1 PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT 2 **AMONG** 3 THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION. 4 THE STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, 5 AND THE 6 THE HAWAI'I STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER 7 **REGARDING THE** 8 HONOAPI'ILANI HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS, 9 MAUI KOMOHANA, MAUI COUNTY, HAWAI'I 10 11 12 WHEREAS, the United States Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) 13 plans to fund the Honoapi'ilani Highway Improvements Project (Undertaking), pursuant to Pub.L. 116-14 260, FY 2021 Appropriations Act, known as the Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability 15 and Equity (RAISE) Grants and through an earmark in the 2022 Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act 16 (Pub.L. 117-58), which would realign Honoapi'ilani Highway between Ukumehame and Launiupoko in 17 Maui Komohana (West Maui); and 18 19 WHEREAS, the State of Hawai'i Department of Transportation (HDOT) is the sponsor of the Undertaking, 20 and the FHWA has invited HDOT to become an invited signatory to this Programmatic Agreement (PA); 21 and 22 23 WHEREAS, the FHWA's action requires review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 24 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq.) and is considered an Undertaking as defined by 36 C.F.R. 800.16(y) and is subject 25 to review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) (54 U.S.C. § 26 306108) and its implementing regulations, 36 C.F.R. Part 800, Protection of Historic Properties, as 27 amended; and 28 29 WHEREAS, the Undertaking is intended to address existing coastal erosion and flooding, as well as 30 future coastal erosion and flooding caused by anticipated sea level rise, as delineated by the Hawai'i 31 Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Commission (HCCC)'s Sea Level Rise Exposure Area (SLR-XA); 32 33 34 WHEREAS, the FHWA has coordinated NHPA Section 106 compliance with the NEPA process and is 35 preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS); and 36 37 WHEREAS, the FHWA, in consultation with the Hawai'i State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), is 38 entering into this Section 106 PA in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(1)(ii) because the 39 Undertaking's effects on historic properties cannot be fully determined prior to approval of the Record 40 of Decision; and 41 42 WHEREAS, the FHWA in coordination with HDOT, and in consultation with the SHPO, established an 43 Area of Potential Effects (APE) (Appendix 2) in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(a)(1) to consider 44 potential direct and indirect effects associated with each of the Undertaking's alternatives evaluated in 45 the Draft EIS; and 46

WHEREAS, due to the size of the APE, limited access, and number of alternatives under consideration, the FHWA and HDOT, in consultation with the SHPO, agreed to phased identification, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(b)(2), as provided in this PA; and

WHEREAS, HDOT intends to use the historic properties identification efforts and documentation prepared for this Undertaking and as described in this PA (Stipulation III) to comply with Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) § 6E and its administrative provisions at Hawai'i Administrative Rules (HAR) § 13-275, Rules Governing Procedures for Historic Preservation Review for Governmental Projects Covered Under Sections 6E-7 and 6E-8, HRS, as described in Appendix 1. The State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) therefore has the authority to determine whether or not any report generated under HRS § 6E and its administrative rules is adequate; and

**WHEREAS**, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), was invited to participate in the Section 106 consultation and the development of this PA and has declined to participate; and

**WHEREAS**, the FHWA in coordination with HDOT has consulted with Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) listed in **Appendix 3**, for which the Ahupua'a of Launiupoko, Olowalu, and/or Ukumehame have religious and/or cultural significance and has invited them to be Concurring Parties to this PA; and

WHEREAS, the FHWA in coordination with HDOT held multiple Consulting Party meetings (listed in Appendix 4), has consulted with Consulting Parties, including NHOs, listed in Appendix 3 regarding the effects of the Undertaking on historic properties and has invited them to sign this PA as Concurring Parties; and

WHEREAS, historic properties were found during an archaeological reconnaissance study located within the Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) Lands, and the FHWA has invited DLNR to be an invited signatory to this PA.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the FHWA, HDOT, SHPO, agree that the Undertaking shall be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations to take into account the effect of the Undertaking on historic properties.

#### **STIPULATIONS**

The FHWA, in coordination with HDOT, shall ensure that the following measures are carried out.

 I.

# Roles and Responsibilities

A. The FHWA is the agency official legally responsible for all Section 106 findings and determinations and shall ensure that the terms of this PA are carried out to complete the Section 106 process. The FHWA shall continue consultation with the NHOs, individuals and organizations included in Appendix 3 and as described in this PA.

