Appendix B—Custom Soil Report for the study area
- Appendix C—USACE wetland delineation data forms with photo documentation
- Appendix D— USACE OHWM delineation data forms with photo documentation

The sections below describe the site conditions observed during this delineation survey, along with pertinent background information, assumptions, and rationale.

3.1 Assumption and Background Information

The preliminary delineation assumes that relatively normal circumstances prevailed at the time of this study from January to September 2023, and results are based upon the conditions present at the time of the survey. The survey was performed using the "Routine Method of Determination" using three parameters, as outlined in the Regional Supplement for wetlands and the method described to identify OHWM level for streams in the National Manual. The study overlapped the winter rainy season as well as the hot summer months and therefore allowed for observations during both the wet and dry season. Rainfall data from the rain gauge at the National Weather Service (NWS) Location ID: Maalaea Bay [P36] which is about three miles to the south of the study area indicates in 2022 this area experienced drier than normal conditions. In 2022, the year-to-date (YTD) rainfall (5.52 inches) was 42% of the average (13.22 inches) for this annual duration (NWS 2023). However, during the study period from January to August 2023 this area received near average rainfall with the YTD rainfall for the duration of the study from January to September 2023, being 8.46 inches; about 110% of the average (7.99 inches) for this period (NWS 2023). The stream flows in general had ordinary low flow conditions at the time of the survey. Specific observed flow condition for each water feature is included in the OHWM datasheets included in Appendix D and discussed below in Section 3.4 Identification of Other Waters. The study area did not experience any recent extreme flood or drought events.

3.2 Site Conditions and Observations

The study area is a stretch of about six miles from the Lahaina Bypass in the north to the Pali, near the Lahaina Pali Trailhead in the south. The main access to the locations within the study area is from the existing Honoapiilani Highway. The southern one-third portion of the study area, from the Pali to Pohaku Aeko Street in Ukumehame, is largely undeveloped land other than for some infrastructure associated with the County of Maui firing range and the State Department of Defense's Ukumehame Firing Range. Several different types of vegetation or habitat types occur here: Kiawe Woodland, Kiawe-Opiuma Woodland, Kiawe-Pluchea Woodland with Pickleweed, Haole Koa Shrubland, Haole Koa-Pluchea Shrubland. and Buffel Grass Dominated Grassland (Figure 6). The dominant canopy species in the woodland habitat types were kiawe (*Prosopis pallida*) and opiuma (*Pithecellobium dulce*); while *Pluchea* species and haole koa (*Leucaena leucorephala*) were the most dominant shrubs. The ground cover was mostly composed of a mix of several alien grasses and herbaceous weeds although the native ilima (*Sida fallax*) and uhaloa (*Waltheria indica*) were also common in this southern portion of the study area. Most of the study area here is next to the Pacific Ocean, separated only by the existing Highway, and it receives considerable salt spray. Four streams/gulches --

Manawaipueo, Papalaua, Hanaula, and Makiwa -- drain the watershed here and form a coastal flood plain in the western most portion of the study area against the existing Honoapiilani Highway. A sedimentation basin built by HDOT in 1972 is situated in Ukumehame just south of the firing ranges. This was built specially to funnel the sediment-heavy waters from the streams in Papalaua Gulch before they enter the Pacific Ocean.

In the central portion of the study area, from Pohaku Aeko Street in Ukumehame to the southern end of the Olowalu peninsula, the Build Alternatives overlap for the most part and run parallel to the existing Honoapiilani Highway. This stretch of the study area is also undeveloped land and is composed of two main habitat or vegetation types: Kiawe-Opiuma Woodland and Buffel Grass Dominated Grassland (Figure 6). Kiawe and opiuma were the dominant tree species with buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) dominating the grassland habitats. Although there is no major development here, this stretch of the study area was highly disturbed with several homeless encampments and the area being used as a dumpsite for scrap cars. In addition, there is ongoing construction of new residential lots near the eastern portion of the study area. Ukumehame is a major perennial stream that intersects this portion of the study area. There are also several tributaries of Kailiili Stream that appear to intersect the study area here (NWI 2023), but no indicators of these aquatic features were found during the investigations of this study (Figures 2 and 8, Table 3, Section 3.5 Areas Not Meeting the Regulatory Definition of WoUS).

The northern one third of the study area runs from the Olowalu Peninsula in the south to the Lahaina Bypass at the north end (Figure 2). This stretch overlaps some small-scale businesses and residences in the Olowalu Village Center, farmland, Olowalu cultural areas, and the Olowalu Residential Recycling and Refuse Center. Compared to the northern and southern portions of the study area, the Build Alternatives in this central Olowalu Peninsula for the most part are more inland from the Pacific Ocean. In the northern part of the study area here toward the Lahaina Bypass, the Build Alternatives overlap again and pass through undeveloped areas near the Pacific Ocean. The vegetation in the western portion of the Olowalu peninsula is composed of mostly large monkey pod (*Samanea saman*) as avenue trees along the existing highway, kiawe, and opiuma, while the inner/eastern portion is dominated by a monotonous expanse of Buffel Grass Dominated Grassland (Figure 6). Cultivated farmlands with vegetable crops and ornamental species were seen cultivated here mostly in the vicinity of Olowalu Village Center. Vegetation toward the Lahaina Bypass end is composed of either Mix Shrubland or Buffel-Grass Dominated Grassland over undeveloped lands. Olowalu is the main perennial stream that bisects the Olowalu peninsula. Four additional streams/gulches -- Mopua, Lihau, Awalua, and Ka Puali -- also intersect this northern one-third portion of the study area (Figure 9).

The study spanned both the wet (January to March) and dry seasons (April to September) and therefore surveys considered the overall and annual hydrology in the study area. In addition, before starting the delineation investigations, we visited the study area on December 20 and 21, 2022 after the area received heavy rainfall, to better understand the hydrology in the study area and document surface flow in some nonperennial streams that intersect the study area. During these visits, vast areas in the vicinity of Ukumehame and the Maui County Firing ranges ponded water. Nonperennial streams in the study area were flowing and carried "brown water" with heavy sediment loads. The banks of the many streams and tributaries were heavily vegetated as well.

Detailed findings of the delineation study are discussed below in Sections 3.3 Identification of Potential Section 404 Wetlands and Section 3.4 Identification of Section 404 waters. Appendices C and D contain the wetland and OHWM datasheets and the associated photographic documentation.

3.3 Identification of Potential Section 404 Wetlands

Areas that were wetlands were dominated by hydrophytes, possessed hydric soil characters, and demonstrated evidence of wetland hydrology. All wetlands are situated in a floodplain that experience seasonal flooding during the winter/rainy season. Out of the eleven wetland areas (Figure 7, W1 to W11) mapped in the study area, Wetlands 1, 3, and 4 have a direct surface connection to the Pacific Ocean via the Hanaula Gulch culvert and are identified here as jurisdictional wetlands. Wetland 2, and Wetlands 5 to 11 do not have an obvious surface connection to the ocean and are identified here as potentially isolated non-jurisdictional wetlands. Approximately 4.6 acres of potential USACE jurisdictional wetlands and 16.7 acres of potentially isolated non-jurisdictional wetlands were identified within the study area (Figure 7 and Table 3). These wetlands were only found in this southern portion of the study area in the Ukumehame region. The largest area of wetlands are in the overlapping Build Alternatives 2 and 3, followed by Build Alternative 1, and the most inland Build Alternative 4 had no wetlands. In general, the wetland areas are situated around the Ukumehame and County Firing Ranges and the interconnected ditch system associated with the Hanaula Gulch (Figure 7). Details of the vegetation, soil, and hydrology characteristics that define the mapped wetlands are discussed below.

3.3.1 Vegetation

Pickleweed (Batis maritima) (OBL) was the dominant obligate plant species found in the mapped wetlands in the study area. Sample points SP2, SP4, and SP12 are representative of these wetland habitat types where the ground cover is mostly dominated by pickleweed (Appendix C). The canopy species in these wetland habitats were FACU kiawe trees that for the most part appeared to be under stress based on having no leaves and were either dead or dving. These pickleweed-dominated wetlands were largely associated with the Hanaula Gulch and associated ditches (also see Section 3.3.3. Hydrology) that are remnant from the sugarcane plantation time on Maui and still received water from streams in the west Maui mountains. This vegetation/habitat "signature"-semi-open, dominated with pickleweed in the understory, with stressed almost dead of dying kiawe trees -- was used to delineate the wetlands in the northern half of Build Alternatives 1 and 2 overlapping the Ukumehame Firing Range and areas around the ditches to the north of the Ukumehame Firing Range. A shift from this wetland "signature" to one with a mix of Pluchea spp. (FAC) and buffel grass (FACU) with live (not stressed) kiawe and haole koa trees, for the most part, marked the boundary between wetland and upland habitats. It should be noted that large areas to the north of the ditches (represented by SP1) contained stands of dead haole koa trees with mostly Pluchea spp. in the understory. This area did not meet the three parameter wetland criteria (Appendix A. Photos 1-2). In fact, pockets of dead haole koa (UPL) trees were also found in other upland areas, but the cause of these localized dead stands was not obvious in the field.

Many areas with very little vegetation also met the three parameter wetland criteria. These areas usually had a prominent salt crust and/or showed evidence of recent ponding with prominent soil cracks, and the soil surface showing red or black deposits/coloration. The few scattering of plants in such areas were mostly prostrate herbs of saltbush (*Atriplex semibaccata*) (FAC) and scattering of grasses such as finger grass (*Chloris* spp.) (FACU). Vegetation at SP3 (Appendix C, Photos 8-10), SP6, (Appendix C, Photos 17-18), and SP7 (Appendix C, Photos 19-20) are representative of such wetland habitats, mostly in Ukumehame Firing Range and areas between here and the access road to the Maui County Firing range.

The third vegetation community that met the three parameter wetland criteria was generally dominated by a mix of facultative *Pluchea* spp. and saltbush, along with FACU species of finger grass. There was also a mix scattered live and dead kiawe trees (FACU) that formed an open canopy. These areas were generally also showed prominent signs of hydrology such as salt crust and soil surface cracks. SP11 (Appendix C, Photos 29-32), SP14 (Appendix C, Photos 34-38), and the areas around the upland "island" (represented by SP 15) contained this wetland habitat type (Figure 7).

Kiawe (FACU), opiuma (FAC), haole koa (UPL), and buffel grass (FACU) were some of the most abundant species in the vast majority of the uplands in the study area. Some upland habitats were also dominated by facultative *Pluchea* spp. (e.g., SP1 and SP8) but did not have either the hydrology or the hydric soil conditions to meet the criteria of a three-parameter wetland.

3.3.2 Soils

Hydric soil indicators observed in several soil pits include distinct redoximorphic concentrations throughout most of the soil profile which had a dark surface layer with soil colors commonly in the range of 5YR3/2 and 7.5YR 2.5/3. The redox concentrations were soft masses with distinct to prominent contrast with the soil surface and colors in the range of 2.5YR4/6 and 5YR4/6 and concentrations ranging from 2 to 20 percent. This corresponds to the F6 – *Redox dark surface*, hydric soil indicator. Dark soil surfaces in some sample pits also tested positive for the effervesce test with 3% hydrogen peroxide (e.g., SP6). The soil types identified in the sampled pits were silty clay, silty loam, and silty clay loams. The soils in the delineated wetlands are mapped as Kealia Silty Loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes and are listed on the National Hydric Soils List as hydric soils (NRCS 2023b). Kealia Silty Loams are common in tidal flat and salt marshes on Maui, are prone to frequent ponding, and are strongly saline.

3.3.3 Hydrology

As described above in Section 3.2, in December 2022, extensive flooding was observed at various locations in the southern portion of the study area overlapping Ukumehame region, particularly overlapping the Build Alternatives 1 and 2. In general, surface water from streams in West Maui mountains is the primary source of hydrology supporting the wetlands in the study area (also see Section 3.4 Identification of Section 404 Other Waters). The surface water that enters the coastal plain, backs up against natural features such as the beach berm or developed infrastructure such as roads creating flooded conditions for varied periods of times during
the wet rainy season and following heavy rains. The wetland is also heavily influenced by salt water from the neighboring Pacific Ocean.

Several primary and secondary hydrology indicators were observed during the study period. As expected, sampling locations in January, at the peak of the wet season, showed more evidence of the primary indicator of surface water and saturation than locations that were sampled between March and September 2023, nonetheless, Drainage Patterns (B10) were obvious during these latter drier months. Water Marks (B1), Saturation (A3), Algal Mat or Crust (B4), and Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) were the primary hydrology indicators observed at the sampled locations in the study area. Surface Water Cracks (B6) was the most prominent secondary hydrology indicator as the system had recently drained and/or dried after ponding. Salt Deposits (C5) was also a common secondary hydrology indicator. Deposition of salt from saline ocean spray as well as through the capillary action of saline ground water had resulted in salt deposits across large unvegetated areas. Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B6) and stunted or stressed plants (D1) were other secondary hydrology indicators at the sampled locations. Lack of hydrology indicator was the main parameter distinguishing wetland from upland areas.

3.3.4 Rationale for Sample Point Choice

Twenty sample points were selected to document conditions in representative jurisdictional and nonjurisdictional areas (Figure 7). Rationale and findings for wetland sample point (Appendix C: SP 1-20) locations are summarized in Table 5. Location of sample points are depicted in Figures 7 and 8. Photos associated with sample points have the same rationale and depiction as sample points and are included in Table 5.

Name	Sampling Rationale	Hydrophytic Vegetation	Hydric Soil?	Wetland Hydrology?	Overall Wetland Assessment
SP1 (Photos 1-2)	Placed to investigate the prevalence of facultative <i>Pluchea</i> spp.	No	No	No	This area does meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP2 (Photos 4 -5)	Placed to investigate area dominated by obligate pickleweed species.	Yes	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 1) meets three parameter wetland criteria.
SP3 (Photo 8-9)	Placed to investigate area with salt crust and with very little vegetation. Lack of vegetation appears to be due to seasonal ponding.	-	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 1) is a two-parameter wetland.
SP4 (Photos 11-12)	Placed to investigate if wetland conditions continue in (fenced in) Ukumehame firing range adjacent to wetland but south of the ditch.	Yes	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 6) meets three parameter wetland criteria.

Table 5. Summary of sample Point (SP) Locations and Result	Table 5.	Summary of Sample Point (SP) Locations and Results
--	----------	--

Name	Sampling Rationale	Hydrophytic Vegetation	Hydric Soil?	Wetland Hydrology?	Overall Wetland Assessment
SP5 (Photos 13-14)	Placed to investigate a large swath of elevated area in the northeastern part of Ukumehame Firing Range with predominantly buffel grass in understory.	No	No	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP6 (Photos 17-18)	Placed to investigate large, sparsely vegetated area with moist platy soils and surface soil cracks.	Yes	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 5 meets the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP7 (Photos 19-20)	Placed to investigate large sparsely vegetated area with platy moist soil with dark black and bright red deposits/coloration on surface.	Yes	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 11) meets the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP8 (Photos 21-22)	Placed on slightly higher ground adjacent to wetland to investigate thicket of facultative <i>Pluchea</i> species under kiawe canopy.	No	No	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP9 (Photos 24-25)	Placed to investigate the unvegetated firebreak dirt road that runs between upland and wetland area.	No	No	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP10 (Photos 26-27)	Placed to investigate the built- up (~ 6 feet) berm (#1 from east) artificially created in the Ukumehame Firing Range. Soils hydric from historic conditions before being placed as a berm.	No	Yes	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
Sp11 (Photos 29-30)	Placed to investigate the low- lying areas between the built- up berms 1 and 2 at the that had predominantly saltbush in understory and surrounded by unvegetated areas with surface crack soils and salt crust.	Yes	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 7) meets three parameter wetland criteria.
SP12	Placed in pickleweed thicket `between firing range berm and the County firing range parking lot.	Yes	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 7) meets three parameter wetland criteria.
SP13 (Photo 33)	Placed on edge between County parking lot to the east and wetland to the west to investigate the edge of wetland. Soil disturbance from construction might have led to artificial piling of hydric soils here.	No	Yes	No	This area does not meet the three- parameter wetland criteria.

Name	Sampling Rationale	Hydrophytic Vegetation	Hydric Soil?	Wetland Hydrology?	Overall Wetland Assessment
SP14 (Photo 34-35)	Placed to investigate typical representative habitat seen in the general area south of Ukumehame Firing Range: Areas with salt crust on soil and patches of FACU kiawe trees with predominantly facultative <i>Pluchea</i> sp. and saltbush in the understory.	Yes	Yes	Yes	This area (Wetland 10) meets three parameter wetland criteria.
SP15 (Photos 39-40)	Placed to investigate a large "island" area within the wetland that appeared to be slightly (~ on feet) higher with thickets of buffel grass in understory and did not show signs of being flooded.	No	Νο	No	This area did not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP16 (Photo 43)	Placed to investigate the HDOT artificially created sedimentation basin	No	No	Yes	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP17 (Photo 44)	Placed to investigate a patch of pickleweed east of the spillway bordering eastern side of the sedimentation basin	No	No	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP18 (Photos 45-46)	Placed to investigate NWI feature of PEM1C	No	No	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP19 (Photos 47-48)	Placed to investigate the eastern edge of the NWI feature	No	No	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.
SP20 (Photos 49-50)	Placed to investigate area next to an isolated ditch.	No	No	No	This area does not meet the three parameter wetland criteria.

3.3.5 Photo Points for Section 404 Wetland

Photo point labels and rationales for photo documentation outside of the sample point locations (Table 5) are presented in Table 6. Photos are depicted on figures 7 and 8 and included in Appendix C.

Table 6.	Coordinates and Rationale for Photo Points (PP)	

Label (As on Figure 7, 8 and 9)	Depiction
PP3	Between SP1 and the existing highway. View to south. Taken to document observed upland conditions like SP1 area.

Label (As on Figure 7, 8 and 9)	Depiction
PP6	Between SP2 and SP3 to show area that was included wetland due to habitat conditions similar to that at SP2
PP7	Shows transition between wetland habitats dominated with pickleweed and dead kiawe and upland habitats with live kiawe and no pickleweed.
PP10	Area south of SP3 to show habitat included as wetlands based on observed similarity with habitat conditions observe at SP3
PP15	View to east toward an area identified as upland based on habitat type, slope, and hydrology conditions observed at SP5.
PP16	Area northeast of SP5 excluded as upland based on similarities in habitat type, slope, and hydrology with SP5.
PP23	The eastern portion of Ukumehame Firing Range on slightly higher ground and identified as upland based on being dominated with upland haole koa species.
PP28	View to south, photo of second berm (from east) excluded as upland based on similarities in habitat type, slope, and hydrology conditions observed at the investigated SP10 location.
PP31	View to west at the low-lying area between berms 2 and 3. Included as wetland based on similarities in habitat type, slope, and hydrology observed at SP11.
PP32	View to west at the low-lying area west of berm 3. Included as wetland due to similarities in habitat type, slope, and hydrology observed at SP11.
PP36	Representative wetland habitat to west of the upland area identified by SP15. View to South.
PP37	Representative wetland habitat to north of the upland area identified by SP15. View to North.
PP38	Representative wetland habitat to east of the upland area identified by SP15. View to East.
PP41	The area northeast of road leading to County firing range and dominated with obligate pickleweed. Included as wetland habitat based on similarities in habitat type with SP12.
PP42	The area northwest of road leading to County firing range and dominated with obligate pickleweed. Included as wetland habitat based on similarities in habitat type with SP12.

3.4 Identification of Section 404 Other Waters

Approximately 5.54 acres of potential other WoUS were identified in the wetland delineation study area. Appendix D contains the OHWM datasheets that describe site conditions at the time of delineation, observed OHWM indicators, rationale for placement of the data gathering transects, and associated photos for the aquatic features mapped during this study. Described below are additional background and relevant details for these mapped and potentially jurisdictional waters.

3.4.1 Manawaipueo Stream

Manawaipueo Stream is a seasonal drainage. On December 20, 2022, and on January 3, January 2023, there was standing water in the gulch overlapping the study area (Appendix D, Figures 51; DLNR 2022). Later, on March

21, construction crew were seen using excavators to clear the culvert. This made it evident that the standing water in the gulch over December and January was due to the water being backed up against the blocked and sedimented-in culvert. Also, for this reason, no OHWM indicators were seen at the lowermost 10 to 15 feet of the stream where sediment excavation was in progress. Other than for this disturbance, clear indicators were seen to map the OHWM level of the stream. There is an old (historic?) and broken concrete bridge at the upper (eastern) part of the gulch (Appendix D, Figure 52). At the time of survey, on March 21, 2023, the soil was saturated, mucky, and slippery with small puddles of water limited to the rocky stream bed in the upper/eastern end. Sediment staining on rocks and concrete at the OHWM level together with shelving of debris above the OHWM here were used to map the OHWM elevation here (Appendix D, Figures 51-54). In the lower stretch of the stream the presence of mud cracks were also used to identify the OHWM level.

3.4.2 Papalaua Gulch

The HDOT's sedimentation basin is situated at the base of the Papalaua Gulch. It was constructed in 1971 to mitigate sediment heavy flows from two unnamed seasonal drainages entering the ocean (Figure 7). These two seasonal drainages provide intermittent and large flows to the sedimentation basin following heavy rains. The first is a narrow (3 to 5 feet wide) nonperennial stream that enters the basin at the southern boundary, turns along the southwestern corner, and flows parallel to the western berm of the basin for about 600 feet; after which the flow fans out into an alluvial flood plain. In the narrow stretch of this stream, before it forms the alluvial fan, heavily sedimented and unvegetated bed to vegetated banks marked the OHWM level here (Appendix D, Photo 55). The second wider stream (10 to 20 feet) enters the sedimentation basin from the southeast, about 800 feet west of the first stream (Figure 7). Transition from unvegetated beds with boulders to vegetated banks with sediment were the clear indicators of OHWM level at this stream (Appendix D, Photo 59). This second stream also fans out into an alluvial plain and the water from both streams is funneled north along a 20 to 60 feet wide unvegetated to partially vegetated flood plain leading into the main central portion of the sediment basin (Appendix D, Photos 55 to 60). There are two large culverts built into the sediment basin that carry the waters from the basin into the Pacific Ocean under the existing Honoapiilani Highway.

3.4.3 Hanaula Gulch and Associated Ditches 1 to 7

Immediately to the north of the Ukumehame Firing Range, there are a series of ditches (Figure 7, Ditches 1 to 7), remnant from when these lands were under sugar plantation, that are interconnected (Figure 7, Appendix D, Photos 61-64). Hanaula Gulch supports the hydrology of this ditch system and the associated wetlands described above in Section 3.3. Hanaula is a seasonal drainage (DLNR 2022) and during the winter rains or following a heavy rain event, this 20-feet wide gulch with high banks, that runs parallel to the northern fence line of the Ukumehame Firing Range (Appendix D, Photo 62) carries water through a culvert under the existing Honoapiilani Road into the Pacific Ocean (Figure 7). At the western end, this ditch is connected to another ditch (D7) via a three-feet plastic culvert and stretches for about 0.25 miles in a north-south direction (perpendicular to the stream flow) (Appendix D, Photo 63). Six additional ditches run parallel to each other and are connected to this long ditch (D7) that runs in the north-south direction. Ditches 1 to 5 were relatively narrow, about six feet across. At the time of the survey there was little to no water in these ditches, but the

beds were saturated. The bed and banks of all the ditches were heavily vegetated with obligate pickleweed. Break in slope, observed above the OHWM level was the main feature to identify these otherwise heavily vegetated ditches. Some ditches that recently conveyed water had a clear line of dead vegetation in the center of the bed, while others had prominent surface soil cracks. Together these were used as OHWM indicators for the ditches. The northern most ditch mapped in this area was the widest, at about 35 feet. Although there was no culvert at this ditch it is connected to the ocean via the 0.25-mile long ditch (running in the north-south direction), which in turn connects to the east-west running Hanaula Gulch (next to the firing range fence) that flows into the Pacific Ocean (Figure 7).

3.4.4 Ditch 8 - Vicinity of Pohaku Aeko Street

One linear ditch, about 700 feet in length was mapped at the intersection of Pohaku Aeko Street and the existing Honoapiilani highway (Figure 7). This feature has concrete culverts and is fenced in on either side of Pohaku Aeko Street (Appendix D, Photos 65-68). The ditch starts at about 50 feet to the south of this Street, and at the time of survey had open standing water in it that appeared to be deep. The concrete edge and the edge of facultative *Pluchea* spp. defined the OHWM level of this feature. The ditch runs under the Street for about 60 feet, daylights, and continues to run northward parallel to the existing Highway for about 680 feet before it abruptly dries and ends. This long stretch of the ditch had water in it that was barely visible because it was so heavily vegetated. The central channel of the ditch was blanketed with obligate pickleweed, and the banks were covered with facultative *Pluchea* shrubs (Appendix D, Photo 67-68). The change in vegetation type was used as the strongest indicator to identify the OHWM level of this aquatic feature. There was no apparent connection of this ditch to the ocean at Pohaku Aeko Street however, the ditch continues underground and daylights at the Ukumehame Stream Bridge. There was evidence of fill in the vicinity of where the ditch abruptly ends.

3.4.5 Ukumehame Stream

Ukumehame is a perennial stream. Ukumehame Stream Bridge on the existing Honoapiilani Highway crosses the stream at the lowermost reach right before it enters the Pacific Ocean. There is also a concrete stream ford at the lower end, east of the bridge. The lower part of the stream overlapping Build Alternatives 1-3 were surveyed on March 23, 2023, and the uppermost reach of the stream in the study area overlapping Build Alternative 4 was surveyed on September 26, 2023. On both the survey dates, ordinary low flow conditions were observed and several OHWM indicators were clearly visible at, below, and above the OHWM elevation. These included sorting of sediment from boulders to fine sediment and exposed roots below the OHWM elevation; wracking of debris above, and scour mark on concrete at the OHWM elevation (Appendix D, Photos 69-73). The average width of the channel between the mapped OHWM levels are 14.25 feet, 20 feet, and 39.75 feet at the upper, middle, and the lower reaches respectively.

3.4.6 Ditch 9-Vicinity of Ehehene Street

There were a few interconnected ditches in the "additional wetland delineation study area" between the existing Honoapiilani Highway and the study area where all the Build Alternative overlap (Figure 8). There is a ditch about 682-foot long that runs parallel to the existing Honoapiilani Highway. It starts about 600 feet north of Ehehene Street and abruptly terminates about 200 feet south of dirt road leading inland from the Highway (Figure 8). Dense impenetrable thickets of haole koa and *Pluchea* shrubs made it difficult to access and investigate this ditch. The water appeared to be low and stagnant, and patches of floating duckweed (*Lemna* sp.) were seen at multiple locations in the ditch (Appendix D, Photos 74-77). Two other ditches, running in the east-west direction and about 400 feet apart feed into this north-south running ditch. Dense vegetation and deep water in these ditches also made it difficult to access and investigate the banks. These aquatic features, however, were relatively clear on the aerial imagery and combination of imagery and field observations were used to map them. There were also a couple of smaller ditches just east of the southern east-west running ditch that appeared to be isolated in the field but could be connected to the main ditch based on aerial imagery. There is also a large water pump, remnant from the sugar cane plantation time where the northern of the two east-west running ditch meets the north-south running ditch. Opposite this feature, on the other side of the Highway is a culvert and the ditches drain from under the Highway via this culvert into the Pacific Ocean.

3.4.7 Mopua Stream

Mopua is a seasonal drainage (DLNR 2022) that intersects all four Build Alternatives in the southern part of the Olowalu Peninsula. At the time of the OHWM study, the stream was dry and did not appear to have channeled surface flows in the recent past. The stream bed for the most part was very shallow (<1 foot), the bed and banks were dominated with dead buffel grass, and overall, there were very weak OHWM indicators (Appendix D, Figures 78-81). Sediment sorting from boulders to smaller rocks and finer sediment was a key indicator in many places. Undercut bank and matted vegetation in few places also helped identify the OHWM level on this stream (Appendix D, Photos 79-81). These OHWM indicators became weaker, and the stream channel could no longer be identified after a stretch of about 890 feet.

Mopua Stream passes through undeveloped private lots with several stone and gravel foundation pads for building and irrigated areas with ornamental and native outplantings. Even though the stream in the study area was dry there was evidence that it flows underground. At multiple places near the course of the stream there were small water pumps, including a water pressure gauge at the easternmost end of the channel in the study area with water being pumped for irrigation. Furthermore, in its lower most reach (outside of the study area) the stream daylights and flows under existing Highway through a concrete culvert, into a ditch that runs parallel to the Highway, and then flows into the Pacific Ocean.

3.4.8 Olowalu Stream

Olowalu is a perennial stream (DLNR 2022) that bisects the Olowalu Peninsula in the study area through undeveloped lands. There was a recent fire in this area that made identification of the OHWM level challenging due to confounding effects of wind and soil erosion as well as the deposition and shifting of debris caused by fires. The stream had normal low flows at the time of the survey which allowed for identifying several below-OHWM-level indicators such as cut in bank and accumulation of debris in between the exposed roots caused by water (Appendix D, 82-87). The stream had runs, riffles, and pools and sharp bends in the middle portion of the stream reach in the study area. The westernmost stretch below the Olowalu Stream Bridge did not burn and was densely vegetated. Sediment marks on concrete and debris accumulation under the bridge helped identify the OHWM level here. Olowalu Stream flows to the Pacific Ocean.

3.4.9 Lihau Stream

Lihau is a seasonal drainage (DLNR 2022) that flows through the northern end of the Olowalu Peninsula and overlaps with all four Build Alternatives. There is a farm at the eastern end of the stream that had irrigation lines leading into and out of the stream. Water was observed in the stream during a reconnaissance visit to the site in March 2023. Given the moist stream bed the stream channel was obvious with green/live vegetation which predominantly composed of haole koa and castor bean shrubs. The stream bed and bank were dry when surveyed in September 2023. (Appendix D, Photos 88-91). OHWM indicators were weak and break in slope, washed away and matted down debris, were some of the few indicators used to identify the OHWM level in this stream. Lihau stream has a clear surface connection to the Pacific Ocean; it flows below the existing Honoapiilani Highway through a concrete culvert, before reaching the ocean.

3.4.10 Awalua Stream

Awalua is a seasonal drainage (DLNR 2022) in the Launiupoko Watershed. At the time of the survey in September 2023, the bed and banks were dry. The stream flows through undeveloped buffel grass grassland in a deep (~20 feet) and wide (~40 feet) gulch with heavily eroded banks that made it challenging in places to determine the OHWM elevation (Appendix D, Photos 92-95). There is a spillway that runs in the north-south direction to divert flows into the grassland to the south. The edge of the spillway together with the undercut banks (from stream flow) were used to distinguish between OHWM and erosional features at the lower/western end of the stream. At the upper eastern end of the stream, the transition from vegetated bed to unvegetated bank slopes with undercut banks marked the OHWM level. Awalua flows through a large concrete culvert under the existing Honoapiilani Highway before entering the Pacific Ocean.

3.4.11 Ka Puali Stream

Ka Puali is the northernmost seasonal drainage (DLNR 2022) in the study area which also overlaps all four Build Alternatives. At the time of the survey in March 2023, small puddles of water were seen in the densely vegetated bed and banks of the stream (Appendix D, Photos 96-99). The density of grasses and shrubs made it challenging to determine the OHWM level in the stream. The moist stream bed supported more shrubs and trees than the banks and this change in vegetation together with the break in slope, and imbedded rocks in the lower banks helped determine the OHWM level for this stream (Appendix D. Photos 96-99). Ka Puali Stream flows through a concrete culvert under the existing Honoapiilani Highway before entering the Pacific Ocean.