B. HDOT, as the Undertaking sponsor, will assist the FHWA in the implementation of this PA, including the coordination, management, and overseeing of the implementation of the SHPD-approved plans and reports including the Archaeological Inventory Subsurface Survey Plan (AISSP) and any necessary mitigation plans (e.g., archaeological monitoring plan, burial treatment plan) required by this PA for continuing archaeological investigations of the preferred

alternative through final design and construction of the Undertaking with the assistance of individuals meeting the requirements of Stipulation II.

C. The SHPO, individually or through staff at SHPD, shall advise the FHWA in carrying out Section 106 responsibilities for the Undertaking. Based on information provided by the FHWA or by HDOT, on behalf of the FHWA, the SHPO, individually or through staff at the SHPD, shall respond to requests for comments and/or concurrence within the specified review periods regarding the FHWA's National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligibility evaluations and proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate any adverse effects on archaeological historic properties that may be identified.

### II. Qualifications

All investigations carried out pursuant to this PA shall be conducted by or under the supervision of an individual meeting the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI) Professional Qualifications Standards for Archaeology or Architectural History, as applicable, pursuant to 36 CFR Part 61, Appendix A, and pursuant to HAR § 13-281, "Rules Governing Professional Qualifications," and HAR § 13-282, "Rules Governing Permits for Archaeological Work" in the State of Hawai'i.

### III. Identification and Evaluation of Historic Properties

A. The FHWA, in coordination with HDOT, will ensure all historic properties (both above ground and below ground) identified within the APE are assessed for NRHP eligibility in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(c). The FHWA will consider information provided by an individual or organization included in Appendix 3 who attaches religious and/or cultural significance to a property within the APE in making determinations of eligibility.

B. HDOT, in coordination with the FHWA, completed the identification and evaluation of architectural historic properties pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(b) and (c). A Reconnaissance Level Architectural Inventory Survey (RLS) report was completed that identified and assessed 40 architectural properties within the APE. Three architectural properties were determined to be individually eligible for listing in the NRHP and ten were determined to be contributing resources to a NRHP-eligible historic district, referred to in the RLS as the Olowalu Sugar Plantation Historic District. SHPD concurred with these eligibility determinations in a letter dated July 9, 2024.

C. HDOT, in coordination with the FHWA, has completed the identification and initial evaluation of archaeological properties pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(b) and (c). This identification and evaluation effort involved an archaeological reconnaissance surface survey comprising each of the four proposed alternatives within the APE. The archaeological survey area was defined by a 300-foot-wide corridor along the centerline of each proposed alternative. The archaeological survey area totaled approximately 464 acres and included both 100% pedestrian survey and targeted drone flyovers. The results of the archaeological survey were provided in a document titled *Honoapi'ilani Archaeological Complex Site Descriptions and Significance Evaluations*. Twenty-eight (28) archaeological historic properties were identified and evaluated within Ukumehame Ahupua'a, seven (7) in Olowalu Ahupua'a, and three (3) in Launiupoko Ahupua'a.

- D. HDOT's archaeologist, on behalf of HDOT and the FHWA, evaluated all 38 archaeological historic properties as individually eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. SHPD concurred with eleven (11) of these eligibility determinations in a letter dated October 11, 2024, and provided no response on the remaining twenty-seven (27) archaeological historic properties. HDOT's archaeologist also noted that the sites in each ahupua'a extended beyond the archaeological survey boundaries. Because the horizontal and vertical extents of a potential historic district could not be determined based on a reconnaissance survey, no historic district has been identified by the FHWA at this time, pending additional investigations as described in this PA.
- E. HDOT, in coordination with the FHWA, will complete an archaeological inventory survey (AIS) for any areas not previously surveyed within the preferred alternative. Additionally, subsurface archaeological testing will proceed through the design of the preferred alternative as locations become accessible.
- F. HDOT, in coordination with the FHWA, will ensure an AISSP is prepared and implemented as part of the identification and evaluation of archaeological historic properties pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(b) and (c) and HAR § 13-276.
- G. HDOT intends to use the identification and documentation of historic properties prepared for this Undertaking to meet the requirement of HRS § 6E-8. SHPD shall have authority to determine the adequacy of the AISSP pursuant to HAR § 13-275 and HAR § 13-276. SHPD's written approval will be provided to all Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties.

### IV. Archaeological Inventory Subsurface Survey

The area for subsurface archaeological survey is defined as the Undertaking's preferred alternative and includes the proposed roadway right-of-way (ROW) and areas designated for utility installation, excavation, grading, connector roads, or construction access and laydown areas.

- A. Archaeological Inventory Subsurface Survey Plan
  - 1. The AISSP will be developed by HDOT's archaeologist in consultation with the Engineering Design Team, as well as Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties.
  - 2. HDOT will provide the initial draft of the AISSP to SHPD, Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties for a 30-calendar-day review and comment period.
  - 3. The written comments on the AISSP will be shared with SHPD for consideration in preparing SHPD's review comments to HDOT and the FHWA. SHPD shall have an additional 15-calendar-day review period (total 45-calendar days) upon receipt of all written comments received by HDOT and the FHWA from Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties.
  - 4. HDOT will share SHPD's comments with Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties. The comments may be posted to the Honoapiilanihighway.com website.

189 coordination with the FHWA, may assume SHPD's concurrence and move forward 190 accordingly. HDOT, in coordination with the FHWA, will implement the AISSP. 191 192 6. Subsurface survey fieldwork shall be completed to the extent practicable prior to final design so that any unanticipated discoveries (i.e., archaeological sites or human burials) 193 194 may be considered in final design and measures incorporated to avoid and/or minimize 195 potential effects on historic properties and/or human burials. 196 197 7. HDOT, in coordination with the FHWA, will provide a status update to the Signatories, 198 Concurring and Consulting Parties of the status of archaeological investigations on a 199 quarterly basis via email and, when requested, via meeting. Once HDOT's archaeologist sends an end-of-fieldwork notice to SHPD, these reports will stop. 200 201 202 B. Archaeological Inventory Survey Report 203 204 1. The AIS report will be submitted to SHPD for their concurrence on adequacy will contain 205 results of the above ground pedestrian survey of the preferred alternative and 206 subsurface survey. 207 2. The initial draft of the AIS report will be provided to SHPD, Signatories, Concurring and 208 209 Consulting Parties for a 30-calendar-day review and comment period. The reports may 210 be posted to Honoapiilanihighway.com. 211 212 3. The written comments received on the draft AIS report will be shared with SHPD for consideration in preparing SHPD's review comments to HDOT and the FHWA. SHPD shall 213 214 have an additional 15-calendar-day review period (total 45-calendar days) upon receipt 215 of all written comments received by HDOT and the FHWA from Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties. 216 217 218 4. If SHPD does not respond within the 45-calendar-day review period, HDOT, in 219 coordination with the FHWA, may assume SHPD's concurrence and move forward 220 accordingly. 221 **Application of the Criteria of Adverse Effect for Historic Properties** 222 ٧. 223 A. The FHWA, in coordination with HDOT and in consultation with the SHPO, Signatories, and 224 225 Concurring and Consulting Parties, will apply the criteria of adverse effect (36 C.F.R. § 226 800.5(a)(1)) to historic properties within the APE, and document its findings. 227

5. If SHPD does not respond within any 45-calendar-day review period, HDOT, in

187 188

228

229

230

231

adverse effects.

B. If, as a result of this analysis, the FHWA determines that the Undertaking may have an adverse

effect on any historic property, the FHWA, in coordination with HDOT, will consult with the

SHPO, Signatories, and Concurring and Consulting Parties to avoid, minimize, or mitigate

C. The FHWA, in coordination with HDOT, will implement any of the Undertaking's scope or design modifications or conditions in order to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects, as agreed upon through consultation.

### VI. Treatments to Resolve Adverse Effects to Historic Properties

The FHWA, in coordination with HDOT and in consultation with the SHPO, Signatories, and Concurring and Consulting Parties, has determined that the following treatment measures, either singularly or in combination, may be applied to resolve adverse effects to historic properties:

A. Educational Interpretation. This educational interpretation may include displays, markers, educational pamphlets, brochures or booklets, posters, websites, or other similar accessible information to educate members of the public on one or more architectural and/or archaeological historic property within the APE. The interpretive element will use images and maps to the extent feasible to convey information to the public.

B. Photogrammetry. HDOT may hire a consultant to conduct historic property documentation through the use of photogrammetry, which requires taking high-resolution digital images to construct 3-D models of above ground resources including individual structures and buildings or landscape features. Using a high-resolution camera affixed to a drone, digital images will be taken at multiple angles and aligned to extract spatial and visual data to create a digital 3-D model. Data will be extracted from the model, including precise measurements and dimensions, colors, textures, and close-up historic details of the historic property. The model will then be made available for public viewing, if appropriate.