3.4.12 Ditches 10, 11, and 12

There were three isolated aquatic features that are identified as potentially isolated non-jurisdictional other waters (Figure 10). These include two ditches (D11 and D12) in the "additional wetland delineation study area" toward the northern end where all the Build Alternative overlap between Ukumehame and the Olowalu peninsula (Figure 10). Both ditches had standing water and no hydrophytic vegetation. They did not appear to

have a surface water connection to any other ditch, stream, or culvert. It is possible that they have an underground connection with the mapped Ditch 9 (Figure 8). Both these ditches were next to an inner road that runs parallel to the existing highway and next to a private residential/agricultural parcel. There were no associated wetlands with these features (Figure 9, SP 20). The third isolated aquatic feature identified as was a sunken hole (lava tube?) about 20 feet in diameter and 20 feet deep. Stagnant water was observed at a depth of about 20 feet, however, there was no obvious connection to any water source. This feature was surrounded by thickets of haole koa (UPL) and kiawe (FACU) shrubs and trees with no signs of hydrology and therefore was identified as potentially isolated non-jurisdictional other water.

3.5 Areas Not Meeting the Regulatory Definition of WoUS

The remainder of the study area does not meet the regulatory definition of Section 404 wetlands or other waters. Wetlands were mapped in two out of the observed fifteen vegetation types: Kiawe Pluchea Woodland and Kiawe Pluchea Woodland with Pickleweed. Non-jurisdictional uplands include the remaining thirteen vegetation types observed in the study area. While facultative *Pluchea* species dominated many of the upland habitats; areas mapped as wetlands differed in that they were associated with perennial or nonperennial streams and ditches, had prominent hydrology indicators, were co-dominated by obligate pickleweed and vast areas of salt crusted unvegetated areas occurred in these habitats, and had clear hydric soil indicators as well.

In conclusion, H. T. Harvey & Associates' delineation of Section 404 WoUS in the Project's study area is based upon our best professional judgement. Federal jurisdiction is solely dependent on the determination and confirmation by USACE. Acceptance may require a site visit by a USACE representative to confirm the delineation data points gathered in the surveyed area. This delineation is not official until HDOT receives a Jurisdictional Determination letter from USACE.

The County of Maui (the County) has a new law, Ordinance 5421, to protect and restore wetlands in the County (County of Maui 2023a). Wetlands that meet any two parameters used to identify Section 404 jurisdictional WoUS, are protected under this ordinance. As such, wetlands and waters delineated in this study are likely to meet the County's Ordinance 5421 criteria. The County is in the process of mapping wetlands on Maui to create a Wetlands Overlay Map (Count of Maui 2023b). Implementation of Ordinance 5421 is expected to start after completion of this Wetlands Overlay Map. H. T. Harvey & Associates recommends that HDOT consult with the County of Maui Planning Department to discuss potential impacts to wetlands that meet the criteria under Ordinance 5421.

- County of Maui. 2023a. Ordinance NO. 5421. https://www.mauicounty.gov/DocumentCenter/View/137562/Ord-5421. Accessed October.
- County of Maui. 2023b. Wetlands Restoration and Protection Project. https://www.mauicounty.gov/2724/Wetlands-Restoration-and-Protection-Proj. Accessed October 24.
- David, G. C. L., K. M. Fritz, T.-L. Nadeau, B. J. Topping, A. O. Allen, P. H. Trier, S. L. Kichefski, et al. 2022. National Ordinary High Water Mark Field Delineation Manual for Rivers and Streams: Interim Version (ERDC/CRREL TR-22-26). U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.
- [DLNR] Department of Land and Natural Resources. 2022. Hawaii Streams. Hawaii Statewide GIS Program. https://geoportal.hawaii.gov/datasets/56046b3578064d989ffa485e4ed3dc46/explore?location=20. 818798%2C-156.591537%2C14.56>. Accessed August 25, 2023.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. U.S. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Department of the Army.
- Giambelluca, T. W., Q. Chen, A. G. Frazier, J. P. Price, Y.-L. Chen, P.-S. Chu, J. K. Eischeid, and D. M. Delparte. 2013. Online rainfall atlas of Hawaii. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 94:313–316.
- Google Inc. 2023. Google Earth Pro (Version 7.1.5.1557) [Software]. http://earth.google.com>. Accessed March 10.
- Imada, I. T. 2019. Hawaiian Naturalized Vascular Plants Checklist. Bishop Museum Technical Report 69. http://hbs.bishopmuseum.org/publications/pdf/tr69.pdf>. Accessed November 2021.
- Lichvar, R. W., D. L. Banks, W. N. Kirchner, and N. C. Melvin. 2020. Hawaii 2020 Regional Plant List. The National Wetland Plant List: 2016 Wetland Ratings. Phytoneuron 2016-30:1–17. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Munsell. 2021. Soil Color Charts, Munsell Color X-rite. Grand Rapids, Michigan.
- [NRCS] Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the U.S.: A Guide for Identifying and Delineating Hydric Soils (Version 8.2). U.S. Department of Agriculture. Prepared with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.

- [NRCS] Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2023a. Web Soil Survey. U.S. Department of Agriculture. http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>. Accessed March 10.
- [NRCS] Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2023b. National Hydric Soils List. http://www.nrcs.usda. gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/use/hydric/>. Accessed March 10.
- [NWI] National Wetlands Inventory. 2023. Wetlands Mapper. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Wetlands-Mapper.html. Accessed March 10.
- [NWS] National Weather Service. 2023. Precipitation Summaries. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. ">https://www.weather.gov/hfo/hydro_summary (https://www.weather.gov/hfo/hydro_summary")
- Office of Planning. 2017. Hawaii Statewide GIS Program. Streams (Perennial and Non-Perennial) of the State of Hawaii. http://geoportal.hawaii.gov/datasets/56046b3578064d989ffa485e4ed3dc46_1. Accessed March 10.
- Parham, J. E., G. R. Higashi, E. K. Lapp, D. G. K. Kuamoo, R. T. Nishimoto, S. Hau, J. M. Fitzsimons, et al. 2008. Atlas of Hawaiian Watersheds and Their Aquatic Resources. A Joint Project between the Hawaii Division of Aquatic Resources and Bishop Museum. http://hawaiiwatershedatlas.com/watersheds/ oahu/36006.pdf>.
- [USACE] U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2005. Regulatory Guidance Letter. No. 05-05. December 7.
- [USACE] U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Hawaii and Pacific Islands Region (Version 2.0). Wetlands Regulatory Assistance Program.
- [USACE] U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2016. Updated Map and Drawing Standards for the South Pacific Division Regulatory Program.
- Wagner, W. L., D. R. Herbst, and S. H. Sohmer. 1999. Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii. Two volumes. Revised edition. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.

Appendix A. Plants Observed in the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status ¹	Relative Abundance ²	Wetland Indicator Status ³
Malvaceae	Abutilon incanum (Link) Sweet	Hoary abutilon	Alien	Uncommon	UPL
Malvaceae	Abutilon grandifolium (Willd.) Sweet	Hairy abutilon	Native	Rare	UPL
Poaceae	Cenchrus ciliaris L.	Buffel grass	Alien	Abundant	FACU
Fabaceae	<i>Prosopis pallida</i> (Humb. & Bonpl. Ex Willd.)	Kiawe	Alien	Abundant	FACU
Fabaceae	Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de Wit	Haole koa	Alien	Abundant	UPL
Malvaceae	Sida fallax	ilima	Native	Uncommon	UPL
Santalaceae	Santalum ellipticum	Sandalwood	Native	Rare	UPL
Sapindaceae	Dodonaea viscosa	aalii	Native	Rare	FACU
Areceaea	Washingtonia robusta H. Wendl.	Mexican fan palm	Alien	Uncommon	FAC
Fabaceae	Pithecellobium dulce(Roxb.) Benth.	Opiuma	Alien	Abundant	FAC
Asteraceae	Pluchea indica (L.) Less.	Indian fleabane	Alien	Abundant	FAC
Asteraceae	Pluchea x fosbergii Cooperr. & Galang	Marsh fleabane	Alien	Abundant	4FAC
Poaceae	Megathyrsus maximus	Guinea grass	Alien	Abundant	FAC
Asteraceae	Xanthium strumarium	cocklebur	Alien	Common	FACU
Bataceae	Batis maritima	Pickleweed	Alien	Abundant	OBL
Aizoaceae	Sesuvium portulacastrum	Akuiluli	Native	Common	FAC
Amaranthaceae	Chenopodium murale	Nettleleaf goosefoot	Alien	Uncommon	FACU
Fabaceae	Chamaecrista nictitans	Partridge pea	Alien	Uncommon	FACU
Nyctaginaceae	Boerhavia sp.	Alena	Alien	Uncommon	FAC
Fabaceae	Crotalaria pallida	Smooth rattlepod	Alien	Uncommon	FAC
Poaceae	Cenchrus echinatus	Sandbur	Alien	Rare	FACU
Cyeraceae	Cyperus rotundus	Purple nutsedge	Alien	Uncommon	FACU
Asteraceae	Bidens alba	Florida beggartick	Alien	Uncommon	UPL

Plant Species Observed in the Honoapi'ilani Highway Improvement Wetland Study Area

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status ¹	Relative Abundance ²	Wetland Indicator Status ³
Fabaceae	Desmanthus pernambucanus	Slender mimosa	Alien	Uncommon	FACU
Portulacaceae	Portulaca oleracea	pigweed	Alien	Rare	FACU
Poaceae	Eragrostis amabilis	lovegrass	Alien	Common	FAC
Convolvulaceae	lpomoea triloba L.	Little bell	Alien	Uncommon	FAC
Zygophyllaceae	Tribulus terrestris L.	Puncture vine	Alien	Uncommon	UPL
Poaceae	Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers.	Bermuda grass	Alien	Common	FACU
Poaceae	Digitaria abyssinica (Hochst. Ex. A.Rich.) Stapf	Finger grass	Alien	Common	UPL
Malvaceae	Waltheria indica L.	Uhaloa	Native	Common	FACU
Euphorbiaceae	Ricinus communis L.	Castor bean	Alien	Common	FACU
Fabaceae	Samanea saman (Jacq.) Merr.	Monkey pod	Alien	Common	UPL
Fabaceae	Macroptilium atropurpureum (DC.) Urb.	Vining cow pea	Alien	Common	FAC
Musaceae	Musa sp.	Banana	Pol	Uncommon	FACU
Bromeliaceae	Ananas comosus L. Merr	Pineapple	Alien	Rare	UPL
Arecaceae	Cocos nucifera L.	Coconut	Pol	Uncommon	FACU
Moraceae	Artocarpus altilis (Parkinson ex Z) Fozberg	Breadfruit	Pol	Rare	UPL
Nyctaginaceae	Bougainvillea spectabilis Willd.	Bougainvillea	Alien	Uncommon	UPL
Anacardiaceae	Mangifera indica L.	Mango	Alien	Rare	FACU
Malvaceae	Abutilon incanum (Link) Sweet	Hoary abutilon	Alien	Uncommon	UPL
Cucurbitaceae	Momordica charantia L.	Bitter melon vine	Alien	Common	FAC
Convolvulaceae	Merremia tuberosa (L.) Rendle	Woodrose	Alien	Common	UPL
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia hirta L.	Hairy spurge	Alien	Uncommon	FACU
Asteraceae	Tridax procumbens L.	Coat buttons	Alien	Uncommon	FAC
Amaranthaceae	Amaranthus viridis L.	Slender amaranth	Alien	Common	FACU
Heliotropiaceae	Heliotropium amplexicaule Vahl	Heliotrope	Alien	Uncommon	UPL

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status ¹	Relative Abundance ²	Wetland Indicator Status ³
Fabaceae	Peltophorum pterocarpum (DC.) K.Heyne	Copper pod	Alien	Rare	UPL
Malvaceae	Sida rhombifolia L.	Cuban jute	Alien	Rare	FACU
Goodeniaceae	Scaevola taccada (Gaertn.) Roxb.	Naupaka	Native	Rare	UPL
Lamiaceae	Leonotis nepetifolia (L.) R.Br.	Lion's ear	Alien	Uncommon	FACU
Poaceae	Chloris gayana Kunth	Rhodes grass	Alien	Abundant	FACU
Cucurbitaceae	Cucumis dipsaceus Ehrenb. Ex Spach	Wild cucumber	Alien	Uncommon	UPL
Passifloraceae	Passiflora foetida L.	Love in a mist	Alien	Uncommon	FACU
Myrtaceae	Syzygium cumini (L.) Skeels	Java plum	Alien	Common	FAC
Asteraceae	Zinnia peruviana (L.) L.	Zinnia	Alien	Rare	UPL
Malvaceae	Thespesia populnea (L.) Sol. Ex Corrêa	Milo	Native	Rare	FAC

Status Notes: alien = introduced or alien (all those plants brought to the Hawaiian Islands by humans, intentionally or accidentally, after Western contact [i.e., Cook's arrival in the islands in 1778]). Native = species that occur naturally in the Hawaiian Islands including indigenous species that have a wider distribution outside of Hawaii.

² Qualitative Relative Abundance of Observed Species in Study Area: A = abundant forming a major part of the vegetation in the Biological Study Area. C = common—widely scattered throughout the Biological Study Area or locally abundant in a portion of it. U = uncommon scattered sparsely throughout the Biological Study Area or occurring in a few small patches. R = rare—only a few isolated individuals in the Biological Study Area.

³ Wetland Indicator Status Source: USACE 2023. Hawaii and Pacific Islands 2020 Regional Wetland Plant List. Available at: https://wetlandplants.sec.usace.army.mil/nwpl_static/v34/home/home.html

⁴ Pluchea x fosbergii, not listed in the Lichvar et al, 2020 plant list is a hybrid of the two facultative *Pluchea inidica* and *Plucheal carolinensis* and is therefore treated here as a facultative species.

Appendix B. Natural Resources Conservation Service Custom Soil Report for the Project Area



USDA United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Island of Maui, Hawaii



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
İsland of Maui, Hawaii	14
BS—Beaches	14
EaA—Ewa silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, MLRA 158	15
JaC—Jaucas sand, 0 to 15 percent slopes, MLRA 163	16
KMW—Kealia silt loam, frequent ponding, 0 to 1 percent slopes,	
MLRA 163	17
PpA—Pulehu silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	
PsA—Pulehu clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes , MLRA 163	19
PtA—Pulehu cobbly clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	20
PtB—Pulehu cobbly clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	21
rCI—Cinder land	22
rRK—Rock land	23
rRO—Rock outcrop	24
rRS—Rough broken and stony land	25
rSM—Stony alluvial land	
W—Water > 40 acres	27
WyC—Wainee extremely stony silty clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes,	
MLRA 158	27
References	29

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



		-		
Area of Inte	e rest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines	00 V	Very Stony Spot Wet Spot	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
Special F	Soil Map Unit Points	۵ ••	Other Special Line Features	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
() ()	Blowout Borrow Pit	Water Fear	tures Streams and Canals ation	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
× ◇	Clay Spot Closed Depression	÷÷ ~	Rails Interstate Highways	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
 Ø	Gravelly Spot	~	US Routes Major Roads	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
A.	Lava Flow Marsh or swamp	Backgrou	Local Roads nd Aerial Photography	Soil Survey Area: Island of Maui, Hawaii Survey Area Data: Version 21, Sep 8, 2023
☆ ©	Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
0 V	Perennial Water Rock Outcrop			11, 2020
+ .∙:	Saline Spot Sandy Spot			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
⊜	Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole			
¢ Ø	Slide or Slip Sodic Spot			

10

Map Unit Legend

Man Unit Symphol Man Unit Name			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
BS	Beaches	34.3	1.7%
EaA	Ewa silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, MLRA 158	25.9	1.3%
JaC	Jaucas sand, 0 to 15 percent slopes, MLRA 163	23.3	1.1%
KMW	Kealia silt loam, frequent ponding, 0 to 1 percent slopes, MLRA 163	190.7	9.2%
РрА	Pulehu silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	49.8	2.4%
PsA	Pulehu clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes , MLRA 163	203.0	9.8%
PtA	Pulehu cobbly clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	294.4	14.2%
PtB	Pulehu cobbly clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	137.3	6.6%
rCl	Cinder land	26.5	1.3%
rRK	Rock land	334.1	16.1%
rRO	Rock outcrop	2.1	0.1%
rRS	Rough broken and stony land	10.0	0.5%
rSM	Stony alluvial land	385.7	18.6%
W	Water > 40 acres	2.4	0.1%
WyC	Wainee extremely stony silty clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes, MLRA 158	226.6	10.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		2,071.1	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made

up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example. An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Island of Maui, Hawaii

BS—Beaches

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hq7b Elevation: 0 to 10 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 75 inches Mean annual air temperature: 72 to 75 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Beaches: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Beaches

Setting

Landform: Beaches Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coral, sea shells, basalt and olivine

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: coarse sand H2 - 6 to 60 inches: coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 5 percent
Drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 72 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 99 percent
Maximum salinity: Strongly saline (16.0 to 32.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 5.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8w Hydric soil rating: No

EaA—Ewa silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, MLRA 158

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2yyrq Elevation: 0 to 240 feet Mean annual precipitation: 16 to 23 inches Mean annual air temperature: 79 to 81 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Ewa and similar soils: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Ewa

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, stream terraces, mountain slopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainbase, lower third of mountainflank, tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Concave, convex Parent material: Alluvium derived from basalt

Typical profile

Ap1 - 0 to 13 inches: silty clay loam *Ap2 - 13 to 18 inches:* silty clay loam *Bw1 - 18 to 45 inches:* silty clay loam *Bw2 - 45 to 60 inches:* silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 1 Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R158XY002HI - Isohyperthermic Torric Naturalized Grassland Hydric soil rating: No

JaC—Jaucas sand, 0 to 15 percent slopes, MLRA 163

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2w02z Elevation: 0 to 1,140 feet Mean annual precipitation: 13 to 77 inches Mean annual air temperature: 73 to 77 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Jaucas and similar soils: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Jaucas

Setting

Landform: Beaches Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Parent material: Sand sized coral and sea shells sandy marine deposits derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

AC - 0 to 13 inches: sand *C1 - 13 to 22 inches:* sand *C2 - 22 to 60 inches:* sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (6.00 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: Rare
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 99 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 7s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: A Hydric soil rating: No

KMW—Kealia silt loam, frequent ponding, 0 to 1 percent slopes, MLRA 163

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2w035 Elevation: 0 to 260 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 41 inches Mean annual air temperature: 73 to 77 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Kealia and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Kealia

Setting

Landform: Tidal flats, salt marshes Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf, dip Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear, concave Parent material: Alluvium over beach sand

Typical profile

Az - 0 to 3 inches: silt loam Bz1 - 3 to 8 inches: loam Bz2 - 8 to 19 inches: loam Bz3 - 19 to 27 inches: loam Czg - 27 to 35 inches: fine sandy loam 2Czg - 35 to 64 inches: fine sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 1 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Runoff class: Negligible
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 12 to 42 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Frequency of ponding: Frequent
Maximum salinity: Strongly saline (16.0 to 32.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7w Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: Yes

Minor Components

Kealia, deep water table

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Tidal flats, salt marshes Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear, concave Hydric soil rating: No

Salt flats

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Tidal marshes Hydric soil rating: Yes

PpA—Pulehu silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqbh Elevation: 0 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 35 inches Mean annual air temperature: 73 to 75 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Pulehu and similar soils: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Pulehu

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 21 inches: silt loam H2 - 21 to 60 inches: silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Low

Custom Soil Resource Report

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 1.98 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: NoneOccasional Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 1 Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R158XY002HI - Isohyperthermic Torric Naturalized Grassland Hydric soil rating: No

PsA—Pulehu clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes , MLRA 163

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2x1vv Elevation: 0 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 72 to 79 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Pulehu and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Pulehu

Setting

Landform: Flood plains, stream terraces, alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope, backslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread, rise Down-slope shape: Concave, convex, linear Across-slope shape: Convex, concave Parent material: Alluvium derived from igneous rock

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 21 inches: clay loam *2C1 - 21 to 33 inches:* loam *3C2 - 33 to 37 inches:* loamy sand *4C3 - 37 to 47 inches:* fine sandy loam *5C4 - 47 to 60 inches:* silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Low
Custom Soil Resource Report

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: Rare Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 1 Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R158XY002HI - Isohyperthermic Torric Naturalized Grassland Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Mala

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, rise Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Ewa

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

Waialua

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, rise Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

PtA—Pulehu cobbly clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqbn Elevation: 0 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 35 inches Mean annual air temperature: 73 to 75 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Pulehu and similar soils: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Pulehu

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 21 inches: cobbly clay loam H2 - 21 to 60 inches: silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: NoneOccasional
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R158XY002HI - Isohyperthermic Torric Naturalized Grassland Hydric soil rating: No

PtB—Pulehu cobbly clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqbp Elevation: 0 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 35 inches Mean annual air temperature: 73 to 75 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Pulehu and similar soils: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pulehu

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 21 inches: cobbly clay loam H2 - 21 to 60 inches: silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 7 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: NoneOccasional
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R158XY002HI - Isohyperthermic Torric Naturalized Grassland Hydric soil rating: No

rCI—Cinder land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqck Elevation: 8,000 to 10,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 20 to 100 inches Mean annual air temperature: 43 to 73 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Cinder land: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Cinder Land

Setting

Landform: Cinder cones Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 60 inches: paragravel

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8s Hydric soil rating: No

rRK—Rock land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqcq Elevation: 0 to 6,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 75 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Rock land and similar soils: 55 percent Rock outcrop: 45 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Rock Land

Setting

Landform: Pahoehoe lava flows Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank, side slope, riser, rise Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Basalt

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 4 inches: silty clay loam H2 - 4 to 8 inches: silty clay H3 - 8 to 20 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 70 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 4 to 10 inches to lithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Rock Outcrop

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 60 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 10 to 70 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 0 inches to lithic bedrock
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 0.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8s

rRO—Rock outcrop

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqcr Elevation: 0 to 10,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 175 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 75 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Rock outcrop: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Rock Outcrop

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 60 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 99 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 0 inches to lithic bedrock
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 0.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8s

Hydric soil rating: No

rRS—Rough broken and stony land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqct Elevation: 0 to 4,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 20 to 200 inches Mean annual air temperature: 61 to 73 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Rough broken and stony land: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Rough Broken And Stony Land

Setting

Landform: Gulches Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium & colluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: very stony silty clay H2 - 8 to 18 inches: silty clay H3 - 18 to 60 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 40 to 70 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 12 to 55 inches to paralithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydric soil rating: No

rSM—Stony alluvial land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hqcw Elevation: 0 to 1,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 72 to 75 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Stony alluvial land and similar soils: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Stony Alluvial Land

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 10 inches: extremely stony clay loam *H2 - 10 to 60 inches:* bouldery silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (1.98 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 6 to 12 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: A/D Hydric soil rating: No

W—Water > 40 acres

Map Unit Composition

Water > 40 acres: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

WyC—Wainee extremely stony silty clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes, MLRA 158

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2xn17 Elevation: 60 to 610 feet Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 24 inches Mean annual air temperature: 61 to 77 degrees F Frost-free period: 365 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Wainee, extremely stony, and similar soils: 90 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Wainee, Extremely Stony

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes, alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainbase, side slope, rise Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium derived from volcanic rock

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 12 inches: extremely stony silty clay *Bw1 - 12 to 26 inches:* very stony silty clay *Bw2 - 26 to 36 inches:* extremely stony silty clay *CBk - 36 to 60 inches:* extremely stony silty clay

Properties and qualities

Slope: 7 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 8.5 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent *Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches:* Low (about 3.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 6s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: R158XY004HI - Rocky Isohyperthermic Torric Naturalized Grassland Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Wahikuli, very stony

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Mountain slopes, alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainbase, side slope, rise Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

Appendix C.	Wetland Determination Data Form – Hawaii
	and Pacific Islands Region and Photo
	Documentation

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project	City: Ukumehan	^{ne} Sampling Date: 3	3/23/23 Time: 10:55 am
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Com	h.: HI Island: Maui	Sampling Point: SP1
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson		TMK/	Parcel:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):	L	ocal relief (concave, convex, no	one): <u>None</u>
Lat: 156.582423°W Long: 20.75	97866°N	Datum:	Slope (%):
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam, frequent ponding		NWI classification	on: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this	time of year? Yes X No	o (If no, explain in Rem	arks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology sig	gnificantly disturbed? A	re "Normal Circumstances" pres	sent? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology na	turally problematic? (It	needed, explain any answers in	n Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map s	howing sampling poin	t locations, transects, ir	nportant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	X Is the Same	lad Area	

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No X Yes No X Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No <u>×</u>
Remarks:				

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
1. Leucaena leucocephala	50	Y	UPL	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Prosopis pallida	5	N	UPL	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4				Demonstrat Deminant Creation
5				That Are OBL FACW, or FAC: 33% (A/B)
	55	= Total Co	ver	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. Pluchea indica	70	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
3.				FACW species x 2 =
4.				FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
	70	- Total Co	ver	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Cenchrus ciliaris	25	Υ	UPL	
2. Atriplex semibaccata	5	N	FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A =
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5	·	·		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7	·			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
0	·	·		Remarks or in the delineation report)
0	30	Tatal Ca		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:			ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1				be present, unless disturbed of problematic.
2	·			Hydrophytic
<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	·	- Total Ca		Vegetation Present? Ves No X
Demode			vei	
Remarks:				

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	6					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Type ¹ Loc ² Texture			Remark	S
0-2								Coarse I	itter	
2-12	5YR 3/3						Silty Loam	Some w	hite sand,	~20%
12-15	5yr 2.5/2					Loam	No grittir	ness		
¹ Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, MS	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	² Locatio	on: PL=Por	e Lining, M	=Matrix.
Hydric Soi	Indicators:						Indicators	for Proble	matic Hydr	ic Soils':
Histosc	ol (A1)		Sandy Redox	x (S5)			Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)	
Histic E	Epipedon (A2)		Dark Surface	e (S7)			Sandy	Mucky Min	eral (S1)	
Black H	listic (A3)		Loamy Gleye	ed Matrix (F	F2)		Red P	arent Mater	ial (F21)	
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	trix (F3)			Very S	hallow Darl	k Surface (T	F12)
Muck F	Presence (A8)		Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)		Other	(Explain in I	Remarks)	
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Date	rk Surface	(F7)					
Thick D	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Depre	essions (F8	3)	³ Indic	ators of hydrop	hytic veget	ation and w	etland hydrolog
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)				,	mu	ust be present,	unless distu	irbed or pro	blematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes	No X
Remarks:							1			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors: (Explain observa	tions in Remarks, if needed.)		
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one required; chec	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Surface Water (A1)	_	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	_	Tilapia Nests (B17)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	_	_ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	_	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	_	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3)	_	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	oils (C6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	_	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	_	_ Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Gua	m, CNMI,	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7)	and American Samoa)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B	.9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present?	Yes No _X	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes No X	Depth (inches):	Wetland H	lydrology Present? Yes No χ
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitoring	g well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if ava	ilable:
Remarks:				
Sand, rubble, cobble	es on surface r	probably from previous d	isturban	ce.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,		



Photo 1. At SP1 Facing South; Area Dominated with Pluchea spp. (FAC) and Buffel Grass (FACU)



Photo 2. At SP1 Facing West; Representative of Uplands in this Area



Photo 3. Area West of SP1 between Existing Highway and SP1; Representative of Upland Conditions Observed at SP1 with Mix of Pluchea spp. (FAC) and Buffel Grass (FACU) and Showing no Signs of Hydrology

Project/Site:	City:		Sampling Date:	Time:
Applicant/Owner:	State/Terr/	Comlth.:	Island:	Sampling Point: SP2
Investigator(s):			TMK/Pai	rcel:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):		Local relief (cor	icave, convex, none)):
Lat: Long:		Datu	ım:	_ Slope (%):
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI classification:	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time	of year? Yes	No (If n	o, explain in Remark	is.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signific	antly disturbed?	Are "Normal Cire	cumstances" present	t? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natural	ly problematic?	(If needed, expla	ain any answers in R	emarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map show	ving sampling	point locations	, transects, imp	oortant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	Is the S	Sampled Area		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No	within	a Wetland?	Yes	No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No			···· .	

Remarks:

	Absolute	Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1)	% Cover		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	(A)
2			Total Number of Dominant	
3			Species Across All Strata:	(B)
4 5			Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= I otal Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet:	
1.			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
2.			OBL species x 1 =	_
3.			FACW species x 2 =	_
4.			FAC species x 3 =	_
5.			FACU species x 4 =	_
		= Total Cover	UPL species x 5 =	_
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			Column Totals: (A)	_ (B)
2.			Prevalence Index = B/A =	
3.			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
4.			1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
5.			2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
6.			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹	
7			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain Remarks or in the delineation report)	n in
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology m be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	nust
1			Hydrophytic	
2		= Total Cover	Vegetation Present? Yes No	
Remarks:			•	

Sampling Point:

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)										
Depth	Matrix		Redox Features							
(inches) C	Color (moist)	% 0	color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	F	Remarks	
<u> </u>										
				<u> </u>						
							2			
Type: C=Concer	ntration, D=Deple	etion, RM=Rec	uced Matrix, M	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	Location: F	L=Pore Lir	ning, M=Ma	atrix.
Hydric Soli Indic	ators:						Indicators for H	robiemati	ic Hydric S	Solis :
Histosol (A1)		_	Sandy Redo	x (S5)			Stratified La	ayers (A5)		
Histic Epiped	on (A2)	_	Dark Surface (S7)			Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)				
Black Histic (A	A3)	_	Loamy Gley	ed Matrix (I	F2)		Red Parent	Material (F	-21)	
Hydrogen Su	lfide (A4)	·	K Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)			Very Shallo	w Dark Su	rface (TF12	2)
Muck Presen	ce (A8)	—	_ Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Depleted Below	ow Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)					
Thick Dark St	urface (A12)	_	_ Redox Depr	essions (F8	3)	³ Indica	ators of hydrophytic	vegetation	n and wetla	nd hydrology
Sandy Gleyed	d Matrix (S4)					mus	st be present, unles	s disturbec	d or probler	natic.
Restrictive Layer	r (if observed):									
Туре:			_							
Depth (inches)	:		_				Hydric Soil Pres	sent? Ye	es X	No
Remarks:										

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)						
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)					
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No</u> Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No</u> Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	pections), if available:					
Remarks:						



Photo 4. At SP2 Facing East; Area Dominated by Obligate Pickleweed (Batis maritima) Species



Photo 5. Soil Pit at SP2 with Obligate Pickleweed (Batis maritima) Species



Photo 6. Representative Area between SP2 and SP3 Included in Wetland as Similar to SP2 in Observed Vegetation, Slope, and Hydrology Characteristics



Photo 7. Area South of SP3 Showing Transition (White Dash Line) between Wetland—Right Side with Pickleweed (*Batis maritima*) [OBL] and Dead Kiawe (Prosopis pallida) (FACU) Trees and Upland—Upper Left Side with Live Kiawe Trees and No Pickleweed in Understory

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 3.24.23	³ Time: 11:17
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island:	Sampling Point: <u>SP3</u>
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson		TMK/Parce	l:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):	Local relie	ef (concave, convex, none): _	
Lat: <u>156.582016°W</u> Long: <u>20.796</u>	6921°N	Datum:	Slope (%):
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silty Loam		NWI classification: Ar	ea includes "Riverine" features
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	me of year? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology sign	nificantly disturbed? Are "Norm	al Circumstances" present?	Yes <u>Y</u> No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology nate	urally problematic? (If needed	, explain any answers in Ren	narks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map sh	nowing sampling point locat	ions, transects, impo	rtant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Hydric Soil Present? Yes X	Is the Sampled Area	Yes <u>X</u> No	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Yes X

No

Wetland Hydrology Present?

Remarks:

	Absolute	Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species? Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or EAC: (A)	
2				
3		·	Total Number of Dominant	
<u>.</u>			Species Across All Strata. (b)	
		·	Percent of Dominant Species	
- 5		Total Cover	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/E	3)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			Prevalence Index worksheet:	
1.			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
2.			OBL species x 1 =	
3.			FACW species x 2 =	
4.			FAC species x 3 =	
5.			FACU species x 4 =	
		= Total Cover	UPL species x 5 =	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		-	Column Totals: (A) (B	3)
1				
2			Prevalence Index = B/A =	
3		<u> </u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
4			1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
5			2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
6			3 - Prevalence Index is $≤3.0^1$	
7			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in	
8.			Remarks or in the delineation report)	
	90%	= Total Cover	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
1				
2			Vegetation	
		= Total Cover	Present? Yes No	

Remarks:

No vegetation. Salt crusted bare ground. Because SP3 is surrounded by obligate and salt tolerant Batis maritima species spreading toward SP3, it strongly suggests that this area would be eventually covered with this obligate species.

Profile Des	cription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	the absence	of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix	Red	Redox Features						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ² Texture R				Remarks		
0-04								salt crust	
0.04-8	5YR 3/2	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	С	Matrix/ Interior	Silty loam	Prominent	
8-16	7.5YR 3/2	>95	7.5Yr 5/6 <5 C Matrix/Interior Silty loam Prominent			Prominent			
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=De	oletion, RN	/=Reduced Matrix, M	IS=Maske	d Sand Gr	ains.	² l ocati	on: PI =Pore Lining, M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Muck Presence (A8) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Restrictive Layer (if observed):			 Sandy Redox (S5) Dark Surface (S7) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Depleted Matrix (F3) X Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Redox Depressions (F8) ³Indica 				Stratified Layers (A5) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) ators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology st be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
Type: Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes \underline{X} No	
Remarks:									
Salt crus	t on bare gro	und; no	vegetation. E	Bright c	orange r	nottles	. Oxidizec	l root channels.	
HYDROLOG	Y								
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators	: (Explain	observations in Rem	narks, if ne	eded.)				
Primony Indi	cotore (minimum of	ono roquir	ad: abaak all that and				Second	any Indicators (minimum of two required)	

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)						Sec	condary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)					Х	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)				Tilapia Nests (B17)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Drainage Patterns (B10)
X Water Marks (B1)			Х	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Х	Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3)				Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Sc	oils (C6)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Thin Muck Surface (C7)			Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guar	m, CNMI,		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aer	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and			and American Samoa)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B	Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)						
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No		Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes	No		_ Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes X	_ No _		Depth (inches): Wetland I		Hydr	ology Present? Yes $\frac{X}{2}$ No
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge,	monitor	ing	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if ava	ailabl	e:
Remarks:							



Photo 8. At SP3 - Salt Crusted Bare Ground Devoid of Vegetation



Photo 9. At SP3 - Oxidized Root Channels Observed in Hydric Soils



Photo 10. Representative Area South of SP3 Showing Habitat Conditions Similar to that Observed at SP2 and Therefore Included as Wetland

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement	Project City: U	kumehame	Sampling Date: 1.5.2	²³ Time: 2:30 pm			
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transpor	tation State/T	err/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point: SP4			
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson			TMK/Par	cel:			
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.): Coastal	blain	Local relief (concave, convex, none): none					
Lat: 156.579819°W	Long: 20.796367°N		Datum:	_ Slope (%):			
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silty Loam			NWI classification:	"Riverine" features border the area			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typ	vical for this time of year? Yes $\frac{x}{2}$	No	(If no, explain in Remarks	5.)			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrolog	y significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal	Circumstances" present	? Yes <u>Y</u> No			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrolog	y naturally problematic?	(If needed, e	explain any answers in Re	emarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.							
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	K No Is t	he Sampled Area					

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes X	No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X	No
Remarks:					

The second secon	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Iree Stratum</u> (Plot size: 13 sq teet)	<u>% Cover</u>	<u>Species?</u>	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
	10	·	FACO	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	- <u> </u>	·		Total Number of Dominant
3			. <u> </u>	Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
4	·	·		Percent of Dominant Species
5	<u> </u>	·		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50% (A/B)
	10	= Total Co	ver	Drevelence Index werkeheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Prevalence index worksneet:
1	. <u></u>	·		I otal % Cover of: Multiply by:
2	·	·	·	OBL species $\frac{55}{2}$ $x = \frac{55}{2}$
3				FACW species $\frac{0}{10}$ x 2 = $\frac{0}{10}$
4	. <u> </u>	·		FAC species 10 x 3 = 30
5				FACU species 10 x 4 = 40
		= Total Co	over	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A) <u>125</u> (B)
1. Batis maritima	55	Y	OBL	
2. Atriplex semibaccata	10	N	FAC	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.6$
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5.				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6.				<u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
7.			. <u></u>	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8				Remarks or in the delineation report)
	65	– Total Co	vor	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		- 1014100	VEI	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1.				
2.				Hydrophytic
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes ^Y No
Remarks:				
Triongular area baturaan firakraali dirt		l maaina -		dirt) rood
I nangular area between firebreak dirt	road and	a main a	iccess (מות) וסממ.

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	the absence	of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix Redox Features									
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-1	5YR 3/3						Silt loam	Salt crust present		
1-16	7.5YR 2.5/2	90	5YR 4/6	10	С	Matrix/ Interior	Silty loam	(Fe mottles) Prominent		
					·					
					·					
					·	. <u> </u>				
					·					
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	I=Reduced Matrix, M	S=Maske	d Sand Gr	ains.	² Locati	on: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators	for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)			Stratified Layers (A5)				
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface (S7)				Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Red Parent Material (F21)			
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		X Depleted Matrix (F3)				Very S	Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		Redox Dark Surface (F6)				Other	(Explain in Remarks)		
Depleted	Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Da	e (F7)						
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depressions (F8)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology				
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)					mus	must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed)	:								
Туре:										
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes $\frac{\chi}{\chi}$ No		
Remarks:							•			
Salt crust	Salt crust on top. Fine roots top 5 inches.									
	·		•							
HYDROLOG	(

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X_ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	X Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Liv	ring Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of Reduced Iron (C	4) <u>X</u> Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tille	d Soils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guam, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes $\frac{X}{X}$ No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous in	spections), if available:
Remarks:	
Much evidence of ponding.	
······································	



Photo 11. At SP4 - Wetland Habitat with Kiawe (*Prosopis pallida*) Overstory and Ground Vegetation Dominated with Obligate Pickleweed (*Batis maritima*)



Photo 12. At SP4 - Saturated Hydric Soil Conditions

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project	City: Ukume	hame	Sampling Date: 1/7/2	3 Time: 2:50 pm				
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/C	omlth.: <u>HI</u>	Island: Maui	Sampling Point: <u>SP5</u>				
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson			TMK/Par	cel:				
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):		_ Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	convex area				
Lat: <u>156.577686°W</u> Long: <u>20.797</u>	7315°N	Da	atum:	Slope (%):				
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam, frequent ponding			NWI classification:	None				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	ime of year? Yes X	_ No (If	no, explain in Remarks	s.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology sign	nificantly disturbed?	Are "Normal C	Circumstances" present	? Yes X No				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology nat	urally problematic?	(If needed, ex	plain any answers in Re	emarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No	X Is the Sa	impled Area		. Y				

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	within a Wetland?	Yes	No <u>X</u>
Remarks:					

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	<u>Species?</u>	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
1. Prosopis pallida	20	Y	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33%</u> (A/B)
	20	= Total Co	ver	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Prevalence Index worksheet:
1				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
3				FACW species x 2 =
4				FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
		= Total Co	over	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Cenchrus ciliaris	80	Y	FACU	
2. Atriplex semibaccata	50	Y	FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A =
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				$_$ 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8.				Remarks or in the delineation report)
	130	= Total Co	ver	The discount of the data and the data discount of the data is a second
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		- 10101 00		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1				
2.				Hydrophytic
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes No χ
Remarks:				

SOIL

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe to	o the depth ne	eded to docur	nent the i	ndicator	or confirn	n the absence	of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	s					
(inches)	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> C	olor (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-0.4								Litter		
0.4-6	5YR 3/4						Clay loam	Roots		
6-12	5YR 3/4						Clay loam	Roots		
12-16	5YR 3/3						Clay loam	Roots		
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Deple	etion. RM=Red	uced Matrix. M	S=Masker	I Sand Gra	ains	² l ocatio	on: PI =Pore Lining, M=Matrix,		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redo	x (S5)			Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)		
Histic E	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface (S7)				Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			
Black H	istic (A3)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Red Parent Material (F21)			
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Matrix (F3)				Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		Redox Dark Surface (F6)				Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Deplete	d Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	, (F7)					
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	. , _	Redox Depre	essions (F	8)	³ Indic	ators of hvdror	phytic vegetation and wetland hydrology		
Sandy C	Bleyed Matrix (S4)				- /	mu	st be present,	unless disturbed or problematic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):									
Туре:			-							
Depth (inches): No X						Present? Yes <u>No X</u>				
Remarks:							•			
Roots th	rouahout profil	e.								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)								
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)							
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna	(B13) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)							
High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)							
Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulf	ide Odor (C1) Drainage Patterns (B10)							
Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhize	ospheres on Living Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)							
Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of R	educed Iron (C4) Salt Deposits (C5)							
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Re	eduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)							
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Sur	face (C7) Geomorphic Position (D2)							
Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab B	urrows (C10) (Guam, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)							
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and America	an Samoa) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)							
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain	in Remarks)							
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present? Yes No $\frac{X}{2}$ Depth (inches	3):							
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches	3):							
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches (includes capillary fringe)	s): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial phot	os, previous inspections), if available:							
Remarks:								
This kiawe (Prosopis pallida) stand is slightly on higher elevation and did not show hydrology								

indicators in spite of being surrounded by areas that appeared to have recently ponded.



Photo 13.At SP5 - Slightly Elevated Area with Upland Habitat Conditions Similar to that at SP5. Live Kiawe (*Prosopis pallida*) with Predominantly Buffel Grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) in Understory



Photo 14. Southeast of SP5 - Habitat Conditions Representative of Similar Uplands Observed at SP5



Photo 15. Looking East at Large Kiawe (*Prosopis pallida*) Buffel Grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) Area (Red Oval) Excluded as Upland



Photo 16. Close Up of Upland Representative Area in the Northeastern Part of Ukumehame Firing Range and Excluded as Upland

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project	City: Ukumer	name Sampling D)ate: 3.24.23	Time: 11:17				
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Co	mlth.: HI Island:		Sampling Point: <u>SP6</u>				
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson			TMK/Parcel:					
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):		Local relief (concave, conv	vex, none): Conc	ave				
Lat: 156.577376°W Long: 20.79)8293°N	Datum:	Slop	e (%): <u>1-2%</u>				
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silty Loam		NWI class	sification: Area inc	ludes "Riverine" features				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this	time of year? Yes X	No (If no, explain ir	n Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology sig	inificantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	s" present? Yes	<u>X</u> No				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology na	turally problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Remarks	s.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No	Is the Sa	npled Area						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes X	No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X	No
Remarks:					

10 og fost	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq leet)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
1. Prosopis pallida	5	. <u> </u>	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5		·		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>66%</u> (A/B)
	5	= Total Co	ver	December of the december of the set
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	F	V		Prevalence Index worksneet:
1. Pluchea Indica	5	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
2		·		OBL species x 1 =
3				FACW species x 2 =
4		·		FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
		= Total Co	over	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	10		540	Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Atriplex semibaccata	10	Y	FAC	
2				Prevalence Index = B/A =
3		·		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				$_$ 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8.				Remarks or in the delineation report)
	10	= Total Co	ver	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1				
2				Hydrophytic Vegetation
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes $\frac{X}{2}$ No
Remarks:				1
Open area mostly hare around with flu	Iffly crac	kod sur	faca ar	pears to be recently ponded

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)										
Depth	Matrix		Redo	ox Feature	s					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-2	5YR 3/3	80	5G 2.5/1	20	С	Exterior	Silty Clay Loam	Prominent, large, platy		
2-12	5YR 3/3	78	5YR 4/6 (Fe)	<2	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty loam	Distinct		
			5GY 2.5/1	2-20	С	Matrix/ Interior	Silty loam	Prominent		
12-16	5YR 3/3	50	5YR 4/6 (Fe)	25	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty loam	Distinct		
			5GY 2.5/1	25	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty loam	Prominent		
						·				
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, M	S=Masked	d Sand Gr	ains.	² Locatio	on: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
X Histosol	(A1)	Sandy Redox (S5)				Stratified Layers (A5)				
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface (S7)			Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)				
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			Red Parent Material (F21)				
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Matrix (F3)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		Redox Dark Surface (F6)				Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Depleted	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	e (F7)		—			
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	· · /	Redox Depre	essions (F	8)	³ Indica	ators of hvdrop	hytic vegetation and wetland hydrology		
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)				- /	mus	st be present,	unless disturbed or problematic.		
Restrictive I	_ayer (if observed):							·		
Type:										
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes \underline{X} No		
Remarks:										
Crack, pl	atv soil surfac	e with	dark/black pa	tches o	on soil :	surface	that appe	ears to be dried muckv		
organic n	naterial Prom	inent r	edox features	of iron	and m	andana				
organic material. I forminent redox reatures of non and manganese.										

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)								
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one requ		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all			all that apply) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Tilapia Nests (B17) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guam, CNMI, and American Samoa)		X Surface Soil Cracks (B6) X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Water-Stained Leaves (B	39)	-	Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes	_ No	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	_ No	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes X	No	Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:								
Remarks:								
Area recently floode	ed.							



Photo 17. At SP6-View to East; Large Sparsely Vegetated Wetland with Prominent Surface soil Cracks



Photo 18. At SP6—View to North. Sparsely Vegetated Wetland Area (Foreground) with Buffel Grass Dominated Upland Area in the Background

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 1	.7.23 Time: 1:50 pm				
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island:	Sampling Point:				
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson		TMK/I	Parcel:				
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):	Local relie	f (concave, convex, no	ne): Concave				
Lat: 156.577336°W Long: 20.798374°N		Datum:	Slope (%): <u>1-2%</u>				
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silty Loam		NWI classification	n: Area includes "Riverine" features				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Rema	arks.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	disturbed? Are "Norma	al Circumstances" pres	ent? Yes X No				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pro	oblematic? (If needed,	explain any answers ir	n Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.							
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area						

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes X	No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X	No
Remarks:					

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:) 1)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)
2 3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
4 5.				Percent of Dominant Species
20 sq foot		= Total Co	ver	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20 sq leet)	-	V	540	Prevalence Index worksneet:
1. Pluchea sp.	5	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
3				FACW species x 2 =
4				FAC species x 3 =
5.				FACU species x 4 =
	5	= Total Co	ver	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 20 sq feet)				Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Atriplex semibaccata	20	Y	FAC	
2				Prevalence Index = B/A =
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6.	- <u></u>			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7	·			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8		·		Remarks or in the delineation report)
0	20	Tatal Ca		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Co	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
0		·		Hydrophytic
	·	= Total Co	ver	Vegetation Present? Yes X No
Remarks:				1

Remarks:

Open area, mostly bare ground with platy damp red soils with bright red and black coloration on soil surface, appears to be recently ponded.

SOIL								Sampling Point: SP7	
Profile Des	cription: (Describe	e to the de	pth needed to doc	ument the	indicator	or confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix	Red	dox Feature	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-0.02			10YR 3/1	75		Exterior	Silty clay	Dark soil surface (Mn)	
			5R 4/4	25		Exterior	Silty clay	Red soil surfave (Fe)	
0.02-10	5YR 2.5/2	44	5R 4/6	10		Matrix/Interior	Silty clay	Prominent contrast	
			5R 2.5/1	44		Matrix/Interior	Silty clay	Faint contrast	
			10YR 3/1	<2		Matrix/Interior	Silty clay	Prominent contrast	
¹ Type: C=0	Concentration, D=De	pletion, RN	I=Reduced Matrix, I	//S=Maske	d Sand Gr	ains.	² Locat	ion: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soi	Indicators:						Indicators	s for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histoso	ol (A1)		Sandy Red	ox (S5)			— Stratif	fied Layers (A5)	
Histic E	Epipedon (A2)		Dark Surface (S7)				Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)		
Black H	Histic (A3)		Loamy Gle	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Red Parent Material (F21)					
Hydrog	jen Sulfide (A4)		Depleted N	latrix (F3)			Very \$	Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Muck F	Presence (A8)		X Redox Dar	k Surface (F6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surface	e (F7)				
Thick D	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Dep	ressions (F	-8)	³ Indica	ators of hydro	phytic vegetation and wetland hydrology	
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)					mu	st be present,	unless disturbed or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):							
Type:									
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soi	I Present? Yes $\frac{X}{X}$ No	
Remarks:									
Crack, p	olaty soil surfa	ce stair	ned with dark	, black ((Mn) ar	nd red (Fe) patch	ies on surface. Redox	

features of iron and manganese in matrix as well.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)								
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one required; chec		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)					
Surface Water (A1)	_	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
High Water Table (A2)	_	Tilapia Nests (B17)		X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
Saturation (A3)	-	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		X Drainage Patterns (B10)				
Water Marks (B1)	-	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living F	Roots (C3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)	-	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Salt Deposits (C5)				
Drift Deposits (B3)	-	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	ils (C6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	-	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	-	n, CNMI,	Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
X Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery (B7)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
Water-Stained Leaves (E	39)	Other (Explain in Remarks)						
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes No	Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present?	Yes No	Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes X No	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes $\frac{X}{X}$ No					
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, monitorin	g well, aerial photos, previous inspect	ions), if avai	lable:				
Remarks:								
Area recently floode	ed.							


Photo 19. At SP7 - Placed in Sparsely Vegetated Area to Investigate Platy Moist Soils that Had Both Bright Red and Black Soil Surface Colors



Photo 20. At SP7 - Soil Showed Redox Features of Iron and Manganese on Surface As Well As Mottles in the Matrix

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 1/5/2	3 Time: 9:30							
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point: <u>SP8</u>							
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson		TMK/Parc	cel:							
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):	Local relie	ef (concave, convex, none):	Convex							
Lat: 156.579807W Long: 20.798389N		Datum:	Slope (%): 2							
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam, frequent ponding		NWI classification:	Area has "Riverine" features							
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks	s.)							
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	disturbed? Are "Norm	al Circumstances" present?	? Yes X No							
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pro	oblematic? (If needed	, explain any answers in Re	emarks.)							
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.									
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area	l								

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No _X Yes No _X	within	Sampled Area a Wetland?	Yes	No <u>X</u>
Remarks:					

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Prosopis pallida	75	Y	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
2				Total Number of Deminent
3.				Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
4				
5		·		Percent of Dominant Species
- J	75	Tatal Ca		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	10		ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1 Pluchea indica	80	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
3		·		FACW species x 2 =
4		·		FAC species x 3 =
5		·		FACU species x 4 =
<u> </u>	80	- Total Ca	wor	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			Wei	
1. Cenchrus ciliaris	10	Υ	UPL	
2				Prevalence Index = B/A =
3		·		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4		·		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5		·		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7		·		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
7		·		Remarks or in the delineation report)
8	10			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	10	= Total Co	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
^{1.}	. <u> </u>	·	·	Hydrophytic
Z		· ·	·	Vegetation
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes <u>No ^</u>
Pomorko:				

Thicket of P. pallida and P. indica. The thicket is on slightly (~one feet) higher elevation than the surrounded area that appeared to have recently ponded. No signs of ponding in this thicket.

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe to	o the depth	needed to docur	nent the i	indicator	or confirn	n the absence	of indicato	ors.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	S					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remark	s
0-1								Organic	litter	
1-16	7.5YR 2.5/3						Clay loam			
							·			
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=R	educed Matrix, M	S=Masked	d Sand Gra	ains.	² Locati	on: PL=Por	e Lining, M	=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Proble	matic Hydr	ic Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redo	x (S5)			Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)	
Histic E	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface	e (S7)			Sandy	Mucky Min	eral (S1)	
Black H	istic (A3)		Loamy Gleye	ed Matrix ((F2)		Red P	arent Materi	al (F21)	
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	trix (F3)			Very S	Shallow Dark	Surface (T	F12)
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		Redox Dark	Surface (F	-6)		Other	(Explain in F	Remarks)	
Deplete	d Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	e (F7)					
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depre	essions (F	8)	³ Indic	ators of hydrop	hytic vegeta	ation and w	etland hydrology
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)					mu	ist be present,	unless distu	rbed or prol	olematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):									
Туре:										X
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes	No ^X
Remarks:							•			
Live root	s in entire soil	profile. S	Some pebble	es pres	sent.					

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observation	ons in Remarks, if needed.)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Surface Water (A1)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
High Water Table (A2)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Saturation (A3)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
Water Marks (B1)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (Ce	 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) 		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guam, CN	MI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No X	_ Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes No X	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes No X (includes capillary fringe)	_ Depth (inches): Wetl	land Hydrology Present? Yes No χ		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	if available:		
Remarks:				
This area is on slightly (~one feet) h recently ponded. No signs of pondir	nigher elevation than the sur	rrounded area that appeared to have		



Photo 21. At SP8 - Looking North into Thicket of *Pluchea* spp. with Kiawe (*Prosopis pallida*) As Canopy Species



Photo 22. Area around SP8 - Mapped as Uplands Based on Similar Habitat Conditions Observed at SP8



Photo 23. Upland Areas Identified Toward the Eastern End of Build Alternative 1 in Ukumehame Firing Range Based on Habitat, Slope, and Hydrology Similarities with SP8

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improver	ment Project	City: Ukum	iy: Ukumehame Sampling Date: 1/5/23 Time: 9:30				
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Tra	nsportation	State/Terr/C	e/Terr/Comlth.: <u>HI</u> Island: <u>Maui</u> Sampling Poi				
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Ericks	son			TMK/Pa	arcel:		
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):			Local relief	(concave, convex, none	e): <u>Concave</u>		
Lat: 156.57863W	Long: 20.79791N		I	Datum:	Slope (%): <u>2</u>		
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam				NWI classification	Area has "Riverine" features		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the s	ite typical for this time of ye	ar? Yes X	No	(If no, explain in Remar	ks.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hyd	rology significantly	disturbed?	Are "Normal	Circumstances" preser	nt? Yes X No		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hyd	rology naturally pro	blematic?	(If needed, e	explain any answers in I	Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attac	ch site map showing	sampling p	oint locatio	ons, transects, im	portant features, etc.		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes No X Yes X No	Is the Sa within a	ampled Area Wetland?	Yes	No <u>X</u>		

Remarks:

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:) 1)	<u>% Cover</u>	<u>Species?</u>	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A)
2 3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B)
4 5		·		Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)
Sopling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 3 sq feet)		= Total Co	ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:
<u>Saping/Sirub Stratum</u> (Flot size. <u>5 54,000</u>)	10	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		· <u> </u>		
2	·	·		
3	·	·		FAC species $x^2 = $
4	·	·		
5	10			
Herb Stratum (Plot size:	10	= 1 otal Co	over	Column Tatalar (A)
1				Column Totals: (A) (B)
2	<u> </u>	·		Prevalence Index = $B/A =$
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
0				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
T	·	·		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
	·	·		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in Remarks or in the delineation report)
o		= Total Co	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1	- <u> </u>	·		Hydrophytic
2	·	·		Vegetation
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes X No
Remarks:				
This sample point is on the dirt road (fi	re break) next to	thicket	of Pluchea indica.

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the depth i	needed to docur	ment the i	ndicator o	or confirn	m the absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	S		_	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture Remarks	
0-1	5YR 2.5/2						Silty loam	
1-16	5YR 2.5/2						Loam	
								—
							_,	—
·								
17			deserved by a failer by the	0. Maalaad		••••	21 and the DL Deer Links M. Matrix	—
Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=Re	duced Matrix, M	S=Masked	Sand Gra	uns.	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil	(14)		Condy Dodo	(05)			Stratified Lovers (A5)	
Histosol	(AT) vinedon (A2)		Sanuy Redu:	x (SO) x (SO)			Stratilied Layers (A5) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	
Black Hi	stic (Δ 3)	-		d Matrix (F2)		Red Parent Material (51)	
<u> </u>	n Sulfide (A4)	•	Depleted Ma	trix (F3)	12)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TE12)	
Muck Pr	esence (A8)	•	Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)			
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depre	essions (F8	8)	³ Indic	cators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrolog	v
Sandy G	ileyed Matrix (S4)			,	,	mu	ust be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	<i>,</i>
Restrictive I	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X	
Remarks:								
Salt depo	sition on crac	k soil sur	face.					
HYDROLOG	(]
Wetland Hyd	drology Indicators:	(Explain obse	ervations in Rema	arks, if nee	eded.)			

wetiand frydrology mulcate		allons in itemarks, il needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one required; che	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)	
Surface Water (A1)		X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
High Water Table (A2)		Tilapia Nests (B17)	X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	X Drainage Patterns (B10)
X Water Marks (B1)		Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	g Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	-	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled S	Soils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Gua	am, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aer	rial Imagery (B7)	and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B	39)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes No _X	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes No _X	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes No <u>X</u>	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No X
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitorir	ng well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ections), if available:
Remarks:			
This sample point is	next to veget	ation but on bare dirt roa	ad that is a fire break on the firing range.



Photo 24. SP 9 in Ukumehame Firing Range, on Firebreak Dirt Road Separating an Upland Area to the Left and Wetland Area to the Right



Photo 25. At SP9, Platy and Crack Surface Soils that were Not Hydric and Area Excluded as Wetland as It Did Not Meet the Three Parameter Wetland Criteria

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improver	nent Project - Ukumehame Firing Range	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 9/27	7/23 Time: 11am
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of	Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point: <u>SP1</u> 0
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell E	rickson		TMK/Pa	rcel:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):		Local reli	ef (concave, convex, none)	: Convex
Lat: 156.57863W	Long: 20.79791N		Datum:	Slope (%): <u>30</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam			NWI classification:	Area has "Riverine" features
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on t	he site typical for this time of year	? Yes X No	_ (If no, explain in Remark	s.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or	Hydrology significantly dis	sturbed? Are "Norm	nal Circumstances" presen	t? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or	Hydrology naturally proble	ematic? (If needed	l, explain any answers in R	emarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – A	ttach site map showing s	ampling point locat	tions, transects, imp	oortant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes No _X	Is the Sampled Area	9	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X No	within a Wetland?	Yes	No X
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No <u>X</u>		100	
Remarks:				

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: 200 sq feet)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Prosopis pallida	15	Y	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
2				Tatal New York (Device of
3.				Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
4				
5				Percent of Dominant Species
- 5	15	Tatal O		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	10		ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
2				
4			<u> </u>	
5			<u> </u>	FACU species X 4 =
Lierh Stretum (Distaire, 200 Sq feet		= Total Co	ver	UPL species x 5 =
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>200 oq 1000</u>)	60	v	FAC	Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Amplex Schibaccala	25			Dravelance Index D/A
2	20	<u> </u>	UFL	
3			<u> </u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6.				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8				Remarks or in the delineation report)
<u> </u>	85	- Total Ca		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			vei	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1				be present, unless disturbed of problematic.
2				Hydrophytic
		Tatal Ca		Vegetation
			ver	
Remarks:				

This sample point is on the high berm built on the firing range. Read vegetation cover over entire rectangular berm.

Depth	Matrix		Red	ox Feature	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
0-6	2.5YR 2.5/4							No litter		
6-18	2.5YR 2.5/4	95	2.5YR 4/8	5	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty clay loam	Promine	ent (Fe)	
						·				
						·				
						·				
						·				
¹ Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Dep	pletion, RM	I=Reduced Matrix, N	1S=Maske	d Sand Gr	ains.	² Locatio	on: PL=Po	re Lining, M=N	/latrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		·				Indicators	for Proble	matic Hydric	Soils ³ :
Histoso	ol (A1)		Sandy Redo	ox (S5)			Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)	
Histic E	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surfac	e (S7)			Sandy	Mucky Min	eral (S1)	
Black H	listic (A3)		Loamy Gley	ed Matrix	(F2)		Red P	arent Mate	rial (F21)	
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted M	atrix (F3)			Very S	Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF	12)
Muck P	Presence (A8)		Redox Dark	Surface (F6)		Other	(Explain in	Remarks)	
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Depleted Da	ark Surfac	e (F7)	2				
Thick D	Dark Surface (A12)		X Redox Dep	ressions (F	-8)	Indica	ators of hydrop	hytic veget	ation and wet	and hydrology
Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)					mu	st be present,	unless disti	irbed or proble	ematic.
Turner	Layer (II Observed)	•								
Type:								D (0)	. Х	
Deptn (Ir	nches):						Hydric Soll	Present?	res <u>~</u>	NO
Remarks:										
No litter.	. Some bright	red Fe	concentration	is lowe	r in the	matrix.				
1										
HYDROLOG	Ϋ́Υ									
Wetland Hy	vdrology Indicators	(Explain	observations in Rem	narks if ne	eded)					

wetiand nydrology indicators. (Explain observations in Remarks, in needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled S	oils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Gua	m, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No χ
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
Remarks:	
This sample point is on the built up berm in the firing range	e, approximately 6 feet higher than the
surrounding area	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,



Photo 26. At SP10 - View to South, Artificially Built Up Berm in Ukumehame Firing Range



Photo 27. At SP10 - Close Up of Sample Pit with No Signs of Hydrology and FAC saltbush (Atriplex semibaccata) mixed with FACU Buffel Grass (Cenchrus ciliaris)



Photo 28. View to South, Photo of Second Berm (From East) that was Excluded as Upland Based on Similarities in Habitat, Slope, and Hydrology Observed at the SP10 Berm Site

_ City	Sampling Date:	²³ Time: 11:40 am	
_ State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point: <u>SP1</u> 1	
	TMK/Parc	el:	
Local relie	f (concave, convex, none):	Concave	
	Datum:	Slope (%):	
	NWI classification: A	rea has "Riverine" features	
r? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.	.)	
isturbed? Are "Norm	al Circumstances" present?	Yes X No	
lematic? (If needed,	explain any answers in Re	marks.)	
sampling point locat	ions, transects, impo	ortant features, etc.	
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u>× </u>	o	
	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI State/Terr/Comlth.: HI Local relie ? Yes X No isturbed? Are "Norm lematic? (If needed, sampling point locati Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI Island: Maui State/Terr/Comlth.: HI Island: Maui TMK/Parc	

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 sq feet)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Prosopis pallida	50	Y	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	2	(A)
2				Total Number of Dominant		
3				Species Across All Strata:	3	(B)
4						
5.				That Are OBL EACW or EAC	66%	(A/R)
	50	= Total Co	ver			(100)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
1. Pluchea sp.	25	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
2				OBL species 2	x 1 =	_
3.				FACW species 2	x 2 =	_
4.				FAC species 2	x 3 =	_
5.				FACU species	x 4 =	
	25	= Total Co	ver	UPL species	x 5 =	-
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 200 sq feet)				Column Totals:	A)	(B)
1. Atriplex semibaccata	50	Y	FAC			_ (D)
2. Chloris radiata	10	Ν	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =	=	
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indic	ators:	
4.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydroph	ytic Vegetation	
5.			. <u></u>	X 2 - Dominance Test is >50	%	
6				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.	0 ¹	
7				Problematic Hydrophytic V	egetation ¹ (Explai	n in
8				Remarks or in the deline	ation report)	
<u> </u>	60	- Total Co	vor	1		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		= 10(a) 00	vei	'Indicators of hydric soil and we	etland hydrology n	nust
1.				be present, unless disturbed of	problematic.	
2.				Hydrophytic		
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes $\frac{X}{X}$	No	
Remarks:				1		

This sample point is representative of the area between berms. Area was observed to have been flooded in January 2023.