C. Recordation of Architectural Historic Property. Prior to any substantial alteration or demolition of an individual above ground architectural historic property, documentation may be undertaken to record the adversely affected property through a digital photography package or Historic American Buildings Survey (HABS)/Historic American Engineering Record (HAER)/Historic American Landscapes Survey (HALS) Level III recordation (68 Fed. Reg. 43159), as appropriate.

D. Recordation of Archaeological Historic Property without Data Recovery. Prior to any substantial alteration or demolition of an individual above ground archaeological historic property, documentation may be undertaken to record the adversely affected property through digital photography, scaled plan view, profile drawings, and narrative descriptions meeting the archaeological documentation specified in HAR § 13-276 pertaining to AIS investigations.

E. Archaeological Data Recovery. Data recovery excavations may be considered in consultation among the FHWA, HDOT, SHPO, Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties. If it is determined by the FHWA through consultation that archaeological data recovery is an appropriate treatment, HDOT will ensure that HDOT's archaeologist prepares an archaeological data recovery plan (Archaeological DRP) that includes each affected archaeological historic property.

277

318

319

320

PA.

the identification and evaluation of historic properties identified according to the terms of this

B. The FHWA, in coordination with HDOT, shall carry out consultation with MLIBC, recognized descendants and NHOs, Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties for any unanticipated discoveries. The consultation will include identification, evaluation as to whether a discovery meets the definition of a historic property, and consideration of measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects.

### VIII. Applicability of this PA to Changes in Undertaking Scope

This PA satisfies the FHWA's responsibilities under Section 106 and is based on information about this Undertaking as defined in the EIS. It is the FHWA's responsibility to notify the SHPO, Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties of any changes to the Undertaking's design, scope, or footprint and, through consultation, to determine whether any changes to the design, scope, or footprint invalidate both the environmental commitments made in the Final EIS and Record of Decision, including those made in this PA. In the event the FHWA chooses to re-open Section 106 consultation for this Undertaking, the FHWA shall implement the following measures:

- A. If the change is within the current APE, then the FHWA shall notify the SHPD of any changes to design or scope in writing. The SHPD shall respond within 30 calendar days of receipt of the email or letter. Receipt is the date of the e-mail or the date received in HICRIS.
- B. If the change is outside the current APE, the FHWA, in coordination with HDOT and in consultation with the SHPO, shall assess and revise the APE as necessary to incorporate any additional areas not previously considered under this PA.
- C. The FHWA shall consult the Signatories to this PA to determine if the provisions of this PA should be applied to the additional areas, and if this PA should be applied, whether this PA needs to be amended in accordance with Stipulation XIII to include those areas.

### IX. Post-Review Discoveries

- A. In accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.13(b)(3), the FHWA, in coordination with HDOT and in consultation with the SHPO, will apply the NRHP Criteria for Evaluation (36 C.F.R. § 60.4) to evaluate any newly identified historic properties and consider measures to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties. Additionally, the FHWA, in coordination with HDOT, will consult pursuant to Stipulation III.
- B. The FHWA shall forward their evaluation of the newly identified historic properties to the SHPO for review and concurrence.
- C. If SHPD does not respond within the 30-calendar day review period, the FHWA may assume SHPD's concurrence with the eligibility determinations.
- D. If evidence of burials, human remains, or potential human remains is encountered during construction, HDOT shall suspend all work in the immediate vicinity, protect the remains from further disturbance, and immediately contact the SHPO, the FHWA, , MLIBC, Maui Police Departments, Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties.

- E. HDOT's initial notification may be via phone but must be followed by written notification via email. In addition to email, HDOT's notification to the SHPO must include written notification to HICRIS Project No. 2023PR00135 and include date, time, and identification of all parties who were notified.
- F. Identification, documentation, and treatment of all burials, human remains, or potential human remains encountered during construction will be carried out in accordance with State laws (Appendix 1).

### X. Confidentiality

Sensitive information concerning the location, character, or ownership of archaeological resources and properties on which burials, human remains, or potential human remains are identified may be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with Section 304 of the NHPA (54 U.S.C. § 307103).

### XI. Monitoring and Reporting

Each year following the execution of this PA until it expires or is terminated, HDOT will submit to the FHWA a written status of each PA stipulation.