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)												
Depth	Matrix		Redo	ox Feature	es	2						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type'	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks			
0-0.5					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Litter				
0.5-18	7.5YR 2.5/1	80	2.5YR 4/8	20	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty loam	Prominent				
						·	·	-				
				·	·	·						
						·						
						<u> </u>						
17			De duce d'Matria M				21			tata .		
Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, Rivi	=Reduced Matrix, M	IS=IVIASKed	d Sand Gr	ains.	Locati	on: PL=Pore L	Ining, IVI=IVI2	atrix.		
Histoso			Sandy Pode	V (SE)			Strotifi					
Histic E	ninedon (A2)		Dark Surface	ox (33)			Straum Sandy	Mucky Minera) 01 (S1)			
Black H	istic (A3)		Loamy Glev	ed Matrix	(F2)		Red F	Red Parent Material (F21)				
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)	()		Very S	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
Muck P	resence (A8)		X Redox Dark	Surface (I	F6)		Other	er (Explain in Remarks)				
Deplete	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Da	ark Surface	e (F7)							
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depr	essions (F	8)	³ Indica	ators of hydrop	phytic vegetation	on and wetla	nd hydrology		
Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)					mus	st be present,	unless disturbe	ed or problen	natic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):											
Туре:									N/			
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Y	′es X	No		
Remarks:												
Some br	iaht red Fe str	eaks a	nd spots.									
	.g											
	V											
HIDROLOG	T											
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators:	(Explain	observations in Rem	arks, if ne	eded.)							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum of o	ne require	d; check all that app	ly)			<u>Seconda</u>	ary Indicators (minimum of	two required)		
Surface	Water (A1)		Aquatic F	auna (B13	3)		X Sur	face Soil Crack	(B6)			
High Wa	ater Table (A2)		Tilapia Ne	ests (B17)			Spa	rsely Vegetate	d Concave S	Surface (B8)		
Saturati	on (A3)		Hydrogen	Sulfide O	dor (C1)		X Drainage Patterns (B10)					

Sediment Deposits (B2)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)				
Drift Deposits (B3)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guam, CNMI,				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	and American Samoa)				
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):				

a) ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5) rks) Yes _____ No X ____ Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes \underline{X} Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

X Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)

X Salt Deposits (C5)

____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Geomorphic Position (D2) ____ Shallow Aquitard (D3)

Remarks:

This sample point is representative of area between the berms. Ponding was observed here in January 2023.

Water Marks (B1)

Х

No



Photo 29. At SP11 - Between Berms 1 and 2; Kiawe (*Prosopis pallida*), with **Predominanlty** Facultative Saltbush (*Atriplex semibaccata*) in Understory



Photo 30. Around SP11 - View to East. Wetland Habitat with Surface Crack Soils and Salt Crust



Photo 31. View to West from Top of Berm 2 into the Low-Lying Area Between Berms 2 and 3; Area Included as Wetland Based on Similar Habitat, Slope, and Hydrology Observed at SP11 Between Berms 1 and 2



Photo 32. View to West from Top Berm 3 into the Low-Lying Area Between Berms 3 and the Western Fence of Ukumehame Firing Range; Area Included as Wetland Based on Similar Habitat, Slope, and Hydrology Conditions Observed at SP11

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Impre	ovement Project - East of County Firing Range	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 5/1/	/23 Time: 10:30 am		
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Departme	nt of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point: <u>SP1</u> 2		
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terr	ell Erickson		TMK/Pa	rcel:		
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, e	etc.):	Local reli	ef (concave, convex, none)):		
Lat: 156.57761W	Long: 20.795880N		Datum:	Slope (%):		
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loa	am		NWI classification:	Area has "Riverine" features		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions	on the site typical for this time of year	? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remark	xs.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology significantly dis	sturbed? Are "Norm	nal Circumstances" presen	t? Yes X No		
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology naturally probl	ematic? (If needed	l, explain any answers in R	Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	 Attach site map showing s 	ampling point locat	ions, transects, imp	oortant features, etc.		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area	3			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X No	within a Wetland?	Yes X	No		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>×</u> No					

Remarks:

20 og foot	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>50 sq reet</u>) 1. Prosopis pallida	<u>% Cover</u> 5	<u>Species?</u> Y	Status FACU	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A)
2 3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: (B)
4 5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shruh Stratum (Plot size:	5	= Total Cov	ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:
				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
1				$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
2				
3				
4				FACt species 5 $x_4 = 20$
5				FACO species $x = x = 1$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 200 sq feet		$_{=}$ = 1 otal Co	ver	$\begin{array}{c} \text{OFL species} \\ \text{Column Tatalax} \\ 105 \\ \text{(A)} \\ 120 \\ \text{(B)} \end{array}$
1 Batis maritima	100	Y	OBL	Column Totals: (A) (B)
2				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.14$
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				\overline{X}_{3} - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
7		·		 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain in Remarks or in the delineation report)
8	100			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	100	= Iotal Cov	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1		·		Hydrophytic
2				Vegetation
			ver	Present? Yes <u>^ No</u>
Remarks:				

SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)												
Depth Matrix Redox Features												
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Texture Remarks				
0-6	7.5YR 2.5/2						Clay	ay Many fine roots				
6-18	5YR 3/3	80-98	2.5YR 4/6	2-20			Clay	Promine	nt contrast			
				·								
				·								
·				·								
					<u></u>							
		lotion PM	-Poducod Matrix M	S-Macko	d Sand Gr		² l ocoti	on: Pl –Por	olining M-N	Actrix		
Hydric Soil	ndicators:					airi5.	Indicators	for Proble	matic Hydric	Soils ³ :		
Histosol	(Δ1)		Sandy Rede	vy (S5)			Stratif	ied Lavers (Δ5)			
Histic Er	vinedon (A2)		Dark Surfac	e (S7)			Cradi		aral (\$1)			
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Loamy Glev	ed Matrix	(F2)		Red Parent Material (S1)					
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Depleted M:	atrix (F3)	(1 2)							
Muck Pr			Bedox Dark	Surface (F6) Other (Explain in Remarks)					12)		
Muck I I	Nelow Dark Surface	- (Δ11)	Redox Bark	ounace ((F7)				(cinano)			
Thick Do	a below bark Sullace	5 (711)	Depieted Da	ark Suriace	5 (17) 59)	³ India	sators of hydro		ation and wat	and hydrology		
Thick Da	leved Matrix (S4)			65510115 (1	0)	mi	st be present	unless distu	wheel or proble	and hydrology		
Bestrictive I	aver (if observed):					IIIC						
Type.	Layer (il observeu).											
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soi	I Present?	Yes X	No		
Remarks:												
Black co	Black concentrations were charcoal and not Mn											
Black ool												
HYDROLOG												

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain obse	rvations in Remarks, if needed)								
Drimony Indicators (minimum of one required: check all that apply)									
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) X Drainage Patterns (B10) Coots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Salt Deposits (C5) Is (C6) X Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Geomorphic Position (D2) Shallow Aquitard (D3) EAC Neutral Test (D5)							
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D3)							
Field Observations:									
Surface Water Present? Yes No Water Table Present? Yes No Saturation Present? Yes No (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor)	X Depth (inches): X Depth (inches): X Depth (inches): ring well, aerial photos, previous inspect	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No ons), if available:							
Remarks:	d and and almost dead in th	his B. maritima dominated natch. Area							

P. pallida trees appeared stunted and and almost dead in this B. maritima dominated patch. Area next to this patch is open parking for County firing range which showed signs of ponding.

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project - Ukumehame Firing Rar	^{nge} City: Ukumeha	me Sampling Date	e: <u>9/26/23</u> Time: <u>4:20 pm</u>					
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Com	Ith.: HI Island: Maui	Sampling Point.SP13					
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson		TT	MK/Parcel:					
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):		Local relief (concave, convex	, none): <u>Convex</u>					
Lat: 156.57735W Long: 20.79679		Datum:	Slope (%): <u>2%</u>					
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam NWI classification: Area has "Riverine" fea								
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X	lo (If no, explain in R	(emarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	y disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" p	present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pre-	roblematic? (If needed, explain any answe	ers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X	- Is the Sam within a We	oled Area etland? Yes	No <u>X</u>					

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

10 ag (act)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq feet))	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
1. Prosopis pallida	20	Y	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B)
4				
5.				That Are OBL_EACW or EAC: 40% (A/B)
	20	= Total Co	ver	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		i otai oo		Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. Pluchea sp.	75	Υ	FAC	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2.				OBL species x 1 =
3.				FACW species x 2 =
4				FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
···	75	– Total Co	wor	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 200 sq feet)				Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Atriplex semibaccata	15	Y	FAC	
2. Chloris radiata	10	Y	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =
3. Cenchrus ciliaris	15	Y	UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				3 - Prevalence Index is $≤3.0^1$
7				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
o				Remarks or in the delineation report)
0	40	Total Car		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:			ver	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1.				
2				Hydrophytic
		- Total Co	vor	Vegetation Present? Ves No X
Domorko			VC1	

Remarks:

This sample point is representative of the push piles between the parking area of the county firing range to the east and what appears to be wetland to the east.

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)											
Depth	Matrix		Rede	Redox Features							
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks		
0-6	2.5YR 2.5/3						Silty clay				
6-10	2.5YR 2.5/3	75	2.5YR 4/6	25	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty clay	Distinct			
10-18	2.5YR 2.5/2	75	2.5YR 4/6	25	С		Silty clay	Distinc	t		
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration. D=Dep	letion. RM	Reduced Matrix. M	S=Maske	d Sand Gr	ains.	² Locati	on: PL=Por	re Linina. M=N	latrix.	
Hydric Soil	ndicators:	,	,				Indicators	for Proble	matic Hydric	Soils ³ :	
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redo	x (S5)			Stratif	ied Layers (A5)		
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surfac	e (S7)			Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)				
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Loamy Gley	ed Matrix	(F2)		Red Parent Material (F21)				
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)	. ,		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		X Redox Dark	Surface (F6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Depleted	Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	e (F7)			· ·	,		
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	()	Redox Depr	essions (F	-8)	³ Indica	ators of hydror	ohytic vegeta	ation and wetl	and hydrology	
Sandy G	ileyed Matrix (S4)			,	,	mus	st be present,	unless distu	urbed or proble	ematic.	
Restrictive I	_ayer (if observed)	:					-				
Туре:											
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes X	No	
Remarks:							•				
Area slig	htly elevated	as on a	a push pile bet	ween	parking	g lot and	d what ap	pears to	be a wet	land.	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13) High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17) Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Gua and American Samoa) American Samoa)	X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (inches): (includes capillary frince) Yes No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No ^X
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
Remarks:	
Very faint and shallow surface cracks.	



Photo 33. At SP13 – View to East; This Upland Area in the Disturbed Swath Next to the County Firing Range Parking Lot Defined the Edge of the Wetland to the West

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improv	ement Project - West of County Firing Range	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 9/26/23	Time: 4:20 pm
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Departmen	of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling PointSP14
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrel	l Erickson		TMK/Parce	l:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc	c.):	Local relief	(concave, convex, none):	Concave
Lat: 156.57738W	Long: 20.79645N		Datum:	Slope (%): <u>2%</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loar	n		NWI classification: Ar	ea has "Riverine" features
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions o	n the site typical for this time of year	? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil,	or Hydrology significantly di	sturbed? Are "Norma	I Circumstances" present?	Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil,	or Hydrology naturally probl	ematic? (If needed,	explain any answers in Ren	narks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	Attach site map showing s	ampling point locati	ons, transects, impo	rtant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X No Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u>×</u> No	
welland mydrology Present?	res <u>^</u> NO			

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Remarks:

The Oregon (Distained 10 sq feet)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
<u>1. Prosopis pallida</u>	<u>% Cover</u> 50	Y	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2	(A)
2 3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u>	(B)
4 5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>66%</u>	(A/B)
Cooling/Chruth Ctrotum (Plat size, 10 Sq feet	50	= Total Co	ver	Brovalance Index worksheet:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 og 1000)	80	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
		·			
2					•
3				FAC w species X 2 =	•
4			·	FAC species X 3 =	•
5		·		FACU species x 4 =	•
Horb Strotum (Plot size: 10 sq feet	80	_ = Total Co	over	UPL species x 5 =	-
<u>1</u> Atriplex semibaccata	40	Y	FAC	Column Totals: (A)	_ (B)
2. Chloris radiata	10	Ν	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =	
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
4.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
6				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹	
7		·		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain Remarks or in the delineation report)	ו in
0	55	= Total Co	ver		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	lust
1 2.				Hydrophytic	
	·	= Total Co	ver	Vegetation Present? Yes \underline{X} No	
Remarks:	-			·	

SOIL

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence	of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Red	ox Feature	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-5	7.5YR 2.5/3						Silty Clay	many roots		
5-14	7.5YR 2.5/3	95	2.5YR 4/6	5	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty Clay	Fe. Prominent contrast		
14-16	7.5YR 2.5/3	90	10YR 2/1	5	С	Matrix/Interior	Silty Clay	Mn Distinct contrast		
			2.5YR 4/6	5	С	Matrix/Interior		Fe Prominent contrast		
						·				
·				·						
17				0 14			21			
Hydric Soil	oncentration, D=Dep	pletion, RIV	I=Reduced Matrix, IV	IS=IVIaske	d Sand Gr	ains.	Locati	on: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
X Histord	(44)		Condy Dodo	(CE)			Ctrotif			
	(AI)		Sandy Redu)X (33)			Stratin	ed Layers (AS)		
Histic Ep	pipedon (AZ)		Dark Surfac	e (57)	(50)		Sandy			
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Loamy Gley	ed Matrix	(F2)					
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	_ Depleted Matrix (F3)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		Redox Dark	Redox Dark Surface (F6)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Depleted	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Da	ark Surfac	e (F7)					
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depr	essions (F	-8)	³ Indica	ators of hydrop	phytic vegetation and wetland hydrology		
Sandy G	eleyed Matrix (S4)					mu	st be present,	unless disturbed or problematic.		
Restrictive I	_ayer (if observed):	:								
Туре:										
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes X No		
Remarks:							•			

Wetland Hydrology Indicators	: (Explain observation	ons in Remarks, if needed.)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of	one required; check	all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1)	_	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)		Tilapia Nests (B17)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		X Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	<u>X</u>	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living I	Roots (C3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Sc	oils (C6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guar	n, CNMI,	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial	Imagery (B7)	and American Samoa)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes No X	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present?	Yes No _X	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes No <u>X</u>	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland H	lydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
Describe Recorded Data (stream	n gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if ava	ilable:
Remarks:				
Oxidized root channe	els. Moist soil	below 10 inches.		



Photo 34. At SP14 - Wetland Area with Salt Crust and Dominated with Facultative Species of Pluchea sp. and Saltbush (Atriplex semibaccata) in Understory



Photo 35. Salt Crust on Soil in Unvegetated Area Next to SP14 (Seen Here in the Background)



Photo 36. View to South - Representative Wetland Habitat Mapped to South of the Upland Area (As Identified by SP15)



Photo 37. View to North - Representative Wetland Habitat Mapped to the West of Upland Area (as Identified by SP15)



Photo 38. View to East - Representative Wetland Habitat Mapped to the East of the Upland Area (as Identified by SP15)

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improve	ement Project - Ukumehame Firing Range	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 9/	^{(26/23} Time: 4:20 pm
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department	of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point.SP15
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell	Erickson		TMK/F	Parcel:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.)):	Local relief	(concave, convex, nor	ne): <u>Convex</u>
Lat: 156.57608W	Long: 20.79407N		Datum:	Slope (%):
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam			NWI classification	n: Area has "Riverine" features
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on	the site typical for this time of year	? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Rema	arks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, o	r Hydrology significantly di	sturbed? Are "Norma	I Circumstances" prese	ent? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, o	r Hydrology naturally probl	ematic? (If needed,	explain any answers in	Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	Attach site map showing s	ampling point location	ons, transects, in	nportant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No X Yes No X Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No <u>X</u>
Remarks:				

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 sq feet))	% Cover	Species?	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
1. Prosopis pallida	60	Y	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _0 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
4				
5.				Percent of Dominant Species (A/B)
	60	= Total Co	ver	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		i otai oo		Prevalence Index worksheet:
1				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2.				OBL species x 1 =
3.				FACW species x 2 =
4				FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
···		– Total Co	vor	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 30 sq feet)		rotar oc		Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Cenchrus ciliaris	100	Y	FACU	
2.				Prevalence Index = B/A =
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6			·	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
·			<u> </u>	Remarks or in the delineation report)
o	40			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	40	= I otal Co	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2			·	Hydrophytic
		- Total Car		Vegetation
Descerte			vei	

Remarks:

Sample point is in a kiawe (P. pallida) thicket that is slightly (~on feet) higher in elevation. Does not appear to have ponded like the surrounding area.

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to docun	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)		
Depth	Pepth Matrix Redox Features									
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-0.5								Organic grass litter		
0.5-18	7.5YR 3/3							Many grass roots in top 6 inches		
	·									
¹ Type: C=Ce	oncentration, D=Deple	tion, RM=F	Reduced Matrix, MS	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	² Locati	on: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redox	(S5)			Stratif	ied Layers (A5)		
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface	(S7)			Sandy	/ Mucky Mineral (S1)		
Black Hi	istic (A3)		Loamy Gleye	d Matrix (F2)		Red Parent Material (F21)			
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Mat	trix (F3)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		Redox Dark S	Surface (F	6)		Other	(Explain in Remarks)		
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Dar	k Surface	(F7)					
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depre	ssions (F8	3)	³ Indica	ators of hydror	ohytic vegetation and wetland hydrology		
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)					mus	st be present,	unless disturbed or problematic.		
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed):									
Туре:										
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soi	l Present? Yes No X		
Remarks:										

Area slightly elevated about one feet and does not appear to have ponded like the surrounding area.

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations	s in Remarks, if needed.)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Surface Water (A1) A	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
High Water Table (A2) T	īlapia Nests (B17)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
Saturation (A3) H	lydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1) O	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2) P	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)		
Drift Deposits (B3) R	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) T	hin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5) F	Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guam, CNMI,			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) C	Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No X I	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes No X I	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes No X [(includes capillary fringe)	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes No ^X		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring we	ell, aerial photos, previous inspections), if ava	ailable:		
Remarks:				
Sample point is in a kiawe (P. pallida)) thicket that is slightly (~on fe	eet) higher in elevation. Does not		
appear to have ponded like the surro	unding area.			



Photo 39. Border of Wetland (to the Left) and Upland Area to the Right as Identified by SP15



Photo 40. At SP15 - Upland Area Dominated FACU Kiawe (*Prosopis pallida*) and UPL Buffel Grass (Cenchrus ciliaris) in the Understory



Photo 41. Area Northeast of the Road Leading to the Maui County Firing Range; Included as Wetland **Based on** Similarity with Habitat Characteristics to SP2, SP4, and SP12



Photo 42. Area Northwest of Road Leading to the Maui County Firing Range; Included as Wetland as Similar in Habitat Characteristics to SP2, SP4, and SP12

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Impr	ovement Project - Sedimentation Basin	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 5/14/	²³ Time: 9:40 am
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department	of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling PointSP16
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell	Erickson		TMK/Parc	cel:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):	Local relie	f (concave, convex, none):	Concave
Lat: 156.57608W	Long: 20.79387N		Datum:	Slope (%):
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam			NWI classification:	PUBHh
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on	the site typical for this time of year	? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks	s.)
Are Vegetation, Soil,	or Hydrology significantly di	sturbed? Are "Norma	al Circumstances" present	? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil,	or Hydrology naturally probl	ematic? (If needed,	explain any answers in Re	emarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	Attach site map showing s	ampling point locati	ons, transects, impo	ortant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No <u>X</u> Yes No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes N	lo X
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ^X No			

Remarks:

10 sg foot)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq leet) 1)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3			<u> </u>	Species Across All Strata: (B)
4 5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq feet)		= Total Co	ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. Xanthium strumarium	80	Y	FACU	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
3.				FACW species x 2 =
4.				FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
	80	= Total Co	over	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq feet)				Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Cyanadon dactylon	5	Y	FACU	
2. Chloris barbata	5	Υ	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =
3. Cenchrus ciliaris	5	Υ	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				\underline{X} 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6.				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8.				Remarks or in the delineation report)
	15	= Total Co	ver	¹ Indiastors of hydric coil and watland hydrology must
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1				
2				Hydrophytic Vegetation
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes No \times
Remarks:				
Sample pit is in HDOT's sedimentation	basin			

Profile Description	n: (Describe to	the dept	h needed to docu	ment the i	ndicator c	or confirm	the absence	of indicato	rs.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo								
(inches) Co	olor (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks		
0-0.5			7.5YR 2/5/1				Silty Clay Loam	Evidence	of Mn, top p	onded surface	
0.5-14 5YR	2 3/3						Silty clay loam				
14-16 5YR	2 3/3						Silty clay loam				
¹ Type: C=Concent	ration, D=Deple	tion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, M	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ins.	² Locati	on: PL=Pore	e Lining, M=N	latrix.	
Hydric Soil Indica	tors:						Indicators	for Probler	natic Hydric	Soils ³ :	
Histosol (A1)			Sandy Redo	x (S5)			Stratif	ed Layers (A	\ 5)		
Histic Epipedo	n (A2)		Dark Surface	e (S7)			Sandy	Mucky Mine	eral (S1)		
Black Histic (A	3)		Loamy Gleye	ed Matrix (I	F2)		Red P	arent Materi	al (F21)		
Hydrogen Sulf	ide (A4)		Depleted Ma	trix (F3)			Very S	Shallow Dark	Surface (TF	2)	
Muck Presence	e (A8)		Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Depleted Belov	w Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)			、 ·	,		
Thick Dark Su	rface (A12)	. ,	Redox Depre	essions (F8	3)	³ Indica	ators of hydrop	hytic vegeta	ation and wetl	and hydrology	
Sandy Gleyed	Matrix (S4)					mu	st be present,	unless distu	rbed or proble	matic.	
Restrictive Layer	(if observed):										
Туре:											
Depth (inches):							Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes	NoX	
Remarks:							-				
Sample point	is is in art	ificially	engineered	sedime	ntation	basin.	Ponds e	very yea	r in rainy.	/winter	
season or du	ring period	s of he	eavy rain. Soi	me evid	lence c	of Mn c	n soil sur	face as (dark		

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observation	ons in Remarks, if needed.)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check	all that apply)	Secondary	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)			e Soil Cracks (B6)		
High Water Table (A2)	High Water Table (A2)				
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	X Draina	ge Patterns (B10)		
X_ Water Marks (B1)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living F	ots (C3) Dry-Se	ason Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt De	Salt Deposits (C5)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	(C6) Stunted	d or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomo	orphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guam	CNMI, Shallow	v Aquitard (D3)		
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	and American Samoa)	FAC-N	eutral Test (D5)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes No X	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes No X	ter Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> (includes capillary fringe)	_ Depth (inches):	Vetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes $\frac{X}{X}$ No		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:					
Remarks:					
The sedimentation basin is fed by the	wo streams that enter fro	n the southern	border.		
,					



Photo 43. The Sedimentation Basin where SP16 was Placed; Looking West

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improv	vement Project - Sedimentation Basin	City: Ukumehame	Sampling Date: 5/14/2	²³ Time: ^{9:40} am
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department o	f Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point.SP17
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell E	rickson		TMK/Parce	el:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):		Local relief	(concave, convex, none):	
Lat: 156.57609W	Long: 20.79377N		Datum:	Slope (%):
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam			NWI classification: N	lone
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on t	the site typical for this time of year?	? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.))
Are Vegetation, Soil, or	Hydrology significantly dis	sturbed? Are "Norma	I Circumstances" present?	Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or	Hydrology naturally proble	ematic? (If needed,	explain any answers in Rer	marks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - A	Attach site map showing s	ampling point location	ons, transects, impo	rtant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes No X Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes No	<u>x</u>
Remarks:				

	Absolute	Dominant Inc	cator Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq feet))	<u>% Cover</u>	Species? S	Attus Number of Dominant Species
1. Prosopis pallida	10	Y ++	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A)
2			Total Number of Dominant
3			Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
4			Percent of Dominant Species
5			—— That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50%</u> (A/B)
10 og foot	10	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq reet)			Prevalence Index worksheet:
1		·	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2			OBL species $\frac{100}{x} = \frac{100}{100}$
3			FACW species x 2 =
4			FAC species x 3 =
5			FACU species <u>10</u> x 4 = <u>40</u>
		_ = Total Cover	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10 sq feet)		-	Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A) <u>140</u> (B)
1. Batis maritima	100	Y 01	
2			Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.27
3			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4			1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5.		·	X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6.		·	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7.		··	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8			Remarks or in the delineation report)
0	100	- Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1.			
2.			Hydrophytic
		= Total Cover	Present? Yes $\frac{X}{No}$ No
Remarks:			

-

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features			_		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%Туре	Loc ²	Texture	Rem	arks
0-17	10YR 3/3			. <u> </u>		Sandy Loam		
				<u> </u>				
Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depl	etion. RM=R	educed Matrix. M	IS=Masked Sand	Grains	² l ocation:	PI =Pore Lining	M=Matrix
-ydric Soil	Indicators:					Indicators for	Problematic Hy	ydric Soils ³ :
Histoso	l (A1)		Sandy Redc	ox (S5)		Stratified I	Layers (A5)	
Histic E	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surfac	e (S7)		Sandy Mu	ucky Mineral (S1)	
Black H	listic (A3)		Loamy Gley	ed Matrix (F2)		Red Pare	nt Material (F21)	
Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	atrix (F3)		Very Shal	low Dark Surface	e (TF12)
Muck P	resence (A8)		Redox Dark	Surface (F6)		Other (Ex	plain in Remarks)
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Da	ark Surface (F7)				,
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)	()	Redox Depr	essions (F8)	³ Indic	cators of hvdrophvt	ic vegetation and	wetland hvdrolog
Sandv	Gleved Matrix (S4)			()	mu	ust be present. unle	ess disturbed or r	problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):							
Type:								
	nches):					Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes _	No ^X
Depth (ir								
Depth (ir Remarks:								
Depth (ir Remarks:	10 inches Bit	s of sand	l and rock in	n nod				
Depth (ir Remarks: ROOTS TO	10 inches. Bit	s of sanc	and rock ii	n ped.				
Depth (ir Remarks: ROOTS TO	10 inches. Bit	s of sanc	l and rock i	n ped.				
Depth (ir Remarks: Roots to	10 inches. Bit	s of sanc	l and rock i	n ped.				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17)	X Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	X Drainage Patterns (B10)
X Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Sc	bils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guar	n, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)
X Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No ^X
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
Remarks:	


Photo 44. SP17 Next to Road to the East of the Spill Way on the Eastern Side of the Sedimentation Basin

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Hawai'i and Pacific Islands Region

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improve	ement Project - Lahaina Bypass End	City: Launiapoko	Sampling Date: 1/4/23	Time: 10:55 am	
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of	Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point: <u>SP1</u> 8	
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Er	ickson		TMK/Parce	el:	
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):		Local reli	ef (concave, convex, none):	Concave	
Lat: 156.63060W	Long: 20.83285N		Datum:	Slope (%): <u>2%</u>	
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam			NWI classification: P	EM1C	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on th	e site typical for this time of year?	? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or I	Hydrology significantly dis	sturbed? Are "Norm	al Circumstances" present?	Yes X No	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or I	Hydrology naturally proble	ematic? (If needed	, explain any answers in Rei	marks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - A	tach site map showing s	ampling point locat	ions, transects, impo	rtant features, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No _X	within a Wetland?	x		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No X		·		
Remarks:					

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

The second secon	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	·
<u>I ree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>13 sq leetsq leet</u>)	<u>% Cover</u>	<u>Species?</u>	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species	
	5	<u> </u>	FACO	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	(A)
2		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Total Number of Dominant	
3	·	. <u> </u>	·	Species Across All Strata: 2	(B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species	
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0%	(A/B)
Capling/Charle Ctrature (Distained	5	= Total Co	ver	Brovalanca Index workshoot:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Total % Cover of:	
1	·	<u> </u>		OBL engaging with	
2	· - <u></u>	<u> </u>			
3	·	. <u> </u>	·	FACW species x 2 =	
4				FAC species x 3 =	
5	·			FACU species x 4 =	
15 sq feet		= Total Co	over	UPL species x 5 =	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10 39 leet)	10	v	IIDI	Column Totals: (A)	(B)
	10	<u> </u>		Prevalence Index - B/A -	
2				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators	
3	·	·		A Desid Test for Undershutic Versetation	
4	·	. <u> </u>	·	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
5				2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
6				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	
7	·	<u> </u>		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Exp Remarks or in the delineation report)	plain in
8				Remarks of in the deineditor report	
	10	= Total Co	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrolog	y must
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	-
1	·	·		Hydrophytic	
2	·	. <u> </u>	·	Vegetation	
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes <u>No X</u>	-
Remarks:					
Compacted soils in relatively open pon	ded area	a.			

SOIL

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe to	o the depth ne	eded to docur	nent the i	ndicator	or confirn	n the absence	of indicato	ors.)			
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	S							
(inches)	Color (moist)	% Co	olor (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks			
0-0.05								Black alg	gal layer			
0.05-12	7.5YR 2.5/3						Silty clay loam	_				
12-14	7.5YR 2.5/2						Silty clay loam					
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=Redu	uced Matrix, MS	S=Masked	I Sand Gra	ains.	² Locati	on: PL=Por	e Lining, M=M	latrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Proble	matic Hydric	Soils ³ :		
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redox	x (S5)			Stratif	ied Layers (/	A5)			
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface	e (S7)			Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)					
Black Hi	istic (A3)		Loamy Gleye	ed Matrix (F2)		Red Parent Material (F21)					
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	trix (F3)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)					
Muck Pr	esence (A8)		Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Deplete	d Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)							
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	. ,	Redox Depre	essions (F	8)	³ Indic	ators of hydror	phytic vegeta	ation and wetla	and hydrology		
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)			,	,	mu	st be present,	unless distu	rbed or proble	matic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):											
Туре:												
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes	No X		
Remarks:												

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17)	\underline{X} Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	bils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
X Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Guar	m, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes X No Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
(Includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions) if available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring weil, aenai protos, previous inspec	dons), il available.
Describe	
Remarks:	



Photo 45. SP19 Placed in the Low Point of the Area Identified as a National Wetland Inventory Feature (PEM1C) in the Northernmost Part of the Study Area



Photo 46. Lahaina Bypass End of Study Area – The General Vicinity of SP19 that Overlaps the National Wetland Inventory Feature

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Hawai'i and Pacific Islands Region

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project - Lahaina Bypass E	End City: Launiapoko	Sampling Date: 1/4/2	3 Time: 10:55 am
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Comlth.: HI	Island: Maui	Sampling Point:
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari, Terrell Erickson		TMK/Parc	cel:
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):	Local re	lief (concave, convex, none):	Concave
Lat: 156.63708W Long: 20.83545N		Datum:	_ Slope (%): <u>2%</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam		NWI classification: F	PEM1C
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks	.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	v disturbed? Are "Nor	rmal Circumstances" present?	? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pr	oblematic? (If neede	ed, explain any answers in Re	emarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	g sampling point loca	ations, transects, impo	ortant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Are within a Wetland?	ea Yes N	lo <u>×</u>

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

45 og fostog fost	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15 sq leetsq leet</u>)	<u>% Cover</u>	<u>Species?</u>	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
		<u> </u>	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
2			·	Total Number of Dominant
3			·	Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4			·	Percent of Dominant Species
5	10			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>66%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	10	= I otal Cov	ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1. Pluchea sp.	80	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
3				FACW species x 2 =
4				FAC species x 3 =
5				FACU species x 4 =
··	80	= Total Co	ver	UPL species x 5 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 sq feet)				Column Totals: (A) (B)
1. Chloris radiata	5	Υ	FACU	
2	<u> </u>			Prevalence Index = B/A =
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in
8				Remarks or in the delineation report)
	5	= Total Cov	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1				Hydronhytic
2				Vegetation
		= Total Cov	ver	Present? Yes X No
Remarks:				

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe to	o the depth n	eeded to docur	nent the i	ndicator	or confirn	n the absence	of indicato	ors.)			
Depth	Matrix	Redox Features										
(inches)	Color (moist)	% (Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks				
0-0.5								organic/l	itter mat			
0.5-8	10YR 2/1						Silty clay loam					
8-16	10YR 2/2						Silty Clay					
						_						
¹ Type: C=Ce	oncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=Rec	luced Matrix, MS	S=Masked	I Sand Gra	ains.	² Locatio	on: PL=Por	e Lining, M=N	Aatrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Proble	matic Hydric	Soils ³ :		
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redox	(S5)			Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)			
Histic Ep	bipedon (A2)	_	Dark Surface	(S7)			Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)					
Black Hi	stic (A3)	_	Loamy Gleye	d Matrix (F2)		Red Parent Material (F21)					
Hvdroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Mat	trix (F3)	,		Verv Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)					
Muck Pr	esence (A8)	_	Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Depleter	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Day	k Surface	(F7)							
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	(,,,,,)	Redox Depre	essions (F)	8)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology						
Sandy G	Bleved Matrix (S4)	—			0)	mu	must be present. unless disturbed or problematic.					
Restrictive	_ayer (if observed):											
Туре:			_									
Depth (in	ches):		_				Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes	No ^X		
Remarks:							•					
Some ch	arcoal present											
	I											
L												

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	X Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled S	ioils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Gua	am, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if available:
Remarks:	



Photo 47. SP19 Representative of National Wetland Inventory Feature (PEM1C) Seen Here Dominated by Facultative Species of Pluchea spp. and Mexican Fan Palms (*Washingtonia robusta*); Looking East



Photo 48. SP19 Soil Pit that Did Not Show Any Evidence of Hydric Soil Indicators

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Hawai'i and Pacific Islands Region

Project/Site: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project - Lahaina Bypass	End City: Launiapoko	Sampling Date:	9/27/23 Time: 11am					
Applicant/Owner: Hawaii Department of Transportation	State/Terr/Comltl	h.: <u>HI</u> Island: <u>Maui</u>	Sampling Point: <u>SP</u> 20					
Investigator(s): Shahin Ansari		TM	K/Parcel:					
Landform (hillslope, coastal plain, etc.):	Lo	ocal relief (concave, convex,	none): <u>Convex</u>					
Lat: 156.60846W Long: 20.80945N		Datum:	Slope (%): <u>2%</u>					
Soil Map Unit Name: Kealia Silt Loam		NWI classifica	ition:					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y	year? Yes X No	(If no, explain in Re	marks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ly disturbed? Ar	e "Normal Circumstances" pr	esent? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic? (If	needed, explain any answers	s in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X No X Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X No X	 Is the Sampl within a Wet 	ed Area land? Yes	No <u>X</u>					

Remarks:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

15 cg footcg foot	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
1	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A)
2	·			Total Number of Dominant
3	·		<u> </u>	Species Across All Strata: [1] (B)
4 5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:		= I otal Co	ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:
1 Pluchea sp.	80	Y	FAC	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2	·			OBL species x 1 =
3	·			FACW species x 2 =
4	·			FAC species x 3 =
	·			FACU species x 4 =
··	80	– Total Co	ver	UPL species $x 5 =$
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15 sq feet</u>) 1. Chloris radiata		rotar oc		Column Totals: (A) (B)
2.				Prevalence Index = B/A =
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5.				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6.				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7	·			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain in Remarks or in the delineation report)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Co	ver	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1.				be present, unless disturbed of problematic.
2				Hydrophytic Vegetation
		= Total Co	ver	Present? Yes X No
Remarks:				
Next to ditch to investigate if wetland.				

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	6						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Rema	rks	
0-0.5								organic/	itter mat		
0.5-17	5YR 2.5/2						Sandy loam				
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=Re	educed Matrix, MS	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	² Locati	on: PL=Por	e Lining, I	M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators	for Proble	matic Hyd	dric Soils ³ :	
Histoso	l (A1)		Sandy Redox	(S5)			Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)		
Histic E	pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface	e (S7)			Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)				
Black H	istic (A3)		Loamy Gleye	ed Matrix (I	F2)		Red Parent Material (F21)				
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Depleted Ma	trix (F3)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
Muck P	resence (A8)		Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Deplete	d Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Dar	rk Surface	(F7)			· ·	,		
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)	. ,	Redox Depre	essions (F8	3)	³ Indic	ators of hvdror	hvtic veaet	ation and	wetland hvdro	
Sandy (Gleved Matrix (S4)				- /	must be present unless disturbed or problematic					
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):										
Туре:											
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks:											
Root hai	re in full profile										
NUULIIAI		•									

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: (Explain observations in Remarks, if needed.)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2) Tilapia Nests (B17)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Set	oils (C6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Fiddler Crab Burrows (C10) (Gua	m, CNMI, Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) and American Samoa)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>No X</u> Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
Remarks:	



Photo 49. Placed to Investigate Edge of the Ditch



Photo 50. Dominated by Facultative Pluchea sp. but Did Not Meet Three Parameter Wetland Criteria

Appendix D. USACE Ordinary High Water Mark Delineation Datasheets and Photo Documentation

	(= : ///0.00	-	
U.S. Army Co	orps of Engineers (USAC		Form Approved -
INTERIM DRAFT RAPID ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM) FIELD			OMB No. 0710-0025
The proponent agency	is Headquarters USACE CEC	V-CO-R.	Expires: 01-31-2025
	AGENCY DISCLOSUR		
The public reporting burden for this collection of in reviewing instructions, searching existing data sou information. Send comments regarding the burder Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-informa</u> law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for f number.	formation, 0710-OHWM, is estir urces, gathering and maintaining n estimate or burden reduction s <u>tion-collections@mail.mil</u> . Resp failing to comply with a collection	nated to average 30 minutes per res the data needed, and completing an uggestions to the Department of Defe ondents should be aware that notwith of information if it does not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarters astanding any other provision of a currently valid OMB control
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Improvement Site	Name: Manawaipueo Gulch	Date and T	me: March 20, 2022
Location (lat/long): 20.79218N, 156.56343W	Inves	tigator(s): Shahin Ansari and Terre	ll Erickson
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online resources used	urces to evaluate site:	Describe land use and flow cond Were there any recent extreme ev	ditions from online resources. ents (floods or drought)?
gage data LiDAR	geologic maps	and known to only flow during high rain events	. No recent drought or flood events were
climatic data $~~ imes~$ satellite imagery	land use maps	2023, the gulch was observed to be flowing and were observed at the time of the survey. This st	holding water after heavy rains. No flows
\longrightarrow aerial photos \longrightarrow topographic maps	Other:	Lahaina Pali Trailhead.	
vegetation and sediment type, size, densit channel form, such as bridges, riprap, land There was construction crew excavating sedi stream to back up and pond in Dec 2022-Jan	ty, and distribution. Make note o dslides, rockfalls etc. ment from the lowermost en 2023. The stream bed was sa	f natural or man-made disturbances t d of the stream. The blockage of aturated and slippery due to the h	this culvert had caused the eavy sediment deposits.
Step 3 Check the boxes next to the indicators of OHWM is at a transition point, therefore the drop-down menu next to each i just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale	used to identify the location o some indicators that are used to indicator, select the appropriate for location of OHWM, write any	f the OHWM. b determine location may be just belo location of the indicator by selecting of additional observations, and to attac	w and above the OHWM. From either just below `b', at `x', or h a photo log.
Geomorphic indicators			
Kereak in slope: a	X Channel bar: b	erosio. (e.g.,	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour,
on the bank:	shelving (berms) on	bar: smoot Secondar	hing, etc.)
── undercut bank:	X unvegetated: b	Sediment indi	rators
valley bottom:	vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators	s) Soil day	alonmont:
Other:	Sediment transition	b Changed	eiopinent.
Shelving: a	upper limit of deposi	tion	in character of soil:
\times shelf at top of bank: a	Instream bedforms and	other Changes	ks: b
	bedload transport evide deposition bedload in	nce: distribut	ion:
natural levee:	(e.g., imbricated cla	sts, X trans	ition from boulder to fine sedi
man-made berms or levees:	bedforms (e.g., pool	s, uppe	r limit of sand-sized particles
berms:	riffles, steps, etc.):	silt de	eposits:
Vegetation Indicators			
\times Change in vegetation type and/or density:	forbs to:	Expose intact s	d roots below oil layer:
Check the appropriate boxes and select	graminoids to:	Ancillary indic	ators
graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe	woody	\times Wrackin	g/presence of a
the vegetation transition looking from	shrubs to: deciduous	Drosonc	e of large wood
the middle of the channel, up the	trees to:		r disturbed or
panks, and into the floodplain.	coniferous	washed	away:
vegetation absent to: graminoids	trees to: Vegetation matted dow	n 📉 Water st	aining: x
moss to:	and/or bent:	Weather	ed clasts or bedrock:
Other observed indicators? Describe:			

Project ID #: Ho	noapiilani Improvement
Step 4 Is additio	nal information needed to support this determination? Yes $ imes$ No $$ If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:
Step 5 Describe Transect 1 w	rationale for location of OHWM vas placed toward the upstream end just below the remnant broken concrete bridge. Sediment or
water stainir	ng on the rocks along and matted down vegetation were used to mark the OHWM elevation
followed by	wracking on debris above the OHWM. The second transect was placed at the lower reach of the
stream wher	e indicators such as destruction of vegetation were used to identify the OHWM elevation.
Additional obse The banks w	ervations or notes vere vegetated with predominantly kiawe (Prosopis pallida) trees and guinea grass (Megathyrsus
maximus). T	The width of the stream channel at the upstream end was about 22 feet wide and about 47.5 feet
wide at the c	lownstream end.
Attach a photo lo	o of the site. Use the table below, or attach senarately
Photo	log attached? \times Yes No If no, explain why not:
List photograp	ns and include descriptions in the table below.
Number photog	graphs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of features.
Photo	Photograph description
Number	
51-54	See attached Photos and description.



Photo 51. Ponded and Backed Up Stream on December 20, 2022 Following Heavy Rains



Photo 52. Remnant of an Old Concrete Bridge at the Upper (Eastern) End of the Stream in the Study Area. [Water and sediment staining used to identify the OHWM level here.]



Photo 53. Location of Transect 1; Sediment/Water Staining on the Rocks at the OHWM and Wracking of Debris Above the OHWM were Used to Mark the OHWM Level Here



Photo 54. Location of Transect 2; Placed Where Indicators Such as Vegetation Destruction were Selected to Mark the OHWM Level

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)			Form Approved -	
INTERIM DRAFT RAPID ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM) FIELD			OMB No. 0710-0025	
The proponent agency	y is Headquarters USACE CEC	CW-CO-R.	Expires: 01-31-2025	
	AGENCY DISCLOSU	IRE NOTICE		
The public reporting burden for this collection of in reviewing instructions, searching existing data sou information. Send comments regarding the burder Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-informa</u> law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for number.	formation, 0710-OHWM, is esi urces, gathering and maintainir n estimate or burden reduction ation-collections@mail.mil. Res failing to comply with a collection	timated to average 30 minutes per res ng the data needed, and completing an suggestions to the Department of Defe spondents should be aware that notwith on of information if it does not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarters istanding any other provision of a currently valid OMB control	
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Highway Site	Name: Papalaua Gulch	Date and Ti	me: 1/3 and 4/28, 2023	
Location (lat/long): (20.79608N, 156.57601W)	Inve	estigator(s): Shahin Ansari and Terr	ell Erickson	
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online reso Check boxes for online resources used	urces to evaluate site:	Describe land use and flow cond Were there any recent extreme ever	litions from online resources. ents (floods or drought)?	
gage data LiDAR	geologic maps	Two unnamed streams in the P	apalaua Gulch flow into the	
climatic data $~~ imes~$ satellite imagery	land use maps	the Unnamed Streams of the Pa	analaua Gulch No recent	
aerial photos 📈 topographic maps	Other:	extreme floods or drought were	e recorded.	
Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. Five vegetation and sediment type, size, densi channel form, such as bridges, riprap, lan Two streams in the Papalua Gulch co 1971 by HDOT to mitigate sediment	rst look for changes in channel ty, and distribution. Make note dslides, rockfalls etc. onverge and flow into heavy flows from read	I shape, depositional and erosional fea of natural or man-made disturbances t the sedimentation basin that ching the Pacific Ocean.	tures, and changes in hat would affect flow and was constructed in	
 Step 3 Check the boxes next to the indicators used to identify the location of the OHWM. OHWM is at a transition point, therefore some indicators that are used to determine location may be just below and above the OHWM. From the drop-down menu next to each indicator, select the appropriate location of the indicator by selecting either just below `b', at `x', or just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale for location of OHWM, write any additional observations, and to attach a photo log. 				
Geomorphic indicators				
Kerak in slope: a Channel bar: erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, constance)				
on the bank:		X Secondar	y channels: x	
X undercut bank: a	unvegetated:	Sediment indi	cators	
X valley bottom:x	(go to veg. indicato	ors) Soil deve	elopment:	
Other:	(go to sed. indicato upper limit of depos	ors) Changes	in character of soil:	
	on bar: Instream bedforms and	d other Mudcrac	ks:	
shelf at top of bank:	bedload transport evid	lence: Changes	in particle-sized ion:	
natural levee:	(e.g., imbricated ci	lasts, X trans	ition from boulder to sediment	
man-made berms or levees:	gravel sheets, etc.)	uppe	r limit of sand-sized particles	
other berms:	riffles, steps, etc.):	silt de	eposits:	
Vegetation Indicators				
Change in vegetation type x and/or density:	forbs to:	Expose intact s	d roots below _b oil layer:	
Check the appropriate boxes and select	graminoids to:	Ancillary indic	ators	
the general vegetation change (e.g.,	woody	Wrackin	g/presence of	
the vegetation transition looking from shrubs to:		organic	litter:	
the middle of the channel, up the	deciduous trees to	Presenc	e of large wood:	
banks, and into the floodplain.	coniferous trees to:	Leaf litte washed	away:	
absent to: graminoids	Vegetation matted do	wn _X Water st	aining:	
moss to:	Anu/or pent:	Weather	ed clasts or bedrock:	
Other observed indicators? Describe: The entire system is situated in a floo	od plain.			

Project ID #: H	onoapiilani Highway
Step 4 Is additi	onal information needed to support this determination? Yes $ imes$ No $ ext{ If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:}$
Step 5 Describe	e rationale for location of OHWM
heavily sed	imented bed to dense grasses on the bank was a major indicator of the OHWM level here. Transect
2 was place	ed on the stream that enters from the east. Break in bank, transition from absence of vegetation to
grasses, and	d sediment sorting from boulders to sediment were clear indicators of the OHWM elevation for this
stream. Tra	nsect 3 was placed in the alluvial fan leading to the sedimentation basin. Here too, heavily
sedimented	channel and transition from no vegetation to grasses was the main indicator defining the OHWM
Additional obs	ervations or notes
dominated	the banks of the second stream entering from the east. The floodplain along the berm of the
sedimentati	on basin was dominated by kiawe (Prosopis pallida), thickets of haole koa (Leuceana
leucocepha	la), Pluchea spp., and guinea grass (Megathyrsus maximus).
Attach a photo	log of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.
Photo	b log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:
Number photograp	ons and include descriptions in the table below.
Photo	
Number	Photograph description
55 to 60	See attached photos and descriptions.



Figure 55. Papalaua Gulch – Location of Transect 1 on Southern Unnamed Stream; Stream Flow Here is in the East West Direction Before it Turns North Along the Raised Berm of the Sedimentation Basin



Figure 56. Papalaua Gulch Southern Unnamed Stream; Stream Flow Here is in the North South Direction Parallel to the Raised Berm of the Sedimentation Basin (Break in Bank was Much Above the OHWM Elevation as Indicated by Sediment Sorting)



Figure 57. General Direction of Flow of the Papalaua Gulch Unnamed Southern Stream



Figure 58. Alluvial/Sediment Fan Created by the Papalaua Gulch Unnamed Southern Stream



Figure 59. Papalaua Gulch – Location of Transect 2 on Unnamed Stream Entering from the East; Alluvial/Sediment Fan Created by the Papalaua Gulch Unnamed Southern Stream



Figure 60. Papalaua Gulch – Location of Transect 3; Unvegetated Alluvial Fan Leading to the Sediment Basin (Looking south, the Northward Flow Runs Parallel to the Western Berm of the Sedimentation Basin [not seen here])

			·
INTERIM DRAFT RAPID ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM) FIELD			OMB No. 0710-0025
The proponent agency is Headquarters USACE CECW-CO-R.			Expires: 01-31-202
· · ·	AGENCY DISCLOSUR	E NOTICE	I
The public reporting burden for this collection eviewing instructions, searching existing dat nformation. Send comments regarding the b Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-in</u> aw, no person shall be subject to any penalt umber.	n of information, 0710-OHWM, is estin a sources, gathering and maintaining urden estimate or burden reduction su formation-collections@mail.mil. Resp y for failing to comply with a collection	nated to average 30 minu the data needed, and cor uggestions to the Departm ondents should be aware of information if it does n	tes per response, including the time for npleting and reviewing the collection o nent of Defense, Washington Headqua that notwithstanding any other provisio ot display a currently valid OMB contro
roject ID #: Honoapiilani Highway	Site Name: Hanaula Gulch & ass	ociated ditches	Date and Time: 3/21 to 3/23, 2023
ocation (lat/long):	Inves	tigator(s): Shahin An	sari and Terrell Erickson
tep 1 Site overview from remote and online	resources	Describe land use and	I flow conditions from online resour
Check boxes for online resources gage data LiDAR	used to evaluate site: geologic maps	Were there any recent e The Hanaula Gulch/Stream	extreme events (floods or drought)?
climatic data \times satellite imagery	land use maps	hes which is expected at this time of the year	
\times aerial photos \times topographic map	s Other:	at the time of the survey.	em. There were no recent hood or drought e
vegetation and sediment type, size, or channel form, such as bridges, riprat the ditches are remnant from the bandoned road that runs paralle	density, and distribution. Make note of o, landslides, rockfalls etc. time when the land here w tothe	f natural or man-made disi as under sugarcane	plantation. There is also an
the drop-down menu next to e just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall ratio	phale for location of OHWM, write any	additional observations, a	and to attach a photo log.
Geomorphic indicators			
K Break in slope: a	Channel bar:	har.	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.)
Kereak in slope: a	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on b	bar:	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels:
Kervey Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition	bar: Sed	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators
Kerner Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition	bar: Sed	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit	bar: Sed) ion	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar:	bar: Sed) ion X	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on a unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and o bedload transport evided	bar: Sed) ion tother nce:	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on a unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated clas	bar: Sed) ion tother nce: ndicators sts.	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on a unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and o bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.)	bar: Sed) ion X other nce: ndicators sts,	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and o bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.):	bar: Sed)) ion x other nce: ndicators sts, s,	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: 'egetation Indicators	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on a unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and o bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.):	bar: Sed) ion tother nce: ndicators sts, s,	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.):	bar: Sed) ion tother nce: ndicators sts, s,	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits: Exposed roots below intact soil layer:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (a c	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: ct graminoids to:	bar: Sed) ion tother nce: ndicators sts, s, Anci	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Illary indicators
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: fegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describ	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and or bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: ct graminoids to: e	bar: Sed)) ion x other nce: ndicators sts, s, Anci	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Illary indicators Wracking/presence of organic litter:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describ the vegetation transition looking fro	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposition on bar: Instream bedforms and or bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: ct graminoids to: e woody m depolytown	bar: Sed)) ion x other nce: ndicators sts, s, Anci	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Illary indicators Wracking/presence of organic litter:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Cegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describ the vegetation transition looking fro the middle of the channel, up the bermedule of the channel, up the	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): ct graminoids to: e woody m shrubs to: deciduous trees to:	bar: Sed) ion other nce: ndicators sts, s, Anci	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Illary indicators Wracking/presence of organic litter: Presence of large wood: Loaf litter disturbed ar
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describt the vegetation transition looking froothe middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and of deposition bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): ct graminoids to: e woody m shrubs to: conferous trees to: conferous trees to:	bar: Sed)) other nce: ndicators sts, s, Anci	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: illary indicators Wracking/presence of organic litter: Presence of large wood: Leaf litter disturbed or washed away:
Break in slope: a on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: 'egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describ the vegetation transition looking fro the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. vegetation absent to:	Channel bar: shelving (berms) on l unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators sediment transition (go to sed. indicators upper limit of deposition on bar: Instream bedforms and or bedload transport evided deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): ct forbs to: ct graminoids to: e woody m shrubs to: coniferous trees to: coniferous trees to:	bar:)) ion other nce: ndicators sts, s, <u>Anci</u>	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Secondary channels: iment indicators Soil development: Changes in character of soil: Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: transition from to to upper limit of sand-sized particle silt deposits: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Illary indicators Wracking/presence of organic litter: Presence of large wood: Leaf litter disturbed or washed away: Water staining:

r

٦

Project ID #: Ho	noapiilani Highway
Step 4 Is additio	nal information needed to support this determination? Yes $ imes$ No $ ext{ If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:}$
Step 5 Describe	rationale for location of OHWM
Dense growt	th of pickleweed in the ditches made it challenging to identify the OHWM level. Some ditches
that recently	carried water had a clean line of dead vegetation in the center of the bed. In some other ditches
where the pi	ckleweed was not very dense, prominent mud cracks were visible. These indicators were use to
place the OF	1 wive elevation at the ditches.
Additional obse	rvations or notes
All the ditch	es had a dense cover of obligate pickleweed (<i>Batis maritima</i>) species. The southernmost ditch that
runs parallel	to the Ukumehame Firing Range fencline is connected to the Pacific Ocean via an underground
culvert that	runs below the existing Honoapiilani Highway.
Attach a photo lo	g of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.
Photo	log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:
List photograp	ns and include descriptions in the table below.
Number photog	graphs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of features.
Photo Number	Photograph description
61 to 64	See attached photos and description.



Photo 61. Google Earth Imagery - Hanaula Gulch (Blue Arrow) and Associated Ditches. D1 to D7 (While Outline) [Landscape View of Hanaula Stream Flow into the Ditch (Blue Arrow) that Runs Parallel to the Northern Boundary of Ukumehame Firing Range]



Photo 62. Hanaula Gulch and Ditch Next to Ukumehame Firing Range Fence in the Study Area. Location of Transect 1 to Identify the OHWM Line



Photo 63. Culvert Connecting the North-South Ditch 7 (White Arrow) to the Hanaula Gulch (Blue Arrow)



Photo 64. Dense Growth of Pickleweed Made it Challenging to Identify the OHWM Level. [Break in slope was a weak indicator of the OHWM in many places.]

	orps of Engineers (USACE)	Form Approvea -
INTERIM DRAFT RAPID ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM) FIELD			OMB No. 0710-0025
IDENTIFICATION DATA SHEET The proponent agency is Headquarters USACE CECW-CO-R.			Expires: 01-31-2025
	AGENCY DISCLOSURE	NOTICE	1
The public reporting burden for this collection of in eviewing instructions, searching existing data soun nformation. Send comments regarding the burder Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-informa</u> aw, no person shall be subject to any penalty for further number.	formation, 0710-OHWM, is estimative, gathering and maintaining to estimate or burden reduction sugnition-collections@mail.mil. Respondation for a collection of a collection of the second seco	ated to average 30 minutes per res he data needed, and completing an ggestions to the Department of Defe ndents should be aware that notwith of information if it does not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarters istanding any other provision of a currently valid OMB control
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Highway Site	Name: Ditch 1 (at Pohaku Ael	ko Street) Date and Ti	me: March 22, 2023
ocation (lat/long): 20.79815N, 156.78156W	Investi	gator(s): Shahin Ansari and Terr	ell Erickson
itep 1 Site overview from remote and online reso Check boxes for online resources used	urces to evaluate site:	Describe land use and flow cond Were there any recent extreme ever	litions from online resources ents (floods or drought)?
gage data LiDAR geologic maps Ditch 1 is a cul			t runs parallel to the existing
climatic data $~~ imes~$ satellite imagery	land use maps	feature. No recent extreme drou	ight or flood events were
aerial photos topographic maps	Other:	recorded.	
Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. Fin vegetation and sediment type, size, densit channel form, such as bridges, riprap, land The ditch was heavily vegetated white	rst look for changes in channel sh ty, and distribution. Make note of i dslides, rockfalls etc. ch probably impacted flo	ape, depositional and erosional feat natural or man-made disturbances t	tures, and changes in hat would affect flow and
the drop-down menu next to each i just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators	for location of OHWM, write any a	additional observations, and to attac	bither just below `b', at `x', or
Break in slope:	Channel bar: x	erosio	nal bedload indicators
Break in slope: on the bank:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba	erosioi (e.g., smooti	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.)
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated:	erosioi (e.g., ar: smooti Secondar	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (rep to veg. indicators)	erosion (e.g., ar: smooti Secondar Sediment indic	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on be unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition	erosion (e.g., smooti Secondar Sediment indie Soil deve	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment indie Soil deve On	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) ry channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and o	ar: erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve on Changes ther Observation	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evident	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil devo On Changes ther ce: ther ce: distributi	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) ry channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport eviden deposition bedload indicators	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Sediment india Soil deve on Changes ther Changes distributi s, trans	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evident deposition bedload indicators (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools,	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Soil deve Changes distributi s, transi upper	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and or bedload transport eviden deposition bedload ind (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment indie Soil deve Soil deve Changes distributi s, transi upper silt de	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: /egetation Indicators	 Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evident deposition bedload indic (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): 	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Sediment india Soil devo Changes distribut s, trans upper silt de	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //egetation Indicators //egetation Indicators //egetation Indicators	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evident deposition bedload indicators (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.): bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.):	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes distributi s, trans s, trans upper silt de Expose intact s	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: fegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the report of the other of the sector	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and or bedload transport eviden deposition bedload ind (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.):	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Secondar Sediment indie Soil deve Changes distributi s, trans s, trans upper silt de nrubs Expose intact s Ancillary indic	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: this: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: 'egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport eviden deposition bedload ind (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.):	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes distributi s, trans s, trans upper silt de nrubs <u>Expose</u> intact s Ancillary indic	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //getation Indicators //egetation Indicators	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and or bedload transport evident deposition bedload indice (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: woody sh graminoids to: woody shrubs to: depiducure	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi s, trans upper silt de intubs Expose intact s Ancillary indic	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //egetation Indicators //egetation Indicators	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport eviden deposition bedload ind (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: woody sh graminoids to: woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to:	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Secondar Secondar Secondar Secondar Soil deve Changes distributi s, ther ce: distributi s, transi upper silt de silt de Ancillary indic. Presence	hal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: ar disturbed or
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //egetation Indicators //egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evident deposition bedload ind (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: woody sh graminoids to: woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to:	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi s, trans ther ce: distributi s, trans upper silt de silt de Ancillary indic Presence Leaf litte washed	hal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: ir disturbed or away:
Break in slope: on the bank: undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. vegetation absent to:	Channel bar: x shelving (berms) on ba unvegetated: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of depositio on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evidem deposition bedload ind (e.g., imbricated clast gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: woody sh graminoids to: woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to: Vegetation matted down	ar: smooti (e.g., smooti Secondar Secondar Secondar Secondar Secondar Soil deve Changes distributi s, trans upper silt de silt de Soil deve Changes distributi s, trans upper silt de Mudcrac Changes distributi s, trans upper silt de Mudcrac Changes distributi silt de Mudcrac Changes distributi silt de Mudcrac Changes distributi silt de Mudcrac Silt de Silt de Silt de Mudcrac Silt de Silt	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: ir disturbed or away: aining:

The bed of the ditch was covered with obligate picklweed and transitioned to thickets of woody Pluchea species on the ditch banks.

Step 4 Is additional information needed to support this determination? $\,\,$ Yes

Step 5 Describe rationale for location of OHWM

Transect 1 was placed at the culvert to the south of Pohaku Aeko Street. The edge of the culvert and the edge of facultative Pluchea spp. thickets was used to delineated the OHWM at this feature. Transect 2 was placed on the longer stretch of the ditch north of Pohaku Aeko Street. The transition from obligate pickleweed plants that covered the standing water in the ditch to the woody Pluchea spp. on the bank indicated the OHWM elevation at this location.

Additional observations or notes

The heavily vegetated ditch was hard to access. Other than for change in vegetation, and a weak break in bank, very few OHWM indicators were seen here. A combination of aerial imagery and field observations were used to determine the OHWM elevation for this feature.

Attach a	nhoto loa	of the site	I lea tha	table below	or attach	congrately
Allauna	photo log	or the site.	036 116	lable below,	or allacit	separatery.

Photo log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:

List photographs and include descriptions in the table below.

Number photographs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of features.

Photo Number	Photograph description
65-68	See attached photos and descriptions.



Photo 65. Ditch 8 at Pohaku Aeko Street. [Ditches on Either Side of Pohaku Aeko Street Are Connected Via a Concrete Culvert. Also, the Ditch to the South [Right] of the Road Flows Under the Existing Highway into the Pacific Ocean.]



Photo 66. Fence Lining the Concrete Culvert at Pohaku Aeko Street – View to the South. [Location of Transect 1 Where the Edge of Culvert was Used to Identify the OHWM Elevation at this Aquatic Feature]



Photo 67. Fenced in Culvert and Ditch 8 at Pohaku Aeko Street - View to the North



Photo 68. Location of Transect 2; Placed Where Change in Vegetation from Obligate to Facultative was Used to Mark the OHWM Level

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)			
INTERIM DRAFT RAPID ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM) FIELD			
IDENTIFICATION DATA SHEET			
The proponent agency is Headquarters USACE CECW-CO-R.			