The FHWA, in coordination with HDOT, shall provide all parties to this PA a written annual summary report detailing work undertaken pursuant to its terms and associated findings. Such report shall include any scheduling changes proposed or implemented, any issues encountered relating to historic or cultural sites, any disputes and objections received in the FHWA's efforts to carry out the terms of this PA. Additionally, the annual summary report shall include the status of the PA stipulations provided by HDOT to the FHWA.

HDOT will provide written notification to the FHWA for concurrence once all stipulations have been completed. Upon the FHWA's concurrence and the FHWA's notification therein to all parties to this PA, the Section 106 process will be deemed completed.

### XII. Dispute Resolution

Should any Signatory, Concurring or Consulting Party to this PA object at any time to any actions proposed or the manner in which the terms of this PA are implemented, the FHWA shall notify all parties and initiate consultation to resolve the objection. If the FHWA determines that such objection cannot be resolved, the FHWA will:

- A. Forward all documentation relevant to the dispute, including the resolution proposed by the FHWA, to the ACHP. The ACHP shall provide the FHWA with its advice on the resolution of the objection within 30 calendar days of receiving adequate documentation. Prior to reaching a final decision on the dispute, the FHWA shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely advice or comments regarding the dispute from ACHP, Signatories, and Concurring Parties and provide them with a copy of this written response. The FHWA will then proceed according to the final decision.
- B. If the ACHP does not provide its advice regarding the dispute within the 30-calendar-day review period, the FHWA may make a final decision on the dispute and proceed accordingly. Prior to

reaching such a final decision, the FHWA shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely comments regarding the dispute from the Signatories and Concurring Parties to this PA and provide them and the ACHP with a copy of such written response.

C. The responsibilities of the FHWA to carry out all other actions subject to the terms of this PA that are not the subject of the dispute remain unchanged.

### XIII. Amendments

This PA may be amended when such an amendment is agreed to in writing by all Signatories. The amendment will be effective on the date a copy signed by all signatories is filed with the ACHP.

#### XIV. Termination

If any signatory to this PA determines that its terms will not or cannot be carried out, that party shall immediately consult with the other parties to attempt to develop an amendment per Stipulation XIII. If an amendment cannot be reached within 30 calendar days, any Signatory may terminate the PA upon written notification to the other Signatories.

Once the PA is terminated, and prior to work continuing on the Undertaking, the FHWA must either (a) execute an agreement pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.6 or (b) request, take into account, and respond to the comments of the ACHP under 36 C.F.R. § 800.7. The FHWA shall notify the Signatories as to the course of action they will pursue.

### XV. Duration

This PA will be null and void if its terms are not carried out within fifteen (15) years from the date of its execution. Prior to such time, the FHWA may consult with the other Signatories to reconsider the terms of the PA and amend it in accordance with Stipulation XIII.

Execution of this agreement by the FHWA, SHPO, and HDOT, and implementation of its terms is evidence that the FHWA has taken into account the effects of this Undertaking on historic properties and has afforded the ACHP an opportunity to comment.

| 451 | PROGRAMMATIC CAGREEMENT  |
|-----|--|
| 452 | AMONG  |
| 453 | THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION,                              |
|     | •  |
| 454 | THE STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,               |
| 455 | AND THE  |
| 456 | THE HAWAI'I STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER                  |
| 457 | REGARDING THE  |
| 458 | HONOAPI'ILANI HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS,                              |
| 459 | MAUI KOMOHANA, MAUI COUNTY, HAWAI'I                              |
| 460 |  |
| 461 | SIGNATORY  |
| 462 |  |
| 463 | State of Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) |
| 464 |  |
| 465 |  |
| 466 | By: Date:  |
| 467 | Dawn N. S. Chang, Esq.   |
| 468 | DLNR Chairperson   |
| 469 | State Historic Preservation Officer                              |
| 470 |  |
| 471 |  |
| 4/I |  |

| 472 | PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT                             |
|-----|--|
|     |  |
| 473 | AMONG  |
| 474 | THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION,                |
| 475 | THE STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, |
| 476 | AND THE  |
| 477 | THE HAWAI'I STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER    |
| 478 | REGARDING THE                                      |
| 479 | HONOAPI'ILANI HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS,                |
| 480 | MAUI KOMOHANA, MAUI COUNTY, HAWAI'I                |
| 481 |  |
| 482 | SIGNATORY  |
| 483 |  |
| 484 | Federal Highway Administration                     |
| 485 |  |
| 486 |  |
| 487 | By: Date:  |
| 488 | Richelle M. Takara, PE                             |
| 489 | Division Administrator                             |
| 490 |  |

| 491 | PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT                             |
|-----|--|
| 492 | AMONG  |
| 493 | THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION,                |
| 494 | THE STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, |
| 495 | AND THE  |
| 496 | THE HAWAI'I STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER    |
| 497 | REGARDING THE                                      |
| 498 | HONOAPI'ILANI HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS,                |
| 499 | MAUI KOMOHANA, MAUI COUNTY, HAWAI'I                |
| 500 |  |
| 501 | INVITED SIGNATORY                                  |
| 502 |  |
| 503 | State of Hawai'i Department of Transportation      |
| 504 |  |
| 505 |  |
| 506 |  |
| 507 | By: Date:  |
| 508 | Edwin Sniffen, Director                            |
| 509 |  |

| 510 | PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT                                    |
|-----|---|
| 511 | AMONG   |
| 512 | THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION,                       |
| 513 | THE STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,        |
| 514 | AND THE   |
| 515 | THE HAWAI'I STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER           |
| 516 | REGARDING THE   |
| 517 | HONOAPI'ILANI HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS,                       |
| 518 | MAUI KOMOHANA, MAUI COUNTY, HAWAI'I                       |
| 519 |   |
| 520 | INVITED SIGNATORY   |
| 521 |   |
| 522 | State of Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources |
| 523 |   |
| 524 |   |
| 525 | By: Date:   |
| 526 | Dawn Chang  |
| 527 | Chairperson   |
| 528 |   |

| 529 | PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT                             |
|-----|--|
| 530 | AMONG  |
| 531 | THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION,                |
| 532 | THE STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, |
| 533 | AND THE  |
| 534 | THE HAWAI'I STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER    |
| 535 | REGARDING THE                                      |
| 536 | HONOAPI'ILANI HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS,                |
| 537 | MAUI KOMOHANA, MAUI COUNTY, HAWAI'I                |
| 538 |  |
| 539 | CONCURRING PARTY                                   |
| 540 |  |
| 541 | [Name/Organization]                                |
| 542 |  |
| 543 |  |
| 544 | By: Date:  |
| 545 | [Name]   |
| 546 | [Title]  |
| 547 |  |

| 548        |   |
|------------|---|
| 549<br>550 | Appendix 1. HDOT's Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) § 6E Compliance |

### Memorandum

To: All Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties, and the Public

From: State of Hawai'i Department of Transportation (HDOT)

Re: Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) § 6E Compliance

The Programmatic Agreement (PA) for this project incorporates references to both Federal and State laws and regulations to allow these review processes to work in tandem to the extent possible. Should compliance issues arise under Federal or State law, HDOT and FHWA are committed to resolving disputes through the Dispute Resolution stipulation provided in the PA (Stipulation XII).

In a letter dated January 23, 2023, HDOT initiated consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966 and its implementing regulations at 36 C.F.R. Part 800. In addition, HDOT initiated Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) § 6E and Hawai'i Administrative Rules (HAR) § 13-275 historic preservation review with the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) for the proposed project. Although the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) retains approval authority over Section 106, HDOT has jurisdiction over determining the project's effects under HRS § 6E-8 and its administrative provisions in HAR § 13-275.

To reduce redundancy, HDOT intends to combine Section 106 and HRS § 6E-8 compliance where possible.

### **Roles and Responsibilities**

HDOT, as project sponsor, in coordination with the FHWA, will be responsible for the management and development of the SHPD-approved plans and reports including the Archaeological Inventory Subsurface Survey Plan (AISSP) and any necessary mitigation plans (e.g., archaeological monitoring plan, burial treatment plan) required for continuing archaeological investigations of the preferred alternative through final design and construction of the project with the assistance of individuals meeting the requirements of Stipulation II.

The SHPD shall advise HDOT in carrying out its State Historic Preservation Review responsibilities for the project. Based on information provided by HDOT, the SHPD shall respond to requests for comments and/or concurrence within the specified review periods.