Form Approved -

OMB No. 0710-0025

Expires: 01-31-2025

	AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE				
The public reporting burden for this collection of information, 0710-OHWM, is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or burden reduction suggestions to the Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil</u> . Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Highway	Site Name: Ukumehame Str	eam		Date and Time: 3/23/23 and 9/22/23	
Location (lat/long):		Investig	gator(s): Shahin Ar	nsari, Terrell Erickson	
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online resources Describe land use and flow conditions from online resources Check boxes for online resources used to evaluate site: Were there any recent extreme events (floods or drought)? gage data LiDAR geologic maps climatic data satellite imagery land use maps A aerial photos topographic maps Other: Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. First look for changes in channel shape, depositional and erosional features, and changes in				e and flow conditions from online resources. Bent extreme events (floods or drought)? Derennial stream. Stream channel in the study hrough undeveloped lands. There were is in the stream at the time of the survey. No ood or drought events have been recorded.	
There is a concrete ford, concrete than this the stream has natural be	bridge, and culvert at and banks.	the lo	wermost (west	tern) end of the stream reach. Other	
OHWM is at a transition point, therei the drop-down menu next to ea just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall ration	fore some indicators that are u ach indicator, select the approp nale for location of OHWM, wri	sed to d priate loo te any a	letermine location m cation of the indicate	nay be just below and above the OHWM. From or by selecting either just below `b', at `x', or ons, and to attach a photo log.	
Geomorphic indicators					
Break in slope: a	Channel bar: shelving (berm	s) on ba	ar:	erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.)	
on the bank.		.,		Secondary channels:	
undercut bank:	unvegetated:	sition	-	Sediment indicators	
X valley bottom:b	vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition		-	X Soil development: b	
Other:	(go to sed. indi upper limit of d	cators) enositio	n	Changes in character of soil: \boldsymbol{b}	
X Shelving: x	on bar:	epositio		Mudcracks:	
$ig imes$ shelf at top of bank: ${f x}$	Instream bedforms bedload transport	and ot evidence	her ce:	Changes in particle-sized distribution:	
natural levee:	(e.g., imbricate	ed clasts	s,	\times transition from boulder to sediment	
man-made berms or levees:	gravel sheets,	etc.)		upper limit of sand-sized particles	
other	riffles, steps, e	, poois, tc.):		silt denosits:	
Vegetation Indicators		,			
$ imes$ Change in vegetation type $_{ m b}$ and/or density:	forbs to:			Exposed roots below b intact soil layer:	
Check the appropriate boxes and select	graminoids to	:	_	Ancillary indicators	
graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe	woody		_	Wracking/presence of	
the vegetation transition looking from	nsition looking from shrubs to:				
the middle of the channel, up the	deciduous			X Presence of large wood: x	
banks, and into the floodplain.	coniferous			Leaf litter disturbed or b	
vegetation chaont	trees to:			wasiicu away. Watar staining:	
	Vegetation matter	d down	x	water stammy.	
moss to:				Weathered clasts or bedrock:	
Other observed indicators? Describe:					

Project ID #: Ho	noapiilani Highway
Step 4 Is addition	nal information needed to support this determination? Yes $ imes$ No $ ext{ If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:}$
Step 5 Describe	rationale for location of OHWM
Transect 1 is	s representative of the uppermost reach of the stream. OHWM level selected at elevation clearly
showing the	undercut banks with exposed roots and shelving on top of bank. Transect 2 is representative of the
middle reach	n of the stream where sediment sorting from boulders to finer sediment and wracking were used to
Identify OH	WM elevation. I ransect 3 is representative of the lowermost reach of the stream where the width
of the bridge	e and the water standing on the concrete were used to identify the OH will elevation.
Additional obse	rvations or notes
Java plum (S	Syvgium cumini) is the dominant tree species. Guinea grass (Megathyrsus maximus) is abundant
in the ground	d cover.
Attach a photo lo	g of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.
Photo	log attached? Xes No If no, explain why not:
List photograph	ns and include descriptions in the table below.
Number photog	graphs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of features.
Photo	Photograph description
Number	
	See attached for photos 51-55 and descriptions.



Photo 69. Location of Transect 1; In the Upper Reach of Ukumehame Stream Overlapping Build Alternative 4



Photo **70**. OHWM Level Indicators at Transect 1; OHWM Level Selected at the Elevation of Undercut Bank, Exposed Roots Below this Level, and Shelving of Debris Above the Level



Photo 71. Location of Transect 2 in Middle Reach of Stream; Transect Placed Where Clear Lining on the Bank was Visible at the OHWM Level Along with Sediment Sorting from Boulders to Sediment



Photo 72. Sediment Sorting at Transect 2; Sorting of Sediment from Boulders to Finer Sediment Below the OHWM and Wracking at the OHWM were Main Indicators at this Transect



Photo **73**. Location of Transect 3 at Lowermost Reach of Stream; Ukumehame Stream Bridge and the Concrete Ford at the Lowermost Reach (The Bridge Footing and Water Staining on the Concrete Defined the OHWM Level for this Lower Reach)

	U.S. Army (Corps of E	Engin	eers (USACE)				
INTERIM DRAFT	RAPID ORD	DINARY H	lIGH	WATER MARK (OHW	M) FIELD			
IDENTIFICATION DATA SHEET								

Form Approved -

OMB No. 0710-0025

AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE Pro public reporting burdering fue collection of information. Section of a formation on 7010-OHVM is estimated to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sections, adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time for information. Sections adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time of the survey adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time of the survey adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time of the survey adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the called control adjusted to the survey adjusted to average 30 minutes per response. Including the time of the survey adjusted to the per per response. Including the time of the survey adjusted to the per per response. Including the per per survey adjusted to the per per per per survey adjusted to the per per per per per per per survey. No externed the time of the survey adjusted to the per per per per per survey adjusted to the per per per per per per per per per pe	The proponent ag		Expires: 01-31-2025							
The public reporting burden for this collection of Information 0710-0HWM, is estimated to average 20 minutes per response howing the solution of an energy and revise in the solution of the department of Defense. Water in the solution of the department of Defense. Water in the solution of the department of Defense. Water in the solution of the department of Defense. Water is t	AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE									
Project ID #. Honoapiilani Site Name: Dich 2-Vicinity of Ehehene Street Date and Time: September 20, 2023 Location (lat/ong): 20.80450N, 156.59900W Investigator(s): Step 1 Site overwise from rende and online resources geologic maps gage data LIDAR geologic maps dimitalic data x satellite imagery Indi use maps vegetation and sediment type, size, density, and distribution. Make note of natural or man-made latures, and changes in channel shape. depositional and erosional factures, and changes in durance shat would after flow and channel form, such as bridges, riprap, landaldes, rocklails etc. Depse: impercitable thickets of vegetation surround the ditches that made it challenging to find OHWM Other imation point, threafora some indicator, select the appropriate location of the indicators and indicators, select the appropriate location of the indicators and indicators in the ast used to dentify the location of the indicators is and value, selecting etting, and the selection of the indicators is and indicators in an and on the indicator select in each indicator, select the appropriate location of the indicators is and other indicators in the site is and other indicators is and other indicators is and indicators (e.g., obtaiced marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) Step 3 Check the bark: undercut bank: undercut bank: undercut bank: undercut bank: undercut bank: easional observators vegetation indicators gage in vegetation to the googotion on ob ar: self at top of bank: forts to: forts to: natural leves:	The public reporting burden for this collection reviewing instructions, searching existing dat information. Send comments regarding the biservices, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-inf</u> law, no person shall be subject to any penalty number.	of information, 0710-OHWM, a sources, gathering and main urden estimate or burden redu <u>ormation-collections@mail.mil</u> / for failing to comply with a co	is estimated taining the loction sugge l. Responde llection of ir	d to average 30 min data needed, and co estions to the Depart ents should be aware nformation if it does	utes per respondenting and ment of Defe that notwith not display a	conse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of nse, Washington Headquarters standing any other provision of currently valid OMB control				
Lacation (lationg): 20.80456N, 156.59900W Investigator(s): Step 1 Site overview from nemote and online resources Check boxes for online resources used to evaluate site: Galaction (lationg): 20.80456N, 156.59900W Step 2 Site overview from nemote and online resources A analip hoto topographic maps Other: Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. First look for changes in channel shape, depositional and has a clear connection to the occan. Normal low flows occurred at the time of the survey. No extreme recent flow of drought very accurred. Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. First look for changes in channel shape, depositional and ensional features, and changes in vegetation and sediment type, size, density, and distribution. Make note of natural or mam-made disturbances that would affect flow and channel Galactions (see the boxes next to the indicators used to identify the location of the OHWM. OHWM is at a transition point, therefores new indicators that are used to determine location of the indicator is elect the appropriate location of the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale for location of OH/WM, write any additional observations, and to attach a photo log. Geomorphic indicators shel ving (berns) on bar: undercut bank: valley bottom: (of to veg. indicators) upper limit of deposition (go to seq. indicators) upper limit of deposition (go	Project ID #: Honoapiilani	Site Name: Ditch 2-Vicinit	tch 2-Vicinity of Ehehene Street		Date and Time: September 20, 2023					
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online resources Describe land use and flow conditions from online resources. Check boxes for online resources used to evaluate site: geologic maps	Location (lat/long): 20.80456N, 156.59900	W	V Investigato							
getocold Decomposition climatic data × settille imagery land use maps x erial photos topographic maps Other: Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. First look for changes in channel shape, depositional and erosical flattures, and changes in vegetation and sediment type, size, density, and distribution. Make note of natural or man-made disturbances that would affect flow and channel shape. depositional and erosical flattures, and changes in channel shape. depositional and erosical flattures, and changes in channel shape. depositional and erosical flattures, and changes in channel shape. deposition and regiment flattures, and changes in channel shape. deposition and regiment flattures, and changes in channel shape. depositional flattures, and changes in mar-made disturbances that would affect flow and channel shape. deposition and regiment flattures and charmed share used to determine location mary be just below and above the OHVM. OHWI is at transition point, therefore some indicators is at are used to determine location of the indicator by selecting either just below b'. at X, or just above if the OHVM. OHWI is at transition of the column. OHWI is at transition directors is another below and above the OHVM. OHWI is at transition of the bank: unregetated: unregetated: undercut bank: unregetated class, gravel sheets, etc.): Sediment indicators shelf at top of bank: getati	Step 1 Site overview from remote and online Check boxes for online resources of gage data	resources used to evaluate site:	Bources Describe la Id to evaluate site: Were there geologic maps Based on i		d use and flow conditions from online resources. ny recent extreme events (floods or drought)? nagery over multiple years, it appears that Ditch					
arrial phot data		Jand use mans	2 (system) is perennial and has a clear connection to the							
Non-transfer Non-transfer Non-transfer Sige 2 Site conditions during field assessment. Test took for changes in channel shape. depositional and erosional features, and changes in would affect flow and channel form, such as bridges, riprap, landsides, rockfalls etc. Depse impenetrable thickets of vegetation surround the ditches that made it challenging to find OHWM Site 2 Site conditions during field assessment. Site 3 Check the boxes next to the indicators used to identify the location of the indicator subset is appropriate location of the indicator by a describe overall rationale for location of 0HWM, write any additional observations, and to attach a photo log. Geomorphic indicators Channel bar: undercut bank: unvegetated: vegetation indicators sedument indicators shelf at top of bank: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: gravel shells, etc.): waley bottom: gravel shells, etc.): waley bottom: gravel shells to: other gravel shells, etc.): shelf at top of bank: instram bedforms and other berms: gravel shells, etc.): waley bottom: gravel shells to: gravel shells, etc.): gravel shells to: waley bottom: gravel shells, etc.)		land use maps		ocean. Normal low flows occurred at the time of the survey.						
Step 2 Sile conditions during field assessment. First look for changes in changes in changes in vegetation and sediment type, size, density and distibution. Make note of natural or man-made disturbances that would affect flow and changes in changes in prane, landslides, rockfalls etc. Step 2 Sile conditions during field assessment. First look for changes in changes in changes in vegetation type, size, density, and disturbuion. Make note of natural or man-made disturbances that would affect flow and changes in the would affect flow and changes in change in changes in	A aerial photos topographic maps	s Other:	Other: No extreme rec			ight event occurred.				
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale for location of OHWM, write any additional observations, and to attach a photo log. Geomorphic indicators Break in slope: Channel bar: on the bank: shelving (berms) on bar: undercut bank: unvegetated: valley bottom: (go to sed. indicators) Other: unvegetated: shelving: on the bank: ofter: (go to sed. indicators) shelf at top of bank: (go to sed. indicators) natural levee: deposition bedioad indicators other (e.g., inbricated clasts, man-made berms or levees: gravel sheets, etc.): other bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): bedforms (e.g., pools, Vegetation Indicators (e.g., morticated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.): upper limit of sand-sized particles bedforms (e.g., pools, inflets to: other gravinids to: weather al vegetation transition foothing from forbs to: other gravinids to: weather al vegetation transition looking from shit bo: other graminoids to:	vegetation and sediment type, size, or channel form, such as bridges, riprap Dense impenetrable thickets of ve indicators here. Step 3 Check the boxes next to the indicat OHWM is at a transition point, there the drop-down menu next to e just above `a' the OHWM.	lensity, and distribution. Make o, landslides, rockfalls etc. egetation surround the cors used to identify the loca efore some indicators that are in ach indicator, select the appro-	ation of the used to dete	that made it ch OHWM. ormine location may ion of the indicator b	allenging be just belov y selecting e	to find OHWM v and above the OHWM. From ither just below `b', at `x', or				
Geomorphic indicators Break in slope: On the bank: undercut bank: shelving (berms) on bar: undercut bank: unvegetated: valley bottom: (go to seq. indicators) Other: unvegetated: shelf at top of bank: vegetation transition natural levee: (e.g., inbricators) shelf at top of bank: Instream bedforms and other bedforms (e.g., inbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.) man-made berms or levees: other other gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): to Vegetation transition the equation transition obsing from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. forbs to: vegetation woody shrubs most to: wcody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: vegetation matted down and/or bent: vegetation matted down most to: vegetation matted down and/or bent: vegetation matted down most to: wcody shrubs to: other wcody shrubs to: other wcody shrubs to: vegetation matted down and/or bent: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: vegetation transition foodplain. vegeta	Go to page 2 to describe overall ratio	nale for location of OHWM, wi	rite any add	itional observations,	and to attacl	n a photo log.				
Break in slope: Channel bar: erosional bediaad indicators on the bank: shelving (berms) on bar: g. obstace marks, scour, smoothing, etc.) undercut bank: unvegetated: smoothing, etc.) valley bottom: (g to veg, indicators) Sediment indicators Other: unvegetated: vegetation transition (g to veg, indicators) Shelving: sediment transition (g to veg, indicators) Sediment indicators Shelving: instream bedforms and other befload indicators (e.g., prools, infires, steps, etc.): Mudcracks: Changes in character of soil: man-made berms or levees: deposition bedload indicators (e.g., pools, infires, steps, etc.): transition fromtoto	Geomorphic indicators									
Of the bank: undercut bank: unvegetated: secondary channels: valley bottom: vegetation transition (go to veg. indicators) Sediment indicators Other:	Break in slope: Channel bar:		ns) on bar:		erosional bedload indicators (e.g., obstacle marks, scour, smoothing, etc.)					
undercut bank: univegreated. valley bottom: vegetation transition Other:	on the bank.	unversteted			Secondary channels:					
valley bottom: (go to veg. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) sediment transition (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition on bar: Soil development: Shelving: (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition on bar: Soil development: shelf at top of bank: (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition on bar: Mudcracks: natural levee: (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.) Mudcracks: other (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.) transition from to Vegetation Indicators (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.) upper limit of sand-sized particles Vegetation locicators (e.g., imbricated clasts, graminoids to: transition from to Vegetation locicators (go to veg. indicators) silt deposits: Vegetation locicators graminoids to: Mudcracks: Vegetation transition looking from the widdle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. graminoids to: Wracking/presence of organic litter: Vegetation woody shrubs moss to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: x Water staining: Vegetation woody shrubs Vegetation matted down and/or bent: x Weathered clasts or bedrock:	undercut bank:	unvegetation transition		Se	Sediment indicators					
Shelving: (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition on bar: Changes in character of soil: Shelving: on bar: (go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition on bar: Mudcracks: shelf at top of bank: Instream bedforms and other bedload transport evidence: deposition bedload indicators (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.): Mudcracks: Changes in particle-sized distribution: man-made berms or levees: other berms: (go to sed. indicators (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.): transition fromto Vegetation Indicators (go to sed. indicators) transition fromto Change in vegetation type x and/or density: forbs to: transition fromto Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. graminoids to: coniferous trees to: coniferous and/or bent: Midcracks: Vegetation to so to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: x Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Ancillary indicators? Leaf litter disturbed or washed away: Leaf litter disturbed or washed away: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: x Weathered clasts or bedrock:	valley bottom:	(go to veg. indicators) sediment transition			Soil development:					
Shelving: on bar: Mudcracks: shelf at top of bank: Instream bedforms and other bedload transport evidence: Changes in particle-sized distribution: natural levee: deposition bedload indicators (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.): bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): transition fromto Vegetation Indicators man-made berms or levees: bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): silt deposits: Vegetation Indicators forbs to: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. woody Mudcracks: ✓ vegetation mast to: woody shrubs to: mody shrubs to: Ancillary indicators ✓ vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. vegetation matted down atmost to: Water staining: ✓ vegetation woody shrubs trees to: Vegetation matted down atmost to: Water staining: ✓ vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. Vegetation matted down atmost to: Water staining: ✓ vegetation mast to: Wegetation transition looking from trees to: trees to: Water staining: ✓ vegetati		(go to sed. indicators) upper limit of deposition			Changes in character of soil:					
shelf at top of bank: Instream bedroms and other bedload transport evidence: Changes in particle-sized distribution: natural levee: deposition bedload indicators (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.): transition from to other berms: gravel sheets, etc.): bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): upper limit of sand-sized particles Vegetation Indicators forbs to: silt deposits: upper limit of sand-sized particles X Change in vegetation type x and/or density: forbs to: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: confierous trees to: Wracking/presence of organic litter: V vegetation absent to: woody shrubs moss to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Water staining: Vegetation indicators? Describe: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Weathered clasts or bedrock:	Shelving:	on bar:			Mudcracl	ks:				
natural levee: deposition bedload indicators (e.g., imbricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): transition fromto Vegetation Indicators gravel sheets, etc.) upper limit of sand-sized particles silt deposits: Vegetation Indicators forbs to: sand/or density: silt deposits: Change in vegetation type and/or density: forbs to: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Ancillary indicators Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. woody trees to: coniferous trees to: Mracking/presence of organic litter: Vegetation moss to: vegetation matted down and/or bent: x Water staining: Other observed indicators? Describe: trees to: Weathered clasts or bedrock:	shelf at top of bank:	bedload transport evidence:		r	Changes	in particle-sized				
man-made berms or levees: gravel sheets, etc.); upper limit of sand-sized particles other berms: upper limit of sand-sized particles vegetation Indicators silt deposits: vegetation Indicators forbs to: check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. graminoids to: Mracking/presence of organic litter: vegetation woody shrubs vegetation matted down absent to: vegetation matted down and/or bent: Water staining: vest or baserved indicators? Describe: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: weathered clasts or bedrock:	natural levee:	deposition be	deposition bedload indicators			tion from to				
other bedforms (e.g., pools, riffles, steps, etc.): upper limit of sand-sized particles Vegetation Indicators riffles, steps, etc.): silt deposits: Vegetation Indicators forbs to: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Change in vegetation type and/or density: forbs to: Ancillary indicators Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to: woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to: woody shrubs and/or bent: Presence of large wood: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: vegetation matted down and/or bent: weathered clasts or bedrock:	man-made herms or levees.	(e.g., impricated clasts, gravel sheets, etc.)			ii ai i Sil					
berms: riffles, steps, etc.): silt deposits: Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type x and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. × vegetation absent to: woody shrubs moss to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: x It deposits: Other observed indicators? Describe: vegetations? Describe: vegetation x forbs to: graminoids to: woody shrubs to: woody shrubs to: woody shrubs to: woody shrubs trees to: conferous trees to: woody shrubs trees to: woody shrubs washed away: washed away: Water staining: Weathered clasts or bedrock:	other	bedforms (e.g., pools,			upper	iimit of sand-sized particles				
Vegetation Indicators Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Change in vegetation type and/or density: forbs to: Exposed roots below intact soil layer: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. graminoids to: Ancillary indicators Vegetation masted down absent to: woody shrubs Wracking/presence of organic litter: Presence of large wood: Vegetation masted down absent to: vegetation masted down and/or bent: X Water staining: Vegetators to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: X Water staining: Other observed indicators? Describe: Exposed roots below intact soil layer:	berms:	riffles, steps, etc.):			silt de	posits:				
Change in vegetation type and/or density:xforbs to:Exposed roots below intact soil layer:Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. Vegetation absent to:graminoids to:Ancillary indicatorsVegetation absent to:woody shrubs trees to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent:Wracking/presence of organic litter:Wracking/presence of organic litter:Vegetation moss to:vegetation matted down and/or bent:Wracking/presence of organic litter:Wracking/presence of organic litter:Vegetation moss to:Vegetation matted down and/or bent:Water staining:Other observed indicators?Describe:	Vegetation Indicators									
Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.graminoids to:Ancillary indicatorsVegetation absent to:woody shrubs trees to: vegetation absent to:woody shrubs trees to: trees to: vegetation matted down and/or bent:Wracking/presence of organic litter: Presence of large wood: Leaf litter disturbed or washed away: Water staining: Weathered clasts or bedrock:	X Change in vegetation type x and/or density: x forbs to:			Exposed intact soil		d roots below bil layer:				
If the general vegetation rulange (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe woody Wracking/presence of organic litter: graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe shrubs to: organic litter: the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. deciduous Presence of large wood: vegetation absent to: coniferous washed away: washed away: moss to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Water staining: Other observed indicators? Describe: Describe: Vegetation and/or bent: Weathered clasts or bedrock:	Check the appropriate boxes and select	graminoids to:		And	cillary indica	itors				
the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. shrubs to: deciduous Presence of large wood: Leaf litter disturbed or washed away: Vegetation absent to: moss to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Water staining: Other observed indicators? Describe:	graminoids to woody shrubs). Describ	e woody	woody		Wracking/presence of					
the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. deciduous Presence of large wood: × vegetation absent to: trees to: Leaf litter disturbed or washed away: × vegetation absent to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Water staining: Other observed indicators? Describe:	the vegetation transition looking from	m shrubs to:	shrubs to:		Dreasen	aflerge wood				
banks, and into the floodplain. coniferous washed away: × vegetation absent to: trees to: Water staining: moss to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Weathered clasts or bedrock:	the middle of the channel, up the	aeciauous trees to:	aeciauous trees to:		Presence of large Wood:					
Vegetation absent to: woody shrubs moss to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Water staining: Other observed indicators? Describe: Vegetation matted down and/or bent: Water staining:	banks, and into the floodplain.	coniferous	coniferous			Leat litter disturbed or washed away:				
moss to: x Weathered clasts or bedrock: Other observed indicators? Describe:	<i>vegetation</i> <i>absent to:</i> woody shrubs	trees to:			Water sta	ining:				
Other observed indicators? Describe:	moss to:	∧ and/or bent:			Weathered clasts or bedrock:					
	Other observed indicators? Describe:									
Project ID #: Honoa	piilani									
--	--									
Step 4 Is additional in	nformation needed to support this determination? Yes \times No If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:									
Step 5 Describe ration Transect was pl	nale for location of OHWM laced at a location where OHWM indicator could be investigated from amongst the dense									
vegetation on th	he bank. Change in vegetation from either lack of it or from floating masses of duckweed to									
thickets of Pluc	hea shrubs along with break in slope were used to estimate the OHWM level.									
Additional observat	tions or notes									
There is an old	and large water pump, remnant of the sugar plantation time in the northern of the two east-west									
running ditches	··									
Attach a photo log of	the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.									
Photo log a	attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:									
List photographs a	nd include descriptions in the table below.									
Photo										
Number	otograph description									
74-77 See	e attached photos and descriptions.									
I										



Photo 74. Imagery the Ditch (System) 9 in the Vicinity of Ehehene Street; Yellow Arrows Showing Two East-West Running Ditches that Meet the North South Running Ditch and the Connection of the Northern Ditch with the Pacific Ocean



Photo 75. The Southern of the Two East-West Running Ditches in the Vicinity of Ehehene Street; Ditch is Surrounded by Thickets of Pluchea Shrubs (the Blue Arrows Indicate Smaller Ditches that Fed into this Main East-West Ditch, the Yellow Arrows Show the Connection to the North-South Ditch that Runs Parallel to the Existing Highway)



Photo 76. The Northern of the Two East-West Running Ditches in the Vicinity of Ehehene Street; Large Water Pump Remnant from the Sugar Plantation Time



Photo 77. North-South Running Ditch in the Vicinity of Ehehene Street; Location of Transect 1, OHWM was Mostly Indicated by the Break in Bank (Water in the Ditch, Seen Here, is Covered with Duckweek [Lemna sp.])

	U.S. Army Corps	of Engir	neers (USACE)	
INTERIM DRAFT	RAPID ORDINAR	Y HIGH	WATER MARK	(OHWM) FIELD
	IDENTIFICAT	ION DA	TA SHEET	. ,
				-

Form Approved -

OMB No. 0710-0025

Expires: 01-31-2025

i ne proponent ag	ency is Headquarters USACE	CECVV-	CO-R.		Expires: 01-51-2020
	AGENCY DISCL	OSURE	NOTICE		
The public reporting burden for this collection reviewing instructions, searching existing data information. Send comments regarding the bu Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-info</u> law, no person shall be subject to any penalty number.	of information, 0710-OHWM, a sources, gathering and main irden estimate or burden redu- ormation-collections@mail.mil for failing to comply with a co	is estima taining th ction sug . Respor llection c	ted to average 30 mir ne data needed, and c gestions to the Depar idents should be awar of information if it does	nutes per res ompleting and tment of Defe e that notwith not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarters istanding any other provision of currently valid OMB control
Project ID #: Honoapiilani	Site Name: Mapua Stream			Date and Ti	me: September 26, 2023
Location (lat/long): 20.81345N, 156.61381	W	Investig	jator(s): Shahin Ansa	ri and Sadi	e Trush
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online to Check boxes for online resources up gage data LiDAR	resources i sed to evaluate site: geologic maps		Describe land use an Were there any recen Mopua stream pas	nd flow cond t extreme even sses throug	litions from online resources. ents (floods or drought)? h undeveloped residential
climatic data satellite imagery	land use maps		lots in Olowalu Pe	eninsula. Tl	he stream bed and banks
\times aerial photos \times topographic maps	Other:		were dry. No rece	nt extreme	flood or drought occurred.
Step 2 Site conditions during field assessmen	t. First look for changes in cha	annel sha	ape, depositional and o	erosional feat	ures, and changes in
channel form, such as bridges, riprap	, landslides, rockfalls etc.	note of r	latural or man-made d	Isturbances t	hat would affect flow and
The stream appears to be flowing pump, a water meter, and irrigation	undergrond in the stream on pipes near the stream	etch th m chai	at was investigat nnel.	ted. There	e are several water
Step 3 Check the boxes next to the indicate OHWM is at a transition point, there the drop-down menu next to ex just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall ration	ors used to identify the loca fore some indicators that are u ach indicator, select the appro nale for location of OHWM, wr	tion of t used to c priate loo ite any a	he OHWM. letermine location may cation of the indicator l dditional observations	/ be just below by selecting e , and to attac	w and above the OHWM. From either just below `b', at `x', or h a photo log.
Geomorphic indicators					
Break in slope: x	Channel bar:			erosior (e.g., (smootl	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, ning, etc.)
on the bank:	sneiving (bern	ns) on be	Ir.	Secondar	v channels:
undercut bank:	unvegetated:		Se	diment indic	cators
valley bottom:	vegetation trai (go to veg. ind sediment tran	nsition licators)		Soil deve	elopment:
Other:	(go to sed. ind	licators)		Changes	in character of soil:
Shelving:	upper limit of o on bar:	depositio	n	Muderac	ks.
shelf at top of bank:	Instream bedform bedload transport	s and ot evidence	her :e:	Changes	in particle-sized
natural levee:	deposition bec	dload ind ted clast	icators	X transi	tion from boulder to sediment
man-made berms or levees:	gravel sheets,	etc.)	•,	upper	limit of sand-sized particles
other	bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e	., pools, etc.):		silt de	
Vegetation Indicators		,		Sin de	-p03n3.
Change in vegetation type and/or density:	forbs to:		>	Expose intact se	d roots below _b oil layer:
Check the appropriate boxes and select	graminoids to) <i>:</i>	An	cillary indica	ators
the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs)	woody			Wracking	g/presence of
the vegetation transition looking from	n shrubs to:			organic l	itter:
the middle of the channel, up the	deciduous			Presence	e of large wood:
banks, and into the floodplain.	coniferous			Leaf litte washed a	r disturbed or away:
vegetation absent to:	trees to:	d down	-	Water sta	aining:
moss to:	and/or bent:	a aowii	b	Weather	ed clasts or bedrock:
The OHWM indicators become w	eaker and the dry stre	am ab	ruptly ends after	about 89	0 feet.

rojecti D#. Hohoaphiani	
Step 4 Is additional information needed to support this determination? Yes \times No If yes, describe and attach information to datashe	et:
Transect 1 was placed where break in bank and sorting of sediment were the strongest indicators of the	
OHWM level.	
Additional observations or notes The stream flows under the existing Highway alignment via a black plastic pipe and into a ditch before it	
enters the ocean.	
Attach a photo log of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.	
Photo log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:	
List photographs and include descriptions in the table below.	
Number photographs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of leatures.	
Photo Number	
79-81 See attached photos and descriptions.	