### Qualifications

All historic properties investigations and documentation carried out pursuant to this Memorandum shall be conducted by or under the supervision of an individual meeting the HAR § 13-281, *Rules Governing Professional Qualifications*, in their respective professional discipline. Historic properties investigations and documentation shall meet the requirements of HAR § 13-275 and the appropriate HAR for specific types of studies. Archaeologists will have a permit to do archaeological work in Hawaii per HAR § 13-282. HDOT will identify an osteologist who can be available on an as-needed basis in the event the ethnicity of a burial is needed, or bone fragments cannot be positively identified as human by the onsite archaeologist.

### **Project Area**

The HRS § 6E-8 Project Area will coincide with the Preferred Alternative, once selected.

### **Identification and Evaluation of Historic Properties**

HDOT intends to use the investigations and documentation of historic properties prepared for this project and as described in the PA (Stipulation III) to comply with HRS § 6E and its administrative provisions in HAR § 13-275, *Rules Governing Procedures for Historic Preservation Review for Governmental Projects Covered Under Sections 6E-7 and 6E-8, HRS.* HDOT will evaluate potential historic properties for integrity and significance in accordance with HAR § 13-275-6.

### Phased Archaeological Inventory Survey and Archaeological Subsurface Survey Plan

HDOT, in coordination with FHWA, intends to conduct a phased identification and evaluation of archaeological historic properties for this project. The first phase, consisting of an above-ground archaeological reconnaissance survey, is described in Stipulation III of the PA, as mentioned above. For the second phase, an AISSP will be developed and implemented as described in the PA, Stipulation IV. A final AIS report will be prepared that includes the results of the archaeological surface reconnaissance survey and the archaeological subsurface survey and provided to SHPD for concurrence on adequacy.

### **Determining Effects to Historic Properties**

HDOT, in coordination with FHWA, will determine the effects to significant historic properties within the preferred alternative consistent with HAR § 13-275-7. One of the following effect determinations will be made for each significant historic property or group of significant historic properties:

1. No historic properties affected.

2. Effect with proposed mitigation commitments.

 The effect determinations shall be sent to SHPD for review and concurrence and posted on honoapiilanihighway.com with notification to Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties of the PA for their comments. After 30 calendar days, HDOT shall send all comments received to SHPD. SHPD shall provide its approval, or non-approval with comments, within 45 calendar days of receipt of an HDOT request for agreement on its effect determinations. Receipt date shall be the date a letter is received in HICRIS.

### Mitigation Measures

Following SHPD's written acceptance of the AIS report and effect determinations, HDOT will provide mitigation measures to SHPD pursuant to HAR § 13-275, 277, -278, and -279.

Archaeological and Cultural Monitoring

Per HAR § 13-279-3, Archaeological monitoring may be a mitigation measure.

Following completion of the AIS, HDOT shall oversee the development of an Archaeological and Cultural Monitoring Plan (Monitoring Plan) for implementation prior to the initiation of project construction. The Monitoring Plan will be developed pursuant to HAR § 13-279, *Rules Governing Standards for Archaeological Monitoring Studies and Reports*, in consultation with the PA's Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties and submitted for a 30-calendar-day review and comment period.

 Archaeological monitoring during construction will be conducted by HDOT and under the supervision of an Archaeologist meeting the qualifications stated above in the Qualifications Section.

2. HDOT, through its archaeologist, may hire cultural monitors at the request of NHOs listed in Appendix 3. Cultural monitor responsibilities will be defined in the Monitoring Plan.

3. The relationship between the construction crew and the cultural monitors will be defined in the monitoring plan. For example, if bones are found or a historic site is breached, the cultural monitor will have the authority to stop all work within 100 feet of the find.

4. HDOT shall contract with an on-call osteologist who can be in the field within 24 hours should additional expertise be needed to identify bones found in the Project Area. The Lead Archaeologist, in consultation with SHPD, will make the call as to whether an osteologist is needed on-site.

### **Pre-Construction Training**

1. Prior to construction activities, HDOT, in coordination with the FHWA, will conduct preconstruction on-site archaeological and cultural awareness training led by HDOT's archaeological monitor and cultural monitors. The training will explain HDOT's approach to HRS § 6E-8 compliance, conditions and requirements set forth in this PA, procedures to follow if archaeological or cultural remains are found, and roles and responsibilities of HDOT's archaeological and cultural monitors.

2. HDOT will provide meeting participants with contact information for those required to receive discovery notifications.

3. This Memorandum will be an addendum to the construction contract.

## **Data Recovery**

In the event that adverse effects cannot be avoided, data recovery is the only mitigation option provided in HAR § 13-275-8. Data recovery of sites will follow HAR § 13-278.