Photo 78. Mapua Stream – Shallow and Dry Stream Channel in September 2023; Break in Bank and Sediment Sorting from Boulders and Rocks to Sediment on Bank were Strong Indicators of OHWM in this System



Photo 79. Mapua Stream, Transect 1 Location; Somewhat Undercut Bank and Sorting of Sediment from Boulders to Finer Sediment



Photo 80. Culverts, Water Pumps, and Water Meter Suggest Mopua Stream Runs Undergound



Photo 81. Portion of Mapua Stream Channel before it Abruptly Ends in the Study Area

				Form Approved -
	RDINARY HIGH WATE	R MARK (OHWM) FIELD	OMB No. 0710-0025
IDEN The proponent ag	ency is Headquarters USACE	CECW-CO-R.		Expires: 01-31-2025
	AGENCY DISCL	OSURE NOTICE		1
The public reporting burden for this collection reviewing instructions, searching existing data nformation. Send comments regarding the bu Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-info</u> aw, no person shall be subject to any penalty number.	of information, 0710-OHWM, a sources, gathering and main rden estimate or burden reduc ormation-collections@mail.mil. for failing to comply with a co	s estimated to averag aining the data neede tion suggestions to th Respondents should lection of information	e 30 minutes per res d, and completing an e Department of Defe be aware that notwith f it does not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarter istanding any other provision o a currently valid OMB control
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Highway	Site Name: Olowalu Stream	l	Date and Ti	me: July 18, 2023
ocation (lat/long): 20.81360N, 156.62095	W	Investigator(s): Shah	in Ansari and Sadi	e Trush
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online	resources	Describe lan	d use and flow cond	ditions from online resources
Check boxes for online resources u gage data LiDAR	sed to evaluate site: geologic maps	Were there an Olowalu is a	ny recent extreme eve perennial stream t	ents (floods or drought)? that bisects the Olowalu
climatic data \times satellite imagery	land use maps	Peninsula. It normal low	runs through most flows in the stream	tly undeveloped There were at the time of the survey.
\times aerial photos \times topographic maps	Other:	No recent ex	treme drought or f	lood was recorded.
vegetation and sediment type, size, d channel form, such as bridges, riprap This general area had recently bui and soil erosion and shifting of de step 3 Check the boxes pext to the indicat	ensity, and distribution. Make landslides, rockfalls etc. med and in many plac bris caused by fire.	note of natural or man es identification	made disturbances t	hat would affect flow and s confounded by wind
the drop-down menu next to ea just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall ration	ach indicator, select the appro	te any additional obse	rvations, and to attac	h a photo log.
Geomorphic indicators				
Break in slope: a	Channel bar:	s) on har	erosioi (e.g., smooti	nal bedioad indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.)
on the bank:	Sherving (Berri			
	unvegetated		Secondar	y channels:
undercut bank:	unvegetated: t	nsition 1.	Secondar Sediment indi	y channels: cators
undercut bank: valley bottom:	unvegetated: t vegetation trai (go to veg. ind sediment trans	nsition icators) b ition	Secondar Sediment indio Soil devo	y channels: cators elopment:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other:	unvegetated: t vegetation tran (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c	osition icators) b ition icators) leposition	Secondar Sediment indio Soil devo Changes	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: X Shelving:	unvegetated: t vegetation tran (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar:	asition icators) b ition icators) leposition	Secondar Sediment india Soil devo Changes Mudcrac	ry channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a	unvegetated: t vegetation trai (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport	asition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence:	Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes Mudcrac Changes distribut	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: X Shelving: X shelf at top of bank: a natural levee:	unvegetated: t vegetation trai (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedform bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat	nsition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence: lload indicators ed clasts,	Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes Mudcrac Changes distribut	ry channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: sks: s in particle-sized ion: ition from to
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees:	unvegetated: te vegetation tran (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: bedload transport deposition bed (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets,	esition icators) bition icators) leposition s and other evidence: lload indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools	Secondar Sediment india Soil devo Changes Mudcrac Changes distributi <i>trans</i> uppe	ry channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: X Shelving: X shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms:	unvegetated: t vegetation tran (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g.	nsition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence: lload indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, tc.): b	Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes distribut transi upper silt de	ry channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: s in character of soil: s in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //getation Indicators	unvegetated: t vegetation tran (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedform: bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g.	esition bicators) bition icators) leposition s and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, b tc.):	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi transa upper silt de	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: cks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: /egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density:	unvegetated: te vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e	esition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, b tc.):	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi transa upper silt de Expose intact s	ry channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: sks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below b
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Yegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g.)	unvegetated: te vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e	sition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence: lload indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, b	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes Mudcrac Changes distributi transa upper silt de X Expose intact s Ancillary indic	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: cks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Yegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe	unvegetated: t vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e forbs to: graminoids to woody	esition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, b tc.): b	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi transu upper silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indic	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: s in character of soil: s in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below b oil layer: ators g/presence of g/presence of a
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: /egetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from	unvegetated: te vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e forbs to: graminoids to woody shrubs to:	sition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence: lload indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, b tc.): b	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi transu upper silt de X Ancillary indic Wracking organic	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: s in character of soil: s in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below b oil layer: ators g/presence of a litter:a o of large wood: s
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: X Shelving: X shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Yegetation Indicators X Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the	unvegetated: te vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of c on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport deposition bec (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e forbs to: graminoids to woody n deciduous trees to:	sition icators) b icition icators) leposition s and other evidence: lload indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, b tc.): b	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi transa upper silt de X Expose intact s Ancillary indic X Presence	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: s in character of soil: s in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below b oil layer: ators g/presence of a litter: e of large wood: a
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Yegetation Indicators Yegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describes the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.	unvegetated: te vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of co on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport deposition beco (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e forbs to: graminoids to woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous	esition icators) b ition icators) leposition s and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) , pools, b tc.): b	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes Mudcrac Changes distribut transu upper silt de X Ancillary indic X Presence Leaf litte washed	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below b oil layer: b ators g/presence of a e of large wood: a or disturbed or b away:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: X Shelving: X Shelf at top of bank: a natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: //egetation Indicators X Change in vegetation type and/or density: X Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.	unvegetated: te vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of con balload transport deposition beco (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. iffles, steps, e forbs to: graminoids to woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to: Vegetation matte	a down	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi transu upper silt de X Ancillary indic X Presence X Leaf litte washed Water st	y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: s in character of soil: s in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below b oil layer: ators g/presence of a litter: a e of large wood: a or disturbed or b away: aining:
undercut bank: valley bottom: Other:	unvegetated: te vegetation trans (go to veg. ind sediment trans (go to sed. ind upper limit of co on bar: Market State instream bedforms bedload transport deposition beco (e.g., imbricat gravel sheets, bedforms (e.g. riffles, steps, e forbs to: graminoids to woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to: Vegetation matte and/or bent:	d down b	Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes Mudcrac Changes distribut transu upper silt de X Ancillary indic X Presence X Leaf litte washed Water st	y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from to r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below b oil layer: ators g/presence of a e of large wood: a or disturbed or b away: aining: ed clasts or bedrock:

Project ID #: Ho	onoapiilani Highway
Step 4 Is additio	nal information needed to support this determination? Yes 🔀 No If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:
Step 5 Describe	rationale for location of OHWM
I ransects I with expose	representative of the upper reach of the stream in the study area and placed where undercut banks d roots and wracking and shelving of debris caused by water were more evident as OHWM level
indicator. Tr	ransects 2 representative of the middle reach of the stream in the study area and placed where the
stream takes	s a sharp turn and where exposed roots were a stronger indicator of OHWM level compared to
erosion caus	sed by wind and soil. Transects 3 representative of the lower reach of the stream in the study area
and placed r	the bridge helped identify the OHWM in this area
	the onlige helped identity the off with in this area.
Additional observe	ervations or notes
dominated t	he banks in the lower reach of the stream.
Attach a photo lo	og of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.
Photo	log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:
List photograp	hs and include descriptions in the table below.
Number	Photograph description
82-87	See attached photos and decriptions.



Photo 82. Location of Transect 1; Representative of the Portion of the Stream with Runs Overlapping the Innermost Build Alternative 4 (Burned Trees from the Fires in June-July 2023 Visible on the North (Left) Bank



Photo 83. Indicator of Accumulation of Large Debris at the OHWM Level in the Up Stream Section of the Study Area



Photo 84. Location of Transect 2 Representative of the Middle Reach of the Stream in the Study Area at Bend in Stream; Blue Arrow Indicates the Bend in Stream



Photo 85. OHWM Level in the Middle Reach of the Stream in Study Area Below the Soil Erosion Seen on Top of the Bank



Photo 86. Location of Transect 3 At the Olowalu Stream Bridge; Sediment Staining on the Concrete Bridge Contributed to Determining the OHWM Level in this Area



Photo 87. Undercut Banks with Exposed Roots Was Key in Separating Impacts from Confounding Soil and Wind Erosion Caused Due to Recent Fire

0.0.7	rps of Engineers (USACE	Ξ)	Form Approved -
INTERIM DRAFT RAPID ORDIN	ARY HIGH WATER MA	RK (OHWM) FIELD	OMB No. 0710-0025
IDENTIFIC The proponent agency	SATION DATA SHEET is Headquarters USACE CECV	V-CO-R.	Expires: 01-31-2025
		E NOTICE	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information instructions, searching existing data sour information. Send comments regarding the burden Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-informati</u> law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for far number.	ormation, 0710-OHWM, is estim ces, gathering and maintaining estimate or burden reduction su ion-collections@mail.mil. Respo- illing to comply with a collection	nated to average 30 minutes per res the data needed, and completing an uggestions to the Department of Defe ondents should be aware that notwith of information if it does not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarters istanding any other provision of a currently valid OMB control
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Highway Site N	Vame: Lihau Stream	Date and T	me: September 22, 2023
Location (lat/long): 20.82433N, 156.62118W	Invest	tigator(s): Shahin Ansari and Sadi	e Trush
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online resou Check boxes for online resources used t	rces o evaluate site:	Describe land use and flow cond Were there any recent extreme evo	ditions from online resources. ents (floods or drought)?
gage data LiDAR	geologic maps	Lihau is an intermittent stream.	The stream flows through
climatic data $~~ imes~$ satellite imagery	land use maps	in March 2023 and the stream b	ed was dry in September
X aerial photos topographic maps	Other:	2023. No recent extreme floods	or droughts occurred.
Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. First vegetation and sediment type, size, density channel form, such as bridges, riprap, lands There is a farm at the eastern end of the the stream.	t look for changes in channel sl , and distribution. Make note of slides, rockfalls etc. he study area and irriga	hape, depositional and erosional fea f natural or man-made disturbances t ntion pipes from the farm w	tures, and changes in hat would affect flow and rere seen leading into
OHWM is at a transition point, therefore s the drop-down menu next to each in just above `a' the OHWM. Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale fo Geomorphic indicators	ome indicators that are used to dicator, select the appropriate I or location of OHWM, write any	e determine location may be just belo location of the indicator by selecting of additional observations, and to attac	w and above the OHWM. From either just below `b', at `x', or h a photo log.
Break in slope:	Channel bar:	erosio (e.g.,	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, bing, etc.)
X on the bank: \mathbf{x}	shelving (berms) on b	bar: smoot Secondai	ning, etc.) v channels:
undercut bank:	unvegetated:	Sediment indi	cators
valley bottom:	(go to veg. indicators) sediment transition	Soil dev	
Other:	(go to sed. indicators		elopment:
	unner limit of denosit	Changes	elopment: s in character of soil:
Shelving:	upper limit of deposit on bar:	ion Changes	elopment: s in character of soil: ks:
Shelving: shelf at top of bank:	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and o bedload transport evider	ion Changes tion Mudcrac other Changes nce: distribut	elopment: s in character of soil: ks: s in particle-sized
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee:	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and o bedload transport evider deposition bedload in (e.g., imbricated clas	other nce: sts, changes Mudcrac Mudcrac Mudcrac Changes distribut X trans	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: t in particle-sized ion: ition from rocks to sediment
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees:	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evider deposition bedload in (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools	Changes ion Mudcrac other nce: dicators sts, uppe	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: s in particle-sized ion: ition from <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> r limit of sand-sized particles
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms:	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir. (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.):	Changes ion Mudcrac other Changes nce: Changes ndicators distribut sts, trans s, uppe silt de	elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: ition from rocks to sediment r limit of sand-sized particles eposits:
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.):	Openation Changes other Mudcrad nce: Changes ndicators distribut sts, trans s, uppe silt de	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: s in particle-sized ion: ition from <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> r limit of sand-sized particles eposits:
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density:	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and of bedload transport evider deposition bedload in (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.):	Changes ion Mudcrac other Mudcrac nce: Changes idicators distribut sts, trans s, uppe	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: in particle-sized ion: ition from <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> <i>r limit of sand-sized particles</i> eposits: d roots below oil layer:
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (a c	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and (bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir, (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: graminoids to:	Image: Symplement Changes other Mudcrad nce: Changes ndicators distribut sts, Images s, uppe silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indic	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: in particle-sized ion: ition from <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> ition from <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> ition from <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> ition from <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> on sediment in the sediment in the sediment is the sediment
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and (bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir. (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: graminoids to: woody shrubs to:	ion Changes other Mudcrac nce: Changes ndicators distribut sts, trans s, uppe silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic	elopment: s in character of soil: s in particle-sized ion: ition from rocks to sediment r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter:
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel up the	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and (bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir, (e.g., imbricated clas gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: graminoids to: woody shrubs to: deciduous	Image: Symplement of the rest of the res of the res of the rest of the rest of the rest of the	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: in particle-sized ion: ition from rocks to sediment r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood:
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and (bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir, (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: graminoids to: woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous	Changes ion Changes Mudcrac Changes distribut trans sts, sts, st, sts, strans uppe silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic Presenc Leaf litte usoched	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: in particle-sized ion: <i>ition from</i> <u>rocks</u> to <u>sediment</u> <i>ition from rocks</i> to <u>sediment</u> <i>ition from rocks to <u>sediment</u> <i>ition </i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i>
Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. Vegetation absent to: graminoids	upper limit of deposit on bar: Instream bedforms and (bedload transport evider deposition bedload ir, (e.g., imbricated class gravel sheets, etc.) bedforms (e.g., pools riffles, steps, etc.): forbs to: graminoids to: woody shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to: Vegetation matted down and/or bent:	n n b Changes Mudcrac Mudcrac Changes distribut X trans uppe silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic Presenc Leaf litte washed Water st	elopment: s in character of soil: sks: in particle-sized ion: ition from rocks to sediment ition from rocks to sediment of rocks below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: er disturbed or away: aining: end clasts or bodrock:

Because of moist soil bed in the lower reach of the stream, presence of live green vegetation in the stream was helpful in defining the stream channel and the OHWM level here.

Project ID #: Ho	noapiilani Highway
Step 4 Is additio	nal information needed to support this determination? Yes $ imes$ No $ ext{ If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:}$
Step 5 Describe	rationale for location of OHWM
below the O	HWM level indicated the OHWM level, here. Transect 2 was placed in the lower reach of the
stream. The	bed was moist and the presence of green/live vegetation to dead grass on the bank helped identify
the OHWM	level in addition to the break in slope.
Additional obs	nuctions or notes
The bed and	banks were heavily vegetated with species such as haole koa (Leuceana leucocephala) and castor
bean (Ricin	us communis) shrubs and buffel grass (Cenchrus ciliaris) dominated the banks of he stream. Lihau
Stream flow	s into the Pacific Ocean in the western most part of the study area.
Attach a photo lo	og of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.
Photo	log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:
List photograp	hs and include descriptions in the table below.
Number photo	graphs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of features.
Photo Number	Photograph description
88-91	See attached photos and descriptions.



Photo 88. Location of Transect 1; Representative of the Uppermost Reach of the Stream in the Study Area (Break in Slope and Washed of Debris Were Used as Indicators of OHWM)



Photo 89. Break in Bank as OHWM Indicator in the Upper Reaches of LIhau Stream in Study Area



Photo 90. Location of Transect 2 at the Lower/Western Reach of Llhau Stream in the Study Area; Heavily Vegetated Moist Bed Compared to the Upper Drier Banks and Break in Bank Slope Used as OHWM Indicators



Photo 91. Lihau Stream Entering the Pacific Ocean in the Western Most Portion of the Study Area

U.S. Arm	ny Corps of Engineers (U	ISACE)		Form Approved -
	RDINARY HIGH WATE		FIELD	OMB No. 0710-0025
IDEN The proponent a	gency is Headquarters USACE	ECECW-CO-R.		Expires: 01-31-2025
		OSURE NOTICE		
The public reporting burden for this collectior reviewing instructions, searching existing dat information. Send comments regarding the b Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-in</u> law, no person shall be subject to any penalt number.	of information, 0710-OHWM, a sources, gathering and main urden estimate or burden redu- formation-collections@mail.mil y for failing to comply with a co	is estimated to average taining the data needed, ction suggestions to the . Respondents should be illection of information if	30 minutes per res and completing an Department of Defe aware that notwith t does not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarters istanding any other provision of a currently valid OMB control
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Highway	Site Name: Awalua Stream		Date and T	me: September 26, 2023
Location (lat/long): 20.82910N, 156.63419	W	Investigator(s): Shahir	Ansari and Sadi	e Trush
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online Check boxes for online resources gage data LiDAR climatic data X satellite imagery	resources used to evaluate site: geologic maps land use maps	Describe land Were there any Awalua Strea undeveloped the time of th	use and flow cond recent extreme even is an intermitte puffel grass grass e survey. No rece	ditions from online resources, ents (floods or drought)? ent stream that runs through land. The stream was dry at nt extreme flood or drought
X aerial photos topographic map	s Other:	events occurr	ed.	
vegetation and sediment type, size, channel form, such as bridges, ripraj There is an inner road that runs paral east of the road there is also a spillwa Step 3 Check the boxes next to the indica OHWM is at a transition point, there the drop-down menu next to a just above `a' the OHWM.	density, and distribution. Make b, landslides, rockfalls etc. lel to the main Honapiilar by that allows for flows to tors used to identify the loca efore some indicators that are to each indicator, select the appro-	note of natural or man- ni Highway that has spill in the north-sc ntion of the OHWM. used to determine location opriate location of the ind	ade disturbances t a culvert for the uth direction be on may be just belo icator by selecting o	hat would affect flow and Awalua stream flow. Just fore entering this culvert. w and above the OHWM. From either just below `b', at `x', or
Go to page 2 to describe overall ratio	onale for location of OHWM, wr	rite any additional observ	ations, and to attac —	h a photo log.
	Channel here		erosio	nal bedload indicators
X Break in slope: a	shelving (bern	ns) on bar:	(e.g., smoot	obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.)
	unvegetated:	,	Seconda	y channels:
Cundercut bank: b	vegetation tra	nsition	Sediment indi	cators
X valley bottom: x	(go to veg. ind sediment tran	licators) sition	Soil dev	elopment:
	(go to sed. ind upper limit of (licators) deposition	Changes	s in character of soil:
Sheiving:	on bar:	, and other	Mudcrac	ks:
shelf at top of bank:	bedload transport	evidence:	Changes	in particle-sized
natural levee:	deposition bec (e.g. imbrica:	dload indicators ted clasts	trans	ition from to
man-made berms or levees:	gravel sheets,	etc.)		
other berms:	riffles, steps, e	., pools, etc.):	uppe silt de	r limit of sand-sized particles
other berms: Vegetation Indicators	beatorms (e.g riffles, steps, e	., pools, etc.):	silt de	r limit of sand-sized particles
other berms:	forbs to:	., pools, etc.):	<i>uppe</i> silt de Expose intact s	r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer:
other berms:	forbs to:	., pools, etc.): D:absent	Expose Ancillary indic	r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators
other berms:	forbs to: t graminoids to e woody	, pools, etc.): D:absent	<pre>uppe silt de silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic</pre>	r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter:
other berms:	forbs to: ct	:, pools, etc.): D:absent	 <i>uppe</i> <i>silt de</i> Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic Presenc 	r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood:
other berms:	forbs to: t t t t t t t t t t	., pools, etc.): D:absent	- Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic Presenc Leaf litte	r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: er disturbed or
other berms:	forbs to: t X graminoids to: m deciduous trees to: trees to: Voortation protection	, pools, etc.): D:absent	 <i>appe</i> <i>silt de</i> Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic Presenc Leaf litte washed Water st 	r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: er disturbed or away: aining:
other berms:	forbs to: t X graminoids to graminoids to: m shrubs to: deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to: Vegetation matte and/or bent:	etc.): p:absent d down	<i>uppe</i> silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indic Wrackin organic Presenc Leaf litte washed Water st	r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: er disturbed or away: aining: ed clasts or bedrock:

Project ID #: Ho	noapiilani Highway
Step 4 Is additio	nal information needed to support this determination? Yes $ imes$ No $ ext{ If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:}$
Step 5 Describe	rationale for location of OHWM
with the cha	nge in vegetation indicated the OHWM level here. The second transect was placed upstream from
here where	indercut banks, change in vegetation, and deposition of woody debris helped determine the
OHWM lev	el here.
Dead buffel	grass dominated the bed and banks of Awalua Stream. This stream flows under the Honoapiilani
Highway th	rough concrete culvert before entering the Pacific Ocean.
Attach a photo lo	g of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.
Photo	log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:
List photograp	hs and include descriptions in the table below.
Number photo	graphs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of features.
Photo Number	Photograph description
92-95	See attached photos and derscriptions.



Photo 92. Awalua Stream - East View



Photo 93. Awalua Stream Location of Transect 1 at Spillway; Spillway in Awalua Stream Allowing for High Flows to Spill Over in the North-South Direction Before Entering the Culvert and Ocean (this Structure Guided the Placement of OHWM Below the Eroded Bank Break Seen Above in Red Line)



Photo 94. Awalua Stream Location of Transect 2 in the Upper/Eastern Reach of Stream in Study Area; the Dry Vegetation in the Bed was Indicative of Moisture Levels that Supported Plant Growth in the Bed and Helped Distinguish Between Erosional Features and OHWM Level



Photo 95. Awalua Stream Undercut Bank; Vegetation Line Together with Undercut Bank Allowed for Identifying OHWM Level Here

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	orps of Engineers (Ut	SACE)		Form Approved -
	NARY HIGH WATER		FIELD	OMB No. 0710-0025
The proponent agency	y is Headquarters USACE	CECW-CO-R.		Expires: 01-31-2025
The public reporting burden for this collection of ir reviewing instructions, searching existing data sou information. Send comments regarding the burden Services, at <u>whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-informa</u> law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for number.	AGENCY DISCLO Iformation, 0710-OHWM, is Inces, gathering and mainta the stimate or burden reduct ation-collections@mail.mil. failing to comply with a coll	DSURE NOTICE s estimated to average aining the data needed tion suggestions to the Respondents should b ection of information if	30 minutes per res , and completing an Department of Defe e aware that notwith it does not display a	ponse, including the time for d reviewing the collection of ense, Washington Headquarters istanding any other provision of a currently valid OMB control
Project ID #: Honoapiilani Highway Site	Name: Ka Puali Stream		Date and Ti	me: March 25, 2023
Location (lat/long): 20.83233N, 156.63898W		Investigator(s): Shahin	n Ansari and Terro	ell Erickson
Step 1 Site overview from remote and online reso Check boxes for online resources used gage data LiDAR climatic data X satellite imagery X aerial photos topographic maps Step 2 Site conditions during field assessment. Fill vegetation and sediment type, size, densil channel form, such as bridges, riprap, lan It was dry with no stream flow. The OHWM features	urces to evaluate site: geologic maps land use maps Other: rst look for changes in char ty, and distribution. Make r dslides, rockfalls etc. bed and banks were	Describe land Were there any Ka Puali is an Mountains, the Pacific Ocean extreme flood	use and flow cond recent extreme eve ephemeral stream rough undeveloped No stream flow w or drought events al and erosional feat nade disturbances t d making it ch	Itions from online resources. ents (floods or drought)? that flows from the West Mau I grassland, and into the vas seen at time of survey. No occurred before survey. tures, and changes in hat would affect flow and allenging to identify
Step 3 Check the boxes next to the indicators OHWM is at a transition point, therefore the drop-down menu next to each just above `a' the OHWM.	used to identify the locat some indicators that are us indicator, select the approp for location of OHWM, writ	ion of the OHWM. sed to determine location priate location of the income te any additional observe	on may be just below licator by selecting e vations, and to attac	w and above the OHWM. From either just below `b', at `x', or h a photo log.
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale	,	,		
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators		, 	_	
Geomorphic indicators Break in slope:	Channel bar:	s) on har	erosioi (e.g., smootl	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing. etc.)
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators X Break in slope: X on the bank: b	Channel bar: shelving (berms	s) on bar:	erosion (e.g., smoot/ Secondar	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels:
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators We have a straight of the straight of	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran	s) on bar:	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment indic	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators Break in slope: ✓ on the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom:	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran: (go to veg. india	s) on bar: sition cators)	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment:
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Streak in slope: indicators	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran. (go to veg. india sediment transi (go to sed. india	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators)	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil:
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Shelving:	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation trans (go to veg. india sediment transi (go to sed. india upper limit of da on bar:	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes Mudcrac	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks:
Geomorphic indicators Geomor	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation trans (go to veg. india sediment transi (go to sed. india upper limit of do on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence:	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment indio Soil deve Changes Mudcrac	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) ry channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized b
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators G	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran. (go to veg. india sediment transi (go to sed. india upper limit of da on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes Mudcrac Changes distributi	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized jon:
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators Break in slope: on the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees:	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran. (go to veg. indit sediment transi (go to sed. indit upper limit of de on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, of	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.)	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi X transu	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: tition from rocks to sedimen
Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Break in slope:	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran. (go to veg. india sediment transa (go to sed. india upper limit of da on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, of bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, c,):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes Mudcrac Changes distributi X transp upper	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: tion from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators Break in slope: on the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms:	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran. (go to veg. india sediment transs (go to sed. india upper limit of de on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, of bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, c.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes distributi X transi upper silt de	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized b ion: ition from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles eposits:
Go to page 2 to describe overall rationale Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Go the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density:	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation trans (go to veg. india sediment trans (go to sed. india upper limit of do on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, e bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, c.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes Mudcrac Changes distributi X transi upper silt de Expose intact s	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: tiion from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer:
Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators onumber of bank: onumber of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select	Channel bar: shelving (berm: unvegetated: vegetation tran. (go to veg. indii sediment transi (go to sed. indii upper limit of di on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, of bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, c.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi X transu upper silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indica	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized b ion: ition from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators
Geomorphic indicators	Channel bar: shelving (berm. unvegetated: vegetation tran. (go to veg. india sediment transa (go to sed. india upper limit of da on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, of bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et forbs to: graminoids to: woody	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, ic.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes distributi X transi upper silt de Expose intact se Ancillary indica	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: b ition from rocks to sedimen b ition from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of
Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Con the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the weight of the other	Channel bar: shelving (berm. unvegetated: vegetation trans (go to veg. india sediment transa (go to sed. india upper limit of da on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, of bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et forbs to: graminoids to: woody shrubs to: gram deciduous	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, ic.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes distributi X transi upper silt de Expose intact s Ancillary indica	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized b ition from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood:
Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators on the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain.	Channel bar: shelving (berm. unvegetated: vegetation trans. (go to veg. india sediment trans. (go to sed. india upper limit of de on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, e bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et forbs to: graminoids to: × woody shrubs to: gravel deciduous trees to:	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, ic.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi X transi upper silt de Expose intact se Ancillary indica Vracking organic l Presence	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: b ition from rocks to sedimen b ition from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: or disturbed or
Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators on the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators Change in vegetation type and/or density: Check the appropriate boxes and select the general vegetation change (e.g., graminoids to woody shrubs). Describe the vegetation transition looking from the middle of the channel, up the banks, and into the floodplain. vegetation	Channel bar: shelving (berm. unvegetated: vegetation trans (go to veg. india sediment transa (go to sed. india upper limit of da on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedi (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, of bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et forbs to: graminoids to: woody shrubs to: graminoids to: coniferous trees to: coniferous trees to:	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, c.):	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deva Changes distributi X transi upper silt de Expose intact si Ancillary indica Wracking organic i Presence X Leaf litte	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: s in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized b ition from rocks to sedimen r limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: r disturbed or away: x
Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators Geomorphic indicators on the bank: b undercut bank: valley bottom: Other: Other: Shelving: Shelf at top of bank: natural levee: man-made berms or levees: other berms: Vegetation Indicators Vegetation Indicators	Channel bar: shelving (berm. unvegetated: vegetation trans. (go to veg. india sediment trans. (go to sed. india upper limit of de on bar: Instream bedforms bedload transport of deposition bedl (e.g., imbricate gravel sheets, e bedforms (e.g., riffles, steps, et forbs to: graminoids to: × woody shrubs to: gravel deciduous trees to: coniferous trees to: Vegetation matted	s) on bar: sition cators) ition cators) eposition and other evidence: load indicators ed clasts, etc.) pools, ic.): minoids	erosion (e.g., smooth Secondar Sediment india Soil deve Changes distributi X transi upper silt de Expose intact se Ancillary indica Wracking organic l Presence X Leaf litte washed a Water sta	nal bedload indicators obstacle marks, scour, hing, etc.) y channels: cators elopment: a in character of soil: ks: in particle-sized ion: tition from rocks to sediment tr limit of sand-sized particles eposits: d roots below oil layer: ators g/presence of litter: e of large wood: rr disturbed or away: aining:

Step 4 Is additional information needed to support this determination?

Х	No	If yes, describe and attach information to datasheet:
---	----	---

Step 5 Describe rationale for location of OHWM

Transect 1 was placed at the lower or western end of the stream right before the concrete culvert. Embedded rocks on lower bank and presence of shrubs and trees here helped estimate the OHWM level in this heavily vegetated stream. Transect 2 was placed upstream where some matted down vegetation, break in slope, change in vegetation together helped identify the OHWM level.

Yes

Additional observations or notes

Kiawe (Prosopis pallida) and haole koa (Leuceana leucocephala) shrubs dominated the bed, while the banks were most composed of dense cover of buffel grass.

Attach a photo log of the site. Use the table below, or attach separately.

Photo log attached? X Yes No If no, explain why not:

List photographs and include descriptions in the table below.

Number photographs in the order that they are taken. Attach photographs and include annotations of features.

Photo Number	Photograph description	
96-99	See attached photos and descriptions.	



Photo 96. Ka Puali Stream – West View with Concrete Culvert; Location of Transect 1 (Heavily Vegetated Bed with Shrubs and Bank with Grasses)



Photo 97. Ka Puali Stream - Eas View; Heavily Vegetated Bed with Shrubs and Bank with Grasses



Photo 98. Ka Puali Stream Bed; Location of Transect 2 (Change in Vegetation from a Combination of Shrubs, Trees, and Grasses to Only Grasses on the Bank and Presence of Rocks and Boulders Helped Determine the OHWM for this Stream)



Photo 99. Ka Puali Stream Culvert



Memorandum

Project# 4692-02

August 13, 2024

To: Genevieve Sullivan, Project Manager, Hawaii Department of Transportation

From: Shahin Ansari, Senior Ecologist, H. T. Harvey & Associates

CC: Kelly Hardwicke, Principal in Charge, H. T. Harvey & Associates; James Sullivan, Associate Environmental Planner, WSP USA

Subject: Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project – Field Investigations About Surface Connections of Potentially Non-Jurisdictional and Jurisdictional Wetlands to Waters of the U. S.

The Federal Highway Administration, in cooperation with the State of Hawaii Department of Transportation (HDOT), is planning the Honoapiilani Highway Improvements Project (the Project). The proposed Project comprises a 6-mile-long alignment in West Maui, in the areas served by the existing Honoapiilani Highway between milepost 11 and milepost 17 (Figure 1). H. T. Harvey & Associates conducted a wetland delineation for this Project during 2023, the findings of which were detailed in a technical report submitted to the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Honolulu District in December 2023. Upon review of this report, the USACE, in an email (POH-2022-00114) to the HDOT expressed that the Project's proposed potentially non-jurisdictional wetlands might be connected to the Pacific Ocean (ocean) via an underground culvert and requested further evidence clarifying surface connections of these wetlands to the ocean under the Clean Water Act conforming rule of September 2023. On May 2, 2024, members of the Project team [Ms. Genevieve Sullivan (HDOT Project Manager), Mr. Kevin Kasamoto (HDOT Hydraulics Design Engineer), Mr. Gerald Andrade (WSP USA, Project Engineer), Mr. Mathew Small (Project Engineer, WSP USA), and Dr. Shahin Ansari (Senior Ecologist, H. T. Harvey & Associates)] visited the Project site to investigate potential surface connection of the proposed jurisdictional and the potentially non-jurisdictional wetlands to the ocean. This memo details the findings of this field investigation.

Figure 1: Project Vicinity



The Project's proposed jurisdictional and potentially non-jurisdictional wetlands are illustrated in Figure 2. Field investigations on May 2, 2024, focused on nine different locations within five separate areas (Locations 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 3, 4a, 4b, 5a, and 5b) to determine if the Project wetlands (W1 through W11*) illustrated in this figure have "continuous surface connections" to the ocean under the conforming rule. It is our understanding that an active, working culvert can constitute a continuous surface connection, but that subsurface flows and seeps cannot. Detailed below are the findings from each of these nine locations and our assessment of the surface connectivity among these delineated wetlands and to the ocean. The location numbers, depicted in green, in Figures 3 and 4 correspond to the numbered locations in the discussion below.

Figure 2: Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional Wetlands, Potentially Non-Jurisdictional Wetlands, and Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Papalaua and Ukumehame Portions of the Wetlands Delineation Study Area



Ecological Consultants

Wetlands, and Potentially Non-Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Palalaua and Ukumehame Portions of the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Figure 3: Aerial View of All Locations



H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES Ecological Consultants

Figure 3. Aerial View of All Locations Investigated on May 2, 2024 Honoapillani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Field Investigations About Surface Connections of Potentially Non-Jurisdictional and Potentially Jurisdictional Wetlands to Waters of the U.S. May 2, 2024

Figure 4: Aerial View of Locations 1a, 1b, 2a, and 2b with Key Takeaways



Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Field Investigations About Surface Connections of Potentially Non-Jurisdictional and Potentially Jurisdictional Wetlands to Waters of the U.S. May 2, 2024

Location 1a

Location 1a is on the west side (ocean side) of the existing Honoapiilani Highway (Figure 3). Aerial imagery indicated the presence of a potential culvert at this location. In particular, the "C" shaped arrangement of rocks on the beach pointed to the exact location of a potential culvert (Figure 4). A culvert at this location could connect W10 to the ocean via the potential connection with Location 1b and a potential culvert between Locations 2a and 2b discussed below (Figure 2, Figure 4). At first, no culvert was obvious at this location in the field. However, digging in the sand to a depth of about three feet revealed the crowns of the end of two buried culverts. Based on the exposed top portion of these buried culverts, they are estimated to be 24 inches in diameter. No headwall to support the ends of the culverts was observed. Over time, wave action had caused these culverts to be completely buried and filled with sand. No historic aerials available for this location showed

a clear and open culvert connection dating back to 2009 (Google Earth 2024¹). There was no direct evidence observed of seep through the accumulated sand and silt in this blocked culvert from inland towards the ocean (also see notes on Location 1b). Although the culvert is entirely blocked by sand, the presence of water in a freshly dug pit on the beach indicated that, at least during high tides, there is some small amount of subsurface movement of water from the ocean towards the buried culvert and the land (Figure 5). However, this amount of flow is insufficient to conclude a functioning continuous surface connection via the culvert. While subsurface seep from inland rain and stormwater is not impossible, it is highly improbable that any consequential flow would traverse the roughly 60 feet through the existing sand block from Location 1b to Location 1a, which was buried approximately three feet deep during the May 2024 investigation, particularly given the regular wave action. Observations at Location 1a evidenced an insufficient flow to constitute a continuous surface connection to the Pacific Ocean.

Figure 5: Photos of Location 1a



Photo depicting the sand blocking the culvert at Location 1a.

Photo depicting water ponding on the ocean side of the culvert at the existing Honoapillani Highway.

¹ Google. 2024. Google Maps. http://maps.google.com>. Accessed June 10, 2024.

Location 1b

Location 1b corresponds with the east side (land side) of the same culvert 1 (discussed in 1a) and is located opposite of Location 1a on the land side of the existing Honoapiilani Highway (Figure 4). The presence of a headwall here indicated where exactly to look for the culvert. The culvert opening was not visible as the area downslope was saturated and densely covered with pickleweed (*Batis maritima*), a wetland plant species with an obligate indicator status (Figure 6). A shovel placed downward along the headwall revealed that the depth of the pickleweed vegetation was at least four to five feet indicating that the top of the culvert was below five feet. The wet shovel blade and saturated soils indicate that even if the culvert (the inside of which was not visible) is mostly blocked with sand and/or silt it allows for subsurface seepage of water landward as well as seaward. Historic aerials show no open culvert in this area, and the amount of vegetation cover and silt and sand blocking the culvert indicate that it has not been maintained/cleared of sediment for quite some time. The ground was progressively drier inland from Location 1b toward Location 2a (discussed below), and there was no discrete linear flow pathway (such as a ditch or swale) for water to reach Location 1b. These observations indicate that water could be ponding at Location 1b, but that it is unlikely that the surface water is flowing from Location 2a and 2b for more details.

Figure 6: Photos of Location 1b





Presence of a concrete culvert headwall on the land side of the existing Honoapiilani Highway. This culvert appears to be buried in historic imagery from 2009 and 2019 obtained from Google Maps.

Dense growth of pickleweed (Batis maritima) located within saturated soils in front of the culvert blocked visibility.

Location 2a

Location 2a is on the ocean side of an inland and abandoned road, named Cane Haul Road, running somewhat parallel to the existing Honoapiilani Highway and providing access to the Ukumehame Firing Range (Figures 3 and 4). Location 2a is in line with Locations 1a and 1b. The culvert at this location could connect W10 to the ocean via Location 2b and culvert between Locations 1a and 1b (Figure 2, Figure 4), if all connections were open and functioning. From Location 1b, the pickleweed growth toward Location 2a was less dense but continuous up to the edge of Cane Haul Road. Also, unlike the wider surrounding area, pickleweed growth was mostly limited to the saturated soils between Locations 1b and 2a. Clearing vegetation around the ocean side edge of Cane Haul Road revealed the presence of an arched culvert (Figure 7) under this road. This culvert was sedimented and blocked with silt and vegetation debris with about 8 inches of clearing or opening from the top. A shovel placed in this opening was able to go all the way in, indicating that the culvert was partially open for at least five to six feet. Although, unlike at Location 1b, there was no sign of standing water or saturated soils at Location 2a (Figure 7). There was no evidence of flow from Location 2b and W10 reaching Location

2a and subsequently continuing into Location 1b and 1a. In summary, there was no observed surface connection to the Pacific Ocean from Location 2a via these culvert locations.

Figure 7: Photos of Location 2a



Green shovel depicted in the center of the photograph within the culvert. Ruler on the right indicated an 8 inch measurement

Location 2b

Location 2b corresponds with the land side of the same culvert 2 (discussed in 2a) and is located opposite of location 2a on the land side edge of the Cane Haul Road, in line with locations 2a, 1a, and 1b (Figures 3 and 4). Location 2b neighbors wetland W10 (Figure 2), one of the proposed "potentially non-jurisdictional" wetlands illustrated on Figure 2. There was no sign of a culvert visible at Location 2b. In fact, inland of Location 2b, towards W10, there is about five feet of sediment build-up against the edge of Cane Haul Road (Figure 8). Furthermore, unlike on the ocean side of Cane Haul Road, the vegetation at this location is mostly composed of dense growth of buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) which has a facultative upland indicator status. Digging to a depth of approximately 2-3 feet did not reveal any signs of a culvert indicating that if a culvert is present, it is completely blocked and buried at this location (Figure 8). It appears that during heavy rains the silt and debris flowing in the east to west direction (toward the ocean) across the low-lying wetland W10 have piled up over time along the eastern (land side) edge of Cane Haul Road. Such debris and silt movement has completely blocked and buried any potential culvert opening that may be present and cuts off all surface connectivity between W10 with the ocean. It should be noted that Cane Haul Road, where Locations 2a and 2b are, is not under HDOT's jurisdiction. This road does not appear to be maintained by either the County of Maui or the State's Department of Defense. The County of Maui maintains a separate, paved, and direct road leading from

Honoapiilani Highway to the County's Ukumehame Firing Range. It is unclear when this Cane Haul Road was last serviced or maintained and for how long the culvert below this road has been blocked. Field observations indicated that there is no evidence of continuous surface connection from W10 to the ocean.

Figure 8: Photos of Location 2b



No sign of a culvert was visible on the land side of Cane Haul Road at Location 2b.




Figure 9: Aerial View of Locations 3, 4a, and 4b with Key Takeaways



Location 3

Location 3 was investigated for surface connections between delineated wetlands W3 on the north and W4 south side of Hanaula Gulch to delineated wetlands W5 and W6 located to the east (Figure 2, Figure 9). It should be noted that the field investigation on this day observed a completely silted in culvert from Hanaula Gulch to the ocean (see discussion on Location 4a below). As there were no signs of a culvert at Location 4a, both W3 and W4 are now considered potentially non-jurisdictional wetlands.

Hanaula Gulch varies in depth; it is shallower inland along wetland W3 and is deeper along W4, with the bottom at about 10 feet below grade in some places. As noted in the wetland delineation report, W4 is separated from W6 via a fenced-in dirt road that runs between wetlands W3 and W4 on the north and W6 to the south and demarcates a boundary between the two complexes (Figure 2, Figure 10 -Photo 10a). Observations made during the field visit did not note a discrete flow pathway between W4 and W6, though the uni-directional (southern)

movement of water from W4 to W6 is possible during rare heavy rain and storm events as documented by community members during a heavy rain event in January 2023. Pictures taken by the Ukumehame Firing Range manager during the heavy rains of January 2023, show water breaching the fence and road (in the southern direction) and flowing across the upland area next to W5 (Figure 10- Photos 10b and 10c). Debris build up on the gulch (southern) side of the fence, and water marks from the fence toward W6 indicate that water can overtop the southern bank of the Gulch (towards W4) and flow through the fence, across the dirt road, and into W6 during storm events. An aerial image from January 2023 shows that there probably is a non-wetland surface connection without an ordinary high water mark among all delineated wetlands (W1 through W11) in the north-south direction during heavy rain events (Figure 10).

Figure 10: Photos of Location 3



Photo 10a. Depicting the wetlands on either side of Hanaula Gulch.



Photo 10b. The dirt road along the fenceline adjacent to Hanaula Gulch containing water marks, a hydrologic indicator that water is traveling from the Gulch to W6.



Photo 10c. Water breaching Hanaula Gulch and flowing (south) across uplands towards W6 during a storm event. Photos from January 2023, courtesy of Mike Ecsedy, Repairs & Maintenance Assistant, Ukumehame Firing Range

Location 4a

Location 4a is on the ocean side of the the Honoapiilani Highway (Figures 3 and 11) and was investigated to determine the condition of the opening of the Hanaula Gulch culvert on the ocean side of the Highway. The potential culvert at this location would connect W1, W3, and W4 to the ocean via the Hanaula Gulch (Figure 2). Despite digging at the land side of this ditch (behind the guard rail) to a depth of about three feet, there was no sign of a culvert opening. It appears that the Hanaula Gulch culvert is completely buried and sedimented with sand, silt and debris on the ocean side of the Honoapiilani Highway. Similar to the culvert discussed for Locations 1a and 1b above, no historic aerials show an open culvert in this location. At this location there is a linear depression that stretches from behind the Highway guard rail toward the beach. It is shallower at the two

ends and deeper toward the center. It is heavily vegetated with a mix of weedy species, mostly buffel grass, toward the Highway (land side) end but otherwise surrounded and dominated by pickleweed thickets (a wetland plant species – see Figure 11) that is assumed to be supported via subsurface seepage that could occur during heavy rain events. The soil in the depression was dry with no saturation or signs of recent ponding.

Figure 11: Photos of Location 4a



Photos depicting that there is no sign of a culvert along the ocean side edge of Honoapiilani Highway in line with the Hanaula Gulch and Location 4b.

Location 4b

Location 4b corresponds with 4a; it is located opposite of Location 4a and is the land side opening of the same culvert discussed above in 4a. This location was investigated to confirm if Hanaula Gulch, as stated in the wetland delineation report, has a surface connection to the ocean on the land side of the existing Honoapiilani Highway. The culvert opening on the land side of the Highway appears to be open and somewhat functioning. The mouth of the culvert was not blocked. However, as it was investigated further, silt and sediment blocked the culvert completely towards the ocean side (where Location 4a is situated). The invert of this culvert is about 15 feet below grade of the Highway (Figure 12). With field observations indicating no functioning connection between Location 4a and 4b, there is no evidence of continuous surface connection from Hanaula Gulch to the ocean. Therefore, there is no continuous surface connection between Wetlands W1, W3, and W4 to the ocean, as well as no continuous surface connection between Ditches 1-7 (D1-D-7) to the ocean (Figure 2). These features can all be considered potentially non-jurisdictional in light of the May 2nd field visit.

Figure 12: Photos of Location 4b



Land side of the culvert under the existing Honoapiilani Highway at Hanaula Gulch is open only at the mouth but progressively blocked under the highway and toward the ocean side end.



Figure 13: Aerial View of Locations 5a and 5b with Key Takeaways



Location 5a

Location 5a is on the ocean side of the Honoapiilani Highway (Figures 3 and 13) located directly in-line with Location 5b (discussed below). The field visit confirmed the absence of a culvert at Location 5a (Figure 15). There is no evidence of flow from Ditch 6 towards the ocean.

Figure 14: Photos of Locations 5a, 5b, and Ditch 6



There is no culvert visible on the land side of the existing Honoapiilani Highway at Location 5b in line with Ditch 6.



Dense pickleweed with no obvious culvert blocked, at Location 5b, ocean side view.

Location 5b

Location 5b is located at Ditch 6 (D6, Figures 2 and 14), the northernmost end of the delineated wetlands W1 and W2. This location was investigated when field studies conducted earlier in the day indicated that the culvert connecting Hanaula Gulch to the ocean at Locations 4a and 4b was in fact blocked and no longer functional as an open culvert connection. D6 is perpendicular to and terminates at the Honoapiilani Highway. There is a steep drop from the land side edge of the roadway into this ditch, the bottom of which is about 5-8 feet below grade of the Honoapiilani Highway (Figure 14). There are dense thickets of pickleweed down this steep slope and on both banks of the ditch. Searching in the pickleweed thicket on the slope did not reveal any signs of a culvert at this location. Water from D6 runs parallel to the Highway via D7 through the various ditches (D1-D5) toward Hanaula Gulch and does not flow into the ocean at this location (Figure 2). Field observations confirmed that there is no functional surface connection between Location 5b and 5a (Figure 15). This finding

reinforces the conclusion that there is no evidence of continuous surface connection from W1, W3, W4 to the ocean, and that these wetlands are therefore potentially non-jurisdictional.

Figure 15: Photo Evidence of No Culvert at Locations 5a and 5b





There is no culvert under the existing Honoapiilani Highway at the ocean side at this location

Conclusions

The site visit on May 2, 2024, revealed the following conclusions, as compared to the original delineation report:

- In an email on March 11, 2024, the USACE expressed concern that the Project's proposed potentially nonjurisdictional wetlands might be connected to the ocean via underground culverts at Locations 1 and 2. The site visit revealed that findings of original delineation report are still accurate to assume no continuous surface connection (There was no evidence of flow from Location 2b and W10 reaching Location 2a and subsequently continuing into Location 1b and 1a.). With field observations showing that the potential connections between Location 2b and 1a are either not functioning or nonexistent, and there is insufficient flow to conclude a functioning continuous surface connection at Location 1a, there is no evidence of continuous surface connection from W10 to the ocean. Therefore, W10 is still considered potentially nonjurisdictional wetlands.
- Location 4 was investigated to confirm that Hanaula Gulch, as stated in the wetland delineation report, has a continuous surface connection to the ocean via a culvert between 4a and 4b. However, this site visit revealed that the ocean side of this culvert (Location 4a) is completely blocked and does not allow for a continuous surface water connection from Hanaula Gulch, W1, W3, W4, and ditches D1-D7 to the ocean.

Therefore, Hanaula Gulch, W1, W3, W4, and D1-D7 are all now considered potentially non-jurisdictional features.

- The wetland delineation report submitted to the USACE in December 2023 for this Project (POH-2022-00114) concluded that wetlands W1, W3, and W4 are potentially jurisdictional wetlands. This conclusion was mostly based on the culvert opening at the mouth Hanaula Gulch (Location 4b). However, this site visit revealed that the ocean side of this culvert (4a) in fact is completely blocked and does not allow for surface water connections from the wetlands W1, W3, and W4 to the ocean. Therefore, W1, W3, and W4 are now considered potentially non-jurisdictional wetlands.
- The wetland delineation report submitted to the USACE in December 2023 for this Project (POH-2022-00114) concluded that the Hanaula Gulch and ditches D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6, and D7 are potentially jurisdictional Other Waters of the United States. This conclusion was mostly based on the culvert opening at the mouth Hanaula Gulch (Location 4b). However, this site visit revealed that the ocean side of this culvert (4a) in fact is completely blocked and does not allow for surface water connections from the wetlands to the ocean. Therefore, Hanaula Gulch and ditches D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6, and D7 are now considered potentially non-jurisdictional Other Waters of the United States.
- Only during high rainfall and rainstorm events do surface water flows in the north-south direction (parallel to the Honoapiilani Highway) connect the potentially non-jurisdictional mosaic of wetlands situated on the landside (east) of the Honoapiilani Highway. There is no evidence of continuous surface connection from these wetlands to the ocean.
- Because all the delineated wetlands (W1 to W11), under normal circumstances (i.e., outside of king tides and high rainfall events) are not connected via continuous surface flows, these features, under the existing Clean Water Act conforming rule adopted in September 2023, can be regarded as potentially non-jurisdictional wetlands.
- Given the results of the May 2, 2024, field visit, the Project is not anticipated to affect greater than 0.1 acre of Waters of the United States. A linear viaduct structure in Ukumehame will span over the Papaula Gulch, avoiding effect to the jurisdictional feature in that area. For this reason, the Project could pursue a Nationwide Permit, or series of Nationwide Permits, as previously discussed with Jason Brewer (USACE), on June 19, 2023.



Agency Correspondence

REQUEST FOR CORPS JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

To: Honolulu District

(Street Address)

		()		,
TMK:	County:		State:	
Acreage of Pa	rcel/Review Area for JD:			
0 +	Taumahin	Demas		

Section: _____ Township: _____ Range: _____ Latitude (decimal degrees): _____ Longitude (decimal degrees): _

(For linear projects, please include the center point of the proposed alignment.)

- Please attach a survey/plat map and vicinity map identifying location and review area for the JD.
- ____ I currently own this property.
 - I am an agent/consultant acting on behalf of the requestor.
- Other (please explain): _____
- Reason for request: (check as many as applicable)

I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which would be designed to avoid all aquatic resources.

____ I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which would be designed to avoid all jurisdictional aquatic resources under Corps authority.

____ I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which may require authorization from the Corps, and the JD would be used to avoid and minimize impacts to jurisdictional aquatic resources and as an initial step in a future permitting process.

____ I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities on this parcel which may require authorization from the Corps; this request is accompanied by my permit application and the JD is to be used in the permitting process.

I intend to construct/develop a project or perform activities in a navigable water of the U.S. which is

included on the district Section 10 list and/or is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

___ A Corps JD is required in order to obtain my local/state authorization.

____ I intend to contest jurisdiction over a particular aquatic resource and request the Corps confirm that jurisdiction does/does not exist over the aquatic resource on the parcel.

____ I believe that the site may be comprised entirely of dry land.

- ____ Other:
- Type of determination being requested:

____ I am requesting an approved JD.

____ I am requesting a preliminary JD.

I am requesting a "no permit required" letter as I believe my proposed activity is not regulated.

I am unclear as to which JD I would like to request and require additional information to inform my decision.

By signing below, you are indicating that you have the authority, or are acting as the duly authorized agent of a person or entity with such authority, to and do hereby grant Corps personnel right of entry to legally access the site if needed to perform the JD. Your signature shall be an affirmation that you possess the requisite property rights to request a JD on the subject property.

*S	ignature:	Date:	
•	Typed or printed name: _		
	Company name: _		
	Address: _		
	<pre>- Daytime phone no.: _</pre>		
	Email address: _		_

*Authorities: Rivers and Harbors Act, Section 10, 33 USC 403; Clean Water Act, Section 404, 33 USC 1344; Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act, Section 103, 33 USC 1413; Regulatory Program of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; Final Rule for 33 CFR Parts 320-332.

,	, 0	, ,	,		, ,			
Principal Purpose:	The informatic	on that you	provide will be used i	in evaluating	your request	to determine whether the	re are any aquatic resource	es within the project
area subject to feder	ral jurisdiction	under the re	egulatory authorities r	referenced a	bove.			

Routine Uses: This information may be shared with the Department of Justice and other federal, state, and local government agencies, and the public, and may be made available as part of a public notice as required by federal law. Your name and property location where federal jurisdiction is to be determined will be included in the approved jurisdictional determination (AJD), which will be made available to the public on the District's website and on the Headquarters USACE website. Disclosure: Submission of requested information is voluntary; however, if information is not provided, the request for an AJD cannot be evaluated nor can an AJD be issued.

Honolulu District Office U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Office, Building 230 Ft. Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5440 Phone: 808-835-4303 Fax: 808-835-4126 Email: CEPOH-RO@usace.army.mil





December 2023

9.aprx 2





and Launiupoko Portions of the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023

Ecological Consultants





Figure 4. Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional and Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Olowalu and Launiupoko Areas of the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023

Alternative 1 Land	Alternative 2 Land	Alternative 3 Land	Alternative 4 Land	Tax Map	
Acquisitions	Acquisitions	Acquisitions	Acquisitions	Key	OwnerCat
X	X	Х	X	47001030	Govt. County
Х	Х	Х	Х	48001001	Govt. State
Х	Х	Х	Х	48001003	Govt. State
Х	Х	Х	Х	48002002	Govt. State
Х	Х	Х	Х	48002008	Govt. State
	Х	Х	Х	48002041	Govt. County
Х	Х	Х	Х	48002042	, Govt. State
Х	Х	Х	Х	48002045	Govt. State
Х	Х	Х	Х	48002046	Govt. County
Х	Х	Х	Х	48002047	Govt. State
			Х	48002049	Govt. County
Х	Х	Х		48002057	Govt. County
X	X	X		48002058	Govt. County
X	X	X	Х	48002059	Govt. County
X	X	X		48002068	Govt. County
	X	X		48002069	Govt. County
Х	X	X	Х	48002070	Govt. County
X	X	X		48002071	Govt. County
	X	~ ~ ~	X	48002075	Private
			X	48002090	Private
			X	48002090	Private
			X	48002091	Private
			X	48002052	Private
			X	48002004	Private
			X	48002055	Private
			X	48002050	Private
			X	48002107	Private
			X	48002107	Private
			X	48002100	Private
			X	48002100	Private
			X	48002110	Private
			X	48002111	Private
			X	48002112	Private
X			Λ	48002114	Private
X				48002115	Private
X			X	48002116	Private
			X	48002117	Private
			X	48002118	Private
			X	48002120	Private
Х			X	48002121	Private
~ ~	X	x	~ ~	48002125	Private
Х	X	x	Х	48003008	Govt. State
X	~ ~		~ ~	48003034	Govt. State
X	X	x	X	48003039	Govt. State
X			X	48003098	Private
X			X	48003099	Private
X	x	x	X	48003100	Private
X	X	x	X	48003101	Private
X	X			48003102	Private
X	X			48003103	Private
X	X			48003104	Private
X	X	Х		48003105	Private
•		-			

		Х	Х	48003106	Private
		Х	Х	48003107	Private
	Х	Х	Х	48003108	Private
		Х	Х	48003109	Private
		Х	Х	48003110	Private
	Х	Х	Х	48003111	Private
Х	Х			48003112	Private
Х	Х			48003113	Private
Х	Х	Х	Х	48003114	Private
Х	Х	Х	Х	48003115	Private
Х	Х	Х	Х	48003116	Private
		Х	Х	48003117	Private
Х	Х	Х	Х	48003118	Private
X	X	Х	X	48003125	Private

Agency	Acres
DPR	114.95
DLNR	1865.31
DLNR	37.39
DLNR	188.77
DLNR	383.81
DPR	6.71
DLNR	31.91
DOT	11.88
DPR	44.86
Army National Guard	39.28
DPR	0.47
DPR	9.15
DPR	0.52
DPR	4.41
DPR	18.01
DPR	1.04
DPR	36.57
DPR	29.76
	7.14
	3.89
	7.99
	12.28
	5.36
	5.41
	3.56
	7.24
	7.41
	13.28
	6.36
	5.00
	5.00
	5.00
	6.60
	11.00
	12.76
	7.34
	11.73
	55.40
	3.16
	5.16
	0.46
DLNR	609.91
DLNR	0.53
DLNR	65.38
	15.03
	15.57
	27.10
	29.37
	16.89
	27.80
	50.28
	40.73

16.69
41.14
82.82
16.17
17.22
16.58
24.61
25.21
28.83
26.20
16.04
15.59
42.72
2.27
4304.02562

* Spreadsheet shows which TMKs are crossed by the 140ft ROW associated with each alternative.

Executive Summary

During 23 visits from January-September 2023, H. T. Harvey & Associates wetland ecologists performed a delineation of wetlands and other waters in support of the Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project located in West Maui. The Project Area overlaps three watersheds in West Maui: Ukumehame, Olowalu, and Launiupoko. Approximately 902 acres within the Project's study area, which was defined to encompass the project's temporary and permanent impact areas, were surveyed for jurisdictional waters (wetlands and other waters) that may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This area (902 acres) included a 300 feet swath centered around each of the four proposed Build Alternatives and an additional 37 acres outside of these Build Alternatives. Because the study spanned from January to September, it allowed for observations and consideration of both wet and dry seasons when sampling. The results are based on the observation of conditions present across these multiple surveys. In total, 9.130 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters were mapped in the wetland delineation study area. When estimated separately for each Build Alternative this includes: 0.228 and 1.337 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters respectively in Build Alternative 1; 4.365 and 2.255 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters respectively in Build Alternative 2; 4.365 and 2.280 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters in Build Alternative 3; and zero jurisdictional wetlands and 1.777 acres of jurisdictional other waters in Build Alternative 4. Additionally, 16.709 acres of potentially isolated non-jurisdictional wetlands and other waters were identified within the study area If determined to be waters of the U.S., these features would be regulated under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Total Jurisdictional Wetlands	4.593	
Wetland 1	4.131	Surface connection to the Pacific Ocean via Ditch 7 and the Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Wetland 3	0.228	Surface connected to the Pacific Ocean via the Hanaula Gulch
Wetland 4	0.234	Surface connected to the Pacific Ocean via the Hanaula Gulch
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Wetlands	16.672	
Wetland 2	0.442	No surface connection to the ocean
Wetland 5	0.910	Wetlands 5 and 6 are connected in the area in between the Build Alternatives. Wetland 6 is separated from Wetland 4 via a built-up dirt road and fence. No surface connection to the ocean
Wetland 6	0.949	Wetlands 5 and 6 are connected in the area in between the Build Alternatives. Wetland 6 is separated from Wetland 4 via a built-up dirt road and fence. No surface connection to the ocean

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Wetland 7	0.811	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 8	4.792	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 9	0.153	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 10	8.575	No surface connection to ocean
Wetland 11	0.040	No surface connection to ocean
Total Jurisdictional Other Waters	4.537	
Manawaipueo Gulch	0.140	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Papalaua Gulch	1.670	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Hanaula Gulch	0.160	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 1	0.041	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 2	0.040	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 3	0.037	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 4	0.049	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 5	0.018	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 6	0.186	Connection to Ditch 7 which is connected to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 7	0.226	Connection to the Pacific Ocean via Hanaula Gulch culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 8	0.380	Vicinity of Pohaku Aeko Street. Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ukumehame Stream	0.330	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ditch 9	0.370	Vicinity of Ehehene Street. Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Mopua Stream	0.200	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Olowalu Stream	0.260	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Lihau Stream	0.160	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Awalua Stream	0.150	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway
Ka Puali Stream	0.120	Connection to Pacific Ocean via culvert under the existing highway

Habitat Type	Area (acres)	Notes
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Other Waters	0.037	
Ditch 10	0.007	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Ditch 11	0.009	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Ditch 12	0.021	No surface connection to another ditch or stream or ocean.
Total Potential Waters of the U.S.	9.130	
Total Potentially Isolated Non- Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.	16.709	
Total Non-Jurisdictional Upland Areas	876.161	
Wetland Delineation Study Area Total	902.000	



N:\Projects4600\4692-01\Shp_100223\Figs1_to_6.aprx

Ecological Consultants

September 2023



Figure 2. Wetland Delineation Study Area Honoapiilani Highway (4692) September 2023

H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES

Ecological Consultants



N:\Projects4600\4692-01\Shp_100223\Figs1_to_6.aprx

H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES

Ecological Consultants

Honoapiilani Highway (4692) September 2023





September 2023

Ecological Consultants



Figure 6. Habitat/Vegetation Types Honoapiilani Highway (4692) September 2023

õ

H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES

Ecological Consultants



Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023



Figure 8. Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Ukumehame Portion of the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023



H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES

Ecological Consultants





H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES

Ecological Consultants

Figure 9. Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Olowalu and Launiupoko Portions of the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023



Figure 10. Preliminary Identification of Jurisdictional and Potentially Isolated Non-Jurisdictional Other Waters in the Olowalu and Launiupoko Areas of the Wetland Delineation Study Area

Ecological Consultants

H. T. HARVEY & ASSOCIATES

Honoapiilani Highway Improvement Project (4692-02) Preliminary Delineation of Wetlands and Other Waters December 2023

Sullivan, James

Sullivan, Genevieve <genevieve.h.sullivan@hawaii.gov> Monday, January 8, 2024 2:28 PM Sullivan, James Powell, Lisa (FHWA); Aiu, Pua; Yoshioka, Wayne Fw: Jurisdictional Determination Request - Honoapiilani Highway, West Maui JD_Request_Form_Honoapiilani_Hwy.pdf; Project_Location_Map_Honoapiilani_Hwypdf; Preliminary Wetland Delineation Maps Honoapiilani Hwy.pdf;</genevieve.h.sullivan@hawaii.gov>
Follow up Flagged

Hi James,

Please find the JD submittal below.

Thanks! Gen

From: Sullivan, Genevieve
Sent: Tuesday, January 2, 2024 11:10 AM
To: Brewer, J D CIV USARMY CEPOA (USA) <Jason.D.Brewer@usace.army.mil>
Cc: Powell, Lisa (FHWA) <lisa.powell@dot.gov>
Subject: Jurisdictional Determination Request - Honoapiilani Highway, West Maui

Aloha Jason and Happy New Year!

Please accept this email as the Section 404 Jurisdictional Determination Request for the <u>Honoapiilani Highway</u> <u>Improvements Project</u>

The following documents are attached:

1. Jurisdictional Determination Request Form

- 2. Project Location Map Honoapiilani Hwy Improvements
- 3. Preliminary Identification of Waters of the United States Project Maps
- 4. Tax Map Key (TMK) Parcels for Acquisition

5. Executive Summary and Figures Only - The Preliminary Identification of Waters of the United States Technical Report

Executive Summary and Figures Only Technical Report Honoapiilani Hwy.pdf

6. The Preliminary Identification of Waters of the United States Technical Report

Please let me know if the OneDrive links don't work for you and reach out anytime with questions. And thank you so much for your patience as the project team put together this JD submittal package.

Kind Regards,

Genevieve 808-599-0504

> **Genevieve Hilliard Sullivan** Planner VI, HDOT Highways

808-587-1834 | genevieve.h.sullivan@hawaii.gov http://hidot.hawaii.gov/highways/

869 Punchbowl Street Room 301, Honolulu, HI, 96813