HAR § 13-275-8(2) requires that for properties evaluated as significant under criterion "e" the agency must consult with ethnic organizations or members of the ethnic group for whom the properties have significance. For this project, all sites significant under criterion "e" are significant to Native Hawaiians, and HDOT has consulted with NHOs listed in Appendix 3 to seek their views on proposed forms of mitigation in the event adverse effects cannot be fully avoided and data recovery is considered insufficient.

- 1. HDOT in consultation with the SHPD and NHOs, will continue consultation to determine if alternate mitigation under HAR § 13-275-8(2) is appropriate.
- 2. With respect to unmarked Native Hawaiian burials, the development of burial treatment plans will be consistent with HAR § 13-300, Rules of Practice and Procedure Relating to Burial Sites and Human Remains. If MLIBC determines that a burial is to be relocated, HDOT will consult with MLIBC to determine appropriate reinterment, which may include relocation to project property in the vicinity of the discovery as appropriate and as determined through consultation.
- 3. *Curation*. HDOT, in coordination with SHPD, will curate recovered materials in accordance with applicable laws, including HAR § 13-278, *Rules Governing Standards for Archaeological Data Recovery Studies*. HDOT shall consult with public and private institutions to pursue opportunities to provide public access to the recovered materials.
- 4. Access. HDOT will ensure NHOs have access to sites they wish to steward. Access will include safe parking areas for up to ten vehicles, areas to store rocks or tools, and an area to gather before or after accessing the site. Access does not presume any improvements to access sites.
- 5. Stewardship. HDOT will ensure that NHOs have an opportunity to remove the rocks from sites that will be impacted by construction of the Project. This will include allowing adequate time to gather the rocks and providing a location to store the rocks. NHOs will provide HDOT with point-of-contact (POC) information to coordinate this activity.
- 6. Most of the Archaeological sites are located on Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR), Land Division Lands and will be transferred to the DLNR Division of Forestry sometime after the relocated highway is built. HDOT has invited DLNR to be an invited signatory to this agreement and to develop a stewardship agreement with interested NHOs. Failure to finalize an agreement prior to signing this document will not affect implementation of the final document.
- 7. The design team will work with NHOs to use rocks affected by the construction of the project, in retaining walls or other parts of the project, where appropriate. NHOs will provide HDOT with POC information to coordinate these activities.
- 8. Burials. HDOT shall provide a burial relocation site, to be chosen in consultation with the MLIBC and recognized descendants, in each of the three (3) ahupua'a affected by the project. MLIBC and recognized descendants will work with HDOT on the design, materials, and construction of each burial site. If the land chosen belongs to another state agency, HDOT will facilitate agreements regarding access and use of the land. DLNR-SHPD will have long-term management of the site, including decisions on whether additional burials from other projects will be allowed to be interred at these sites.

#### **Post-Review Discoveries**

### Archaeology

- 1. If new archaeological properties are discovered or unanticipated effects to significant archaeological historic properties are identified during construction, and there is no archaeologist present at the discovery location to conduct monitoring as described in Stipulation IV.B, HAR § 13-280, Rules Governing General Procedures for Inadvertent Discoveries of Historic Properties During a Project Covered by the Historic Preservation Review Process, shall apply.
- HDOT will consult with the SHPD, Signatories, Concurring and Consulting Parties regarding
  the need to expand the areas identified for archaeological monitoring during construction to
  include locations where the project would involve excavation within 150 feet of identified
  artifacts.

### **Human Remains**

- 1. If evidence of burials, human remains, or potential human remains is encountered during construction, HDOT shall suspend all work within 20 feet of the remains, protect the remains from further disturbance, and immediately use the contact protocols in Appendix 5. HDOT will implement the procedures at HAR § 13-300, Rules of Practice and Procedure Relating to Burial Sites and Human Remains, for inadvertent discoveries of human remains. Construction activities in the location of the discovery will be suspended pending notification to and consultation among the SHPD, HDOT, MLIBC, and parties included in Appendix 4, in accordance with these procedures. Notification will be by e-mail, unless an alternative method is specifically requested.
- 2. HDOT will consult with the parties included in Appendix 3 regarding the need to expand the areas identified for archaeological monitoring during construction to include locations where the project would involve excavation within 150 feet of the unanticipated discovery of human remains